

## Public Responses to the Google Form for Mission &amp; Values

Question #1: What do you like about the Metro's System Safety & Law Enforcement (SSLE) vision and mission statement?	Question #2: What is missing from SSLE's vision and mission?	Question #3: Do these statements include words, phrases, or concepts that you like? Share them below.	Question #4: After looking at these statements, what do you think Metro's public safety mission and values statement should emphasize?	Share your response to a committee member's question/comment. If possible, please indicate the question/comment you are responding to.
Vision: Internal and external culture of accountability, and customer experience for all passengers, although I have serious concerns about whether or not this has been implemented; Mission: I don't really think the Mission is that exemplary.	A comprehensive message of strategies and resources are needed in order to create a safe and welcoming environment that minimizes and reduces law enforcement contact; a sense that Metro's public safety incorporates and address racial and economic disparities in criminalization, profiling, and harassments.	Trust, confidence, integrity, respect, Diversity: To respect individual differences as a source of our strength, Professionalism: To always conduct ourselves in a manner that merits respect and confidence, building trust through community partnerships, compassion	Trust, respect, integrity, respecting diversity, compassion, community partnerships	Recognizing that there needs to be a multi-prong approach to safety that involves the community
maximizing customer service and accountability	providing non-security services			
				The Mission and Values should ensure that communities most impacted by Metro's harmful policing and security practices are centered and their dignity prioritized including Black transit users, unhoused folks, poor people, disabled people, and those with mental health and substance abuse challenges. There should also be a conversation to ensure continued community accountability and oversight to ensure Metro lives into these values.
"Maximizing the customer experience for all passengers"	The inclusion of "SSLE" and/or lack of inquiry into the acronym/name stops the vision and mission before it begins.  Are any of the Metro employees within the department active law enforcement? If so, how many? If not, is it appropriate to have "law enforcement" in the department title? Do any other Metro departments call out contracts in their department title? Does the department title imply a forgone conclusion that the law enforcement contracts will be awarded by Metro no matter what? For transit agency departments that are not law enforcement, is it typical to have "law enforcement (or police)" in their title? Is it typical for a transit agency of this size (population & geography) to not have its own transit police force? If not, are there alternative motives as to why Metro does not have its own and continues its reliance on costly external law enforcement contracts?			
				The question this evening asking whether the board would accept a recommendation to discontinue the law enforcement contract(s) was 100% the right question to ask. Elimination of law enforcement is a fantasy, but there's unquestionably a much more cost-effective (and effective) model to be had. Keep going - the people deserve it.
Nee to strive to exceed standards vs meeting them. Integrating therapeutic options for helping to increase safety is important.	Foresight to proactively mitigate safety risks beforehand (sounds fairly reactive as-is).	No I think this is a unique transformation and should have unique statements as well.	Community inclusiveness, utilizing the least restrictive approach first when interacting with the public and making a difference in the community rather than only maintaining safety.	
I like it but will it be upheld and enforced because right now as a passenger, on public transportation, 5 days a week now, less during the beginning of the pandemic, I haven't seen anything enforced. Right now, I've observed passengers having to taking situations into their own hands.	What does Metro considered haphazard? Because I've noticed passengers calling about incidents on the trains and nothing seems to happen at all if anything or too late.	I believe public transportation is trying to say what they think people what to hear to feel safe and confident about taking public transportation but I'm here to tell you, as a frequent rider, its full of holes.	The truth, first off. Make hard working passengers' needs a priority. They need to put these passengers' minds at ease while taking public transportation. I have anxiety everyday I have to take public transportation to work and home. Metro still has a lot of problems to deal with and work out. I would never recommend taking public transportation to anyone if they have an option to drive and don't mind.	
			I think the vision doesnt really sound like a vision. A vision statement should articulate the north star, the end goal for a team. I think SSLE should ensure that all passengers and people experiencing the Metro system feel safe and welcomed aboard and should experience all Metro staff and all contract employees as a welcoming ambassador of the system.	
