

PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

EXPRESSLANES PROGRAM MANAGEMENT SUPPORT SERVICES/AE83974000

1.	Contract Number: AE83974000	
2.	Recommended Vendor: HNTB Corporation	
3.	Type of Procurement (check one): <input type="checkbox"/> IFB <input type="checkbox"/> RFP <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RFP-A&E <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competitive <input type="checkbox"/> Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	
4.	Procurement Dates:	
	A. Issued: January 25, 2022	
	B. Advertised/Publicized: January 25, 26, 27, February 1, 2, and 3, 2022	
	C. Pre-Proposal Conference: February 8, 2022	
	D. Proposals Due: March 10, 2022	
	E. Pre-Qualification Completed: April 28, 2022	
	F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: March 15, 2022	
	G. Protest Period End Date: August 22, 2022	
5.	Solicitations Picked up/Downloaded: 161	Proposals Received: 5
6.	Contract Administrator: Victor Zepeda	Telephone Number: (213) 922-1458
7.	Project Manager: James Wei	Telephone Number: (213) 922-7528

A. Procurement Background

This Board Action is to approve Contract No. AE83974000 issued in support of the ExpressLanes Program & Construction Management Support Services (PMSS) for various ExpressLanes projects. Board approval of contract awards are subject to resolution of any properly submitted protest.

Prior to the release of the solicitation, two virtual Metro Connect Industry Forums were conducted for the ExpressLanes projects on June 3 and July 23, 2021. The June 3rd event was attended by 138 individuals and the July 23rd event was attended by 88 individuals. The events were held to inform the DBE community of the upcoming I-105 contracting opportunities and to increase small business participation.

The Request for Proposals (RFP) was issued in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy and the contract type is task order based. The RFP was issued with a DBE goal of 18%.

Three (3) amendments were issued during the solicitation phase of this RFP:

- Amendment No. 1, issued on February 4, 2022, notified vendors that no DBE Contract Outreach and Mentoring Plan was required;
- Amendment No. 2, issued on February 11, 2022, provided maps and Quality Management Oversight Plan and Procedures referenced in the Statement of Work, and extended the due date from March 1 to March 10, 2022; and,

- Amendment No. 3, issued on February 17, 2022, revised the Statement of Work and increased the pagination count for Section III Proposal Requirements/Forms from 25 to 50.

A virtual pre-proposal conference was held on February 8, 