FTA SECTION 5310 ENHANCED MOBILITY OF SENIORS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES PROGRAM
FY 2015 SOLICITATION FOR PROPOSALS
Funding Award Recommendations- Other Capital and Operating Projects

AGENCY/ FUNDING RECOMMENDATION	PROJECT	SCORE	ELIGIBLE COST ($)	LOCAL MATCH ($)[d]	VEHICLES	AWARD
RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AWARD						
1. City of Santa Monica	**Door-through-Door Program Service Continuation and Expansion**: operating assistance to support the continuation of existing service and addition of new weekend service.	94	280,000	70,000	0	210,000
2. Rancho Research Institute	**Transportation Program Expansion**: operating and capital assistance, including the procurement of one Class A bus and equipment, to support service expansion.	90	327,193	97,253	1	229,940
3. Pomona Valley Transportation Authority	**Ready Now Transportation Program Service Expansion**: operating assistance to support service expansion.	88	192,000	96,000	0	96,000
4. City of Pasadena	**Dial-a-Ride Expansion for Accessibility Enhancement Program**: operating and capital assistance, including the procurement of two Class D minivans, to support service expansion.	87	740,994	219,837	2	521,157
5. City of West Hollywood	**Door-to-Door Program Service Expansion**: operating and capital assistance, including the procurement of one Class D minivan, to support service expansion.	83	422,711	163,085	1	259,626
6. The Information and Referral Federation of Los Angeles County	**Volunteer Driver Transportation Program:** operating assistance to support the development and implementation of a volunteer driver transportation program.	80	450,000	210,000	0	240,000
7. Disabled Resources Center	**Travel Training Program**: operating assistance to provide travel training.	78	111,340	52,886	0	58,454
TOTAL/ AVERAGE SCORE		**86**	**2,524,238**	**909,061**	**4**	**1,615,177**
NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING AWARD						
1. City of Gardena[a]	**Gardena Paratransit Program:** operating assistance for new proposed transportation service.	76	92,231	9,223	0	0
2. Mobility Management Partners[b]	**Catch-a-Ride Mileage Reimbursement and Training Program:** operating assistance for new proposed services to provide travel training and mileage reimbursement.	66	149,140	59,570	0	0
3. Antelope Valley Transit Authority[c]	**Dial-a-Ride Program:** operating assistance to support existing service.	47	70,279	17,570	0	0
TOTAL/ AVERAGE SCORE		**63**	**311,650**	**86,363**	**0**	**0**

a. Although this proposal received a score of 76 points, it was not recommended for a funding award as the proposed new program was also contingent on the funding award for the proposal that was submitted for the procurement of two vehicles from the Traditional Projects funding category. The Evaluation Panel, and the Technical Advisory Committee after going through the Appeals Process, did not recommend funding the procurement of the two vehicles (as shown in Attachment A). Therefore, the funding request for operating assistance was also not recommended for a funding award.

b. Only proposal that requested the total of $36,861 that was made available for Santa Clarita urbanized area and one of two proposals that competed for the total of $52,709 that was made available for the Lancaster-Palmdale urbanized area. The Evaluation Panel, and the Technical Advisory Committee after going through the Appeals Process, did not recommend a funding award. The funding balances are proposed to be made available for the next competitive cycle for eligible projects in the Lancaster-Palmdale and Santa Clarita urbanized areas, respectively.

c. Second of two proposals requesting the total of $52,709 that was made available for the Lancaster-Palmdale urbanized area. The agency did not appeal to the Technical Advisory Committee the Evaluation Panel's recommendation to not fund its project. The funding balance is proposed to be made available for the next competitive cycle for eligible projects in the Lancaster-Palmdale urbanized area.

d. The minimum local match is 10% of the total eligible capital costs and 25% of the total eligible operating costs. Some agencies proposed an overmatch. Also, some agencies requested funding for both capital and operating expenses, while others only requested funding assistance for operations. These factors are taken into consideration in the local match that is shown for each project.