It does not actually seem to work as stated.	There seems to be no cohesiveness in the way security on the Metro system.	No.	To emphasize the safety and security of all Metro passengers.	

I DON'T!	True Law Enforcement! Actual use of police for situations on the Metro System.		# 1. Law enforcement, along with people able, and willing to work with law enforcement to help defuse volital situations like crises counselors.	
Nothing. Vision, mission and Value statements are outdated and ineffective.	No one pays any attention to these types of statements. They are unnecessary.	no	They should be eliminated. spend the money on cleaning and hiring people who not so lazy.	
It is a comprehensive statement for a complicated mission.	I would add the phrase "to protect our passengers" to the mission statement.	I like the phrase "regional collaboration." We need assistance from other partners (law enforcement, fire, local cities and towns.	They should emphasize protecting the passengers and the public.	
Vision: maximizing the customer experience for all passengers, and supporting an internal and external culture of accountability, performance excellence and readiness to respond, Mission: Too wordy and convoluted	Measurable outcomes and hot topics. Needs to have language regarding meeting ridership and employee needs for safety and engagement.			
It's too long; be straight with your message.	Is there added value to the agency and the public?	To protect and serve the railway environment and its community, keeping levels of disruption, crime and the fear of crime as low as possible.	Value to the agency and its stakeholders and actual training for the officers, not web-based for the security officers. They need help dealing with people in need and violating offenders entering the system.	
At least you have a mission statement	"Respond & Recover from all hazards" seems to imply NOTHING will be done to address the very real issues around METro security ALL THE TIME. Like why are there no actual turnstiles to gate traffic. Right now any homeless person can ride the metro for free and there is no deterrent for or gate for slowing people coming through turnstiles because there aren't really any to speak of. Basically, when you don't need a ticket to ride anyone can ride and there are some shady characters using the metro as their personal free transpo. I have literally never had my ticket checked in all the times I have ridden.	This mission & Value statement is better than the first one. At least it addresses the day-to-day usage and safety	Daily safety. We need to know that when we ride the metro we aren't going to see a grown man sleeping at the entrance buck naked and then when we get on the metro be accompanied by 5-6 other homeless people in the same car who clearly didn't have a ticket and who have not showered in months. This actually happened and it leaves a bad taste in your mouth in terms of adapting the Metro as a viable solution. All of my feedback is for the Trains and not any buses.	
Security is centered as an important goal	No reference to safety of all passengers. No reference to inclusion and access for all patrons, including those with different abilities--that is a safety issue! Furthermore, given the facts around endemic racism in law enforcement, there is no reference to making sure that patrons of ALL ETHNICITIES feel safe using Metro, and that Metro strives to create a secure and safe environment for all and strives that in meeting its goals of security and enforcing the law, policies, processes and procedures will embrace the value of anti-racism. Metro needs to have a vision and mission statement that includes uplifting inclusion, access and anti-racism.	Multiple references to community (and/or community partnerships) with a few glaring outliers (BART and Dallas). Respect for patrons also mentioned several times.	Please see my response to Question 2 below. You can look at the examples from other cities to see how they are at least trying to voice the value of ALL community members. References to community partnerships, authenticity and respect say to me these other cities are really thinking about the conversations arising out of the country-wide civil unrest after the murder of George Floyd and others by law enforcement agencies.	
I don't like what's offered. It is confusing and not helpful to a unfamiliar company like me.	More hands on and reach out to small business like us if you really are there to help small minority business like us.	yes but I don't see it carry out by your firm.	more outreach and hands assistance on for unfamiliar lbe and minority firm.	
Mission Statement very concise (as it should be). SSLE vision can be less concise but all inclusive; I like "professional standards"; "for all passengers"; "accountability"; "performance excellence" being stressed.	Nothing that I can think of at present.	Some are more precise. Vision and Mission statements are, by their very nature, concise conclusionary statements. The evidence-based facts supporting these conclusions are annotated to supporting statements & documents. Compare this to an Army 5 paragraph field order. The mission statement is brief, concise, and conclusionary so that everyone immediately understands what the mission is. The "how" is explained, in detail, in supporting annexes.	I like your statements in the present form. Add the "how" in supporting paragraphs.	
With all due respect, I find it meaningless in terms of passenger safety, well meaning as it may be	Practicality. How are you going to provide excellent superior services...etc...When a disturbed person enters the bus refusing to mask up, yelling loudly that it is his mission from god to kill everyone, he ignores the bus driver, another patron starts yelling at him...what is the solution? (yes that was a recent experience on the 217.)	For me, no. I don't see what is changing. I think people are doing their best, and usually things are fine. But these statements don't change any realities. There are no bus riders who now feel unsafe who will feel better after reading a mission statement.	Are there concrete practical changes that can actually help the driver and passengers during difficult situations? We can't really monitor passengers and stop dangerous behavior. How about: Is there any way that bus stop sidewalks, especially those with benches can be cleaned more often? I feel unsafe at some stops due to sheer filth.	
	We need to be focused on increasing ridership substantially to deal with climate change. To that end, we MUST strive to make public transportation safe, secure and comfortable for members of ALL socio-economic classes including higher class people who can easily opt for other modes of transportation.	Expanding service and ridership MUST be core goal of ALL departments of Metro.	Expansion of service and increasing ridership	
A bit too wordy, should be more concise.	Keeping passengers safe from criminals and pathogens.		Focus on problems with challenging people that discourage ridership. On some routes bodily substances are encountered.	
It sounds vague and I'll defined. It sounds more theoretical than practical.	How will Metro implement this in real life?	Ethics, Accountability, Transparency, and Honesty.	Cleanliness and Security; to Protect and to Serve. We have to keep our Metro Buses and Trains clean and secure for every passenger.	
You're addressing the issue.	A human element, a guard needs to be on board the train since violence escalates quickly.	accountability	You need a guard on board. period.	

<p>Sounds jargony. It doesn't hold up very well when you break it down into simpler words. Regular people should be able to understand your mission.</p>	<p>Clarity</p>	<p>The British ones are good. Integrity and respect: Acting with honesty and authenticity, demonstrating respect and understanding. Common sense: Taking a sensible and practical approach and challenging bureaucracy.</p>	<p>Metro works to meet the highest level of today's safety and law enforcement standards to give all riders the best possible transportation experience through accountability, authentic customer service, and responsiveness to people's needs.</p> <p>Metro provides the community with safe, reliable and accessible transportation to help people get to work, back home, and everywhere in between.</p>	
<p>I like that the black shirts and LA police are always visible in trains. But I don't see them on the buses. I would like to see them on the buses.</p>	<p>What you're missing is that the transit Security name needs to be changed to more approachable title like transit safety or public safety. These two titles have an inviting title. Security is old and has a negative connotation during this time. We would like to see a more approachable name. Police and Security is more of an aggressive title.</p>	<p>As I saw in the missions statements, all of them say metro police. I would like metro to reconsider the naming of the transit security to such name as the committee has Public safety.. it is a group of people who are able to make the public safe in the trains and buses.</p>	<p>Public safety and not security</p>	
<p>I like the emphasis on using technologies and intelligence to see accountability and professional standards in Metro's public transit.</p>	<p>accessibility to all types of public transit riders or at least a statement of inclusion that shows their understanding of riders. In cases of mental health episodes on the part of riders, law enforcement may not be the most equipped agency to support all riders safety. Unless of course there is a training and partnership with law enforcement to have a specified code of conduct to ensure safety of all riders.</p>	<p>I am not able to open the link. it would have been helpful to have the values listed out on the form since I opened this form from an email.</p>	<p>I think it is focused on security and technology and doesn't give us a sense of the metro riders. Does not even mention or perhaps would need an entirely different statement of service to metro riders.</p>	
<p>It's just a bunch of empty words that accomplish nothing but is a rationale for MTA people to legitimize their job and exorbitant salaries....and...</p>	<p>the bottom line.....is the hard working bus operator cannot, or will not, or has been instructed not to, do anything about the idiots who wear their mask BELOW THEIR NOSE thus spreading Covid....</p>	<p>NO....because fancy concepts and words do not prevent Covid from spreading: MASKS DO IF FULLY COVERING THE NOSE and although Metro requests masks be worn, no enforcement on the exposed nose</p>	<p>dangerous to travel by public transportation because of NO ENFORCEMENT of mask covered nose which is/can be DEADLY to other passengers....</p>	
<p>Nothing. It's a waste of time and money.</p>	<p>Police. We need a transit police force that actually does something.</p>		<p>Policing Make it safe. Protect the riders.</p>	
<p>Length. Brevity is always great. Can easily throw it onto a poster.</p>	<p>It's missing one sentence explaining what SSLE is &amp; should be spelled out. What average rider who sees this will know what and why they are reading this? Who is the audience? It sounds like a tech ad. Is it supposed to make the general public feel safer or riders or staff? What is the goal of having this?</p>	<p>The word accountability</p>	<p>Community. The current statement does resonate with a single mom of 3 kids riding the night train home after her second job. It doesn't older immediately make an immigrant senior feel they're being looked after. The mission sounds cold &amp; something out of the terminator. It also sounds like a list of things that SSLE needs to do rather than getting ahead of things.</p>	
<p>Needs revision</p>	<p>Should mention "health and security". Buses and trains need to be cleaner to protect public health.</p>	<p>No comment</p>	<p>Public Health &amp; Safety</p>	
<p>This is a LIE</p>	<p>TRUTH</p>	<p>ALL LIES</p>	<p>"We deliver violence, filth, congestion, fires, fights and pollution."</p>	
<p>maximizing the customer experience for all passengers</p>	<p>Vision mostly seems more concerned with hazards to Metro and only a little about protecting the people riding it. Of course, only those with no other option will ride if it doesn't feel safe while doing so. Mission - nice to prepare for tomorrow's transit environment, but what about dealing with today's?</p>	<p>"safe, secure, reliable" "keeping levels of disruption, crime and the fear of crime as low as possible"</p>	<p>Customer and employee safety and comfort. Accountability.</p>	
<p>Nothing. Too vague. No clear goal.</p>	<p>A clear statement of specific goal such as eliminating crime.</p>	<p>British is best</p>	<p>On time performance, no accidents, no criminal activity</p>	
<p>A lot of big words.</p>	<p>What you really will be doing.</p>	<p>Short and to the point. Lack of big words that mean nothing, when I'm riding the bus. Your vision and mission are just a lot of big words that don't address the REAL problem. Mental health, homeless, to many people, not enough space, and RACISM!</p>	<p>Be prepared for mental health breakdowns on the bus, as well as the ever growing homeless population.</p>	
<p>All the references to security</p>	<p>You really need to remodel it to make it readable for everybody. What you've written is bureaucratic technobabble, and many of your audience won't understand it and will be turned off by it. Even our President honors writing so that the people can UNDERSTAND....you really need to break this down to the 6th grade level, AT THE MOST. If you want help you can contact me. You need to write in PLAIN ENGLISH</p>	<p>I like the first 2 because they are SIMPLE AND READABLE. Yours is full of bureaucratic big words, not a good idea.</p>	<p>Just go for safety. That's what has scared everybody off your system, if they can.</p>	
<p>It sounds great. But in practice, I don't have much confidence based on my personal experience. Granted we live in a complicated society. However, safety and one's security should not depend on the neighborhood one lives in.</p>	<p>The intent to seriously make the Statement a reality.</p>	<p>I prefer the term "security", or "safety" to "policing".</p>	<p>As answered in #3, System Security or System Safety. What I haven't seen in these measures is means of measurement. The metrics to determine if these statements are really working.</p>	
<p>I like it - i wish Security and LE actually followed through in it sometimes by removing non-paying, loitering, trashy, and destructive riders when they present themselves.</p>	<p>the actual follow-through and implementation</p>	<p>"Enforce applicable laws" - DART</p>	<p>Enforce applicable laws, Professionalism</p>	

Mentions regional collaboration (although reality is less generous than the Mission Statement would lead one to believe)	Vision does not mention/focus on riders safety. Should include risk of getting injured/killed crossing street to get to metro bus stops/rail stations, risk of injury due to law enforcement actions and/or profiling, risk of injury due to excessive heat and other impacts of climate change, and risk of injury/death due to lack of climate-focused city-level production of housing near/around Metro stations, resulting in mass homelessness.	British concepts include "expanding transit service". I'd add reliability, viability vis-a-vis car travel, and consistency in service levels.	I'd add reliability, viability vis-a-vis car travel, and consistency in service levels. Safety includes safety from law enforcement profiling, access to mental health safety resources, housing security, and reducing pedestrian/bicyclist deaths thru city enactment of complete streets concepts (with local return money).	
The pieces on maximizing the customer experience for all customers, the part on accountability and responsiveness to recover from hazards. I like that the mission focuses on technology as it is a smart and effective way to address safety in such a large transit system.	I think the vision and mission needs to include items on sanitation or public health as it applies to safety. Metro rail in particular is plagued with litter, and users who disregard the public right of other users. Unkempt conditions create conditions for disease, but more immediately, it discourages users and potential users from using transit. Safety needs to advocate for changing the culture of negligence by users and Metro.	Accountability to all passengers, readiness to respond, recover from all hazards, security awareness.	I believe it should emphasize safety for all users and intolerance to discourteous behavior or creating unhealthy conditions.	
No laws + No DA = You can't enforce safety. Tear this blight down. It delivers nothing but disease and violence.	Truth + Reality. We no longer have law + order. It's every man, woman, child for themselves. Without law + order your a worthless sucking sound of my taxes. Delivering criminals to my door. I want you GONE!	No. This is all LIES + UNTRUTH	If you cared about the public you'd tear down this blight of disease, drugs, needles, feces, urine, and violent attacks on the neighborhood. I took the Metro 3x a wk before Newsom + Gascon. Now I have to sell my home bc the crime you deliver is so horrendous.	
Nothings. It's filled with buzz-wordy platitudes. Use plain language please. It's overly broad language opens the doors to unnecessary function sprawl. Metro security should do metro security. Leave other societal issues to municipalities and the state.	1. Actionable commitments: A mission to "prepare" is not a mission to succeed. The goals should be to reduce risk and harm to riders, to reduce unpaid (where it is unlawful) ridership, restore and maintain a hygienic system (which directly contributes to perceptions of safety), and more. Each key point from the mission statement should then be broken out into individually actionable and measurable items.  A vision and mission statement should not be empty platitudes, or bureau-speak, as those provided by the SSLE are. The language should be plain and understood by a layperson.	The plain language used by the British, WMATA, DART systems is honest, and direct. The goals are focused on the customers using the system and the employees that operate the system. There are fewer or no self-aggrandizing statements. Honestly in language is important.	Protect the customers, employees and physical plant of the Metro system.	
Not much. First of all, "continuously" is a goddam lie. There might be a cop or Metro cop about once an hour, IF THAT.	What's midding? The guts to actually make it work. Gascon will just turn the criminals loose again IF they are arrested. The vision and mission is a pretty little package, all wrapped up in a nice bow, but won't mean donkey dung unless A LOT OF COPS are actually assigned to the Metro. Stop emphasizing bureaucratic BS and start POLICING!!!	I don't know anything about the NYC or British or Seattle Metro systems. It matters not how pretty your phrases are, what matters is SAFETY from creeps, criminals and crooks.	Few people will even peruse the public safety mission, or the values statement. Why bother? Put your money and energy into actually IMPROVING safety, instead of bureaucratic BS that no one cares about, except the bureaucrats.	
Internal and external culture of accountability	Visibility of personnel	Integrity, Respect, Trust, Confidence, Cooperative relationships with other law enforcement agencies.	Integrity, Accountability, Visibility, Cooperation with other agencies in law enforcement	
The part that says culture of accountability	Preventing crime, addressing crime effective, and continuously maintaining a safe, pleasant, comfortable riding experience	protect and serve our customers, highly visible police presence, reducing crime on the transit system	Please emphasize preventing crime and addressing crime on the system, enforcing rules, regulations, policies, procedures, and fare	
I like that the Vision Statement it is customer focused on their safety and experience on our system and seeks to improve the safety/security standards, by bringing them up to the 21st century and not continuing to do what has been done. I like that the Mission refers to a standard of expertise, we want to see developed in our safety and security professionals and that it embraces the use of technology.	The customer and employee benefit	Yes, Minneapolis: Safeguarding the transit community with integrity and professionalism while building trust through community partnerships (building trust) and BART: To be the leader in innovative policing, establishing BART as the safest transit system in the nation. (being a leader), being proactive not reactive. Also Vancouver, reducing crime.	Customer and employee focus, being a leader in the transit security industry, embracing change, being innovative, using technology and reducing crime.	
...maximizing customer experience for ALL passengers...	"standards" is vague - SSLE should be welcoming, friendly, approachable, helpful. They need training in customer service, implicit bias, negotiating, de-escalation & conflict resolution skills as the soft end of the "force continuum", to address & reduce officer-involved use of force, complaints of bias & BIPOC patrons' fear of police.	community involvement / relations, respect, dignity, customer service, protecting rights & safety of ALL patrons	Making ALL patrons feel welcome, comfortable & safe, unless they threaten the comfort or safety of others.	

N/A	At the very least, a broadened definition of what "security" is because this vision and mission seems to be lifted off what police do. I really wish this language would take into account the public shift away from almost militarist ways of approaching issues. This is a transit system, not some warzone.	Hard to say-- this is literally grounded in police ideology, for lack of a better term.	Metro's public safety mission and values statement needs to turn away from policing and criminalization. The current statement is a tacit acknowledgement that Metro isn't there yet or refuses to make change. You say you will "maximize the customer experience for all passengers" in your vision but the mission makes it clear that certain riders could be subject to targeted enforcement, surveillance, and possible criminalization. I'm not ignorant of the quality of life issues that can be present in the system: unhoused people who shelter in transit vehicles, people with varying levels of struggles mental, physical, and otherwise-- but you cannot arrest your way out of a problem. A Metro bus or train can never become a fortress-- it's public transit for goodness sake. This mission says nothing about a proactive, people-centered approach to safety on Metro. It just seems to be covering the system legally borrowing the language of the police. If you are really open to critique, you should strongly consider an explicitly-worded mission and vision that shows that Metro will shift away from police-oriented approaches to security.	
keep people safe on trains	more officers on trains	yes	yes	
nothing - I don't understand why we need an approach to safety that rooted in law enforcement and criminalization. I don't want "security services". I want vibrant transit hubs, with bathrooms, food, coffee, music, art, benches. I want services for homeless people. I want metro staff to help new users, english language users, the elderly and others navigate the system.	homeless services, information booths staffed with people, station facilities and cleaning staff, vending services, resources and information access, lighting, bathrooms, fast service.	all these statements are for cops. I don't pay taxes for metro to be a cop service, i want good bus and train service with amenities for riders, not police.	vibrance, community, riders, people, families, resources, not police	
I like the use of the words "accountability" and "security technology and intelligence". I stopped using the transit system because nobody cared when I got spit on and screamed at by a crazy homeless. It is dangerous cycles of "anything goes".	To take action to intervene in behaviors of transit facility users that are threatening, dangerous, illegal.	"accountability", "security technology and intelligence"	To ensure safety of and respect to transit system users.	
A promise of an internal and external culture of accountability	An emphasis on what kind of training- de:escalation and directing towards services for example.			
It's focus on system wide security awareness and commitment to excellence.	A greater focus on inter-agency cooperation i.e., commitment to working with LA County, LAPD, LA County and city mental health services. I take the train almost daily and the biggest issue I see are mentally unstable/homeless people acting erratically (I've been accosted several times but such people).	Yes, professionalism, common sense, integrity		
Investment in tech and a future of safety and security for riders. I believe through innovation, we can better maintain and secure our metro for years to come.	It feels cold and emotionless. Called riders "customers" also feels off.	I love "culture of accountability."	We need to envision a safety future without the reliance on armed police officers. This militarized approach to security is at odds with the values of the people of Los Angeles. We should lead the nation in new ways of securing our transit lines without cops.	
It's a fine statement but it strikes me as meaningless as a Metro rider.	Enforcement	The statements can be important but the implementation is what matters. This is window dressing.	I don't actually care about the statement. Make Metro safer, cleaner, more welcoming. Other places do this. You can do the same.	
I DON'T like the fact that the Vision contains so many disparate parts -- 21st century / customer experience / accountability / responsiveness. Too much.	Brevity."	"customer experience" "accountability"	Accountability	
The focus on customer experience and culture of accountability	By focusing on "all" and not naming the most at risk customers specifically, a lot can fall through the cracks and "security" and "law enforcement" can still be used to abuse marginalized groups.	Yes. Many other of the transit safety organizations bullet point their values, which is better visual communication. DIVERSITY.	This is a bit redundant. But, more emphasis on empowering self-policing, protecting the most at risk customers specifically, and rider diversity.	
I like the "culture of accountability" mention in the vision, though I question what that means in practice. I also appreciate the "advanced training" mentioned in the mission statement, though again I don't know what that means in practice. Having moved to LA from New York just before the pandemic, my experience of the LA Metro, which I insist on taking as much as I can, is not a positive one. I've felt more unsafe on the LA Metro in the 18 months I've lived here than in my almost 18 years of riding the subway in New York.	SPECIFICS. I know a mission statement isn't meant to be a document, but there's an awful lot of jargon and corporate newspeak here. To me, public safety and security is THE major problem of the LA Metro. Will you be able to balance enforcing rules and regulations in a meaningful and demonstrable way with respecting civil rights? I don't know. Enforcement of rules and regulations is SORELY lacking right now.	culture of accountability, tomorrow's transit environment	REAL enforcement of rules, a real presence in the system, tangible and achievable goals,	

I like the emphasis on using 21st century standards to maximize customer experience, with accountability.	Pervasive security services is missing. Can security services be more pervasive as the metro network expands?			
words words buzzwords words buzzwords	simple meaning	"maintain a safe and peaceful environment for ... customers and employees and ... ensure the security of property." Nothing else needs to be said.	keep it simple: it's about the experience of safety for patrons and employees. By "the experience" I mean both the perception of being safe and the reality of being safe because both are needed.	
Nothing.	Both are vague & seem to emphasize technology, ignoring the human element. Missing commitment to superior service, safety, respect for the transit customer & community. Accountability, community partnership, teamwork. Professionalism, integrity, training, education SSLE.	Yes. See response to Q #2 above. Also include diversity, customer-focused.	Service & safety of the transit customer & community; integrity, professionalism, accountability, training/education of Metro.	
Easy Access	Safety - Do not remove the police	Yes	To keep passengers and staff safe without harm.	
Both statements appear to be quite comprehensive.	I am not sure the average bus or train rider will easily understand the statements as they are written. The statements should be written with the riders comprehension in mind.	Of the agencies shown, I liked Bart, DC Metro and Metro Vancouver.	The agencies listed in question #3 provide ample wording for developing good statements .	
<p>On Wednesday, September 15, I tried calling in to your meeting at 5 p.m. and again about 5:20 p.m. but was told the meeting hadn't begun.</p> <p>Your existing System Security and Law Enforcement Mission &amp; Values Statements is a meaningless word salad.</p> <p>Over the past six weeks, I've experienced a variety of security problems on MTA buses and trains, such as passengers and operators without masks, tobacco and cannabis smoke on trains, a passenger standing next to and engaged in an extended, casual conversation with an operator while the bus was in motion, and the lack of an obvious security presence on platforms and in stations.</p> <p>No collection of impressive-sounding words will give MTA the integrity and credibility it lacks.</p>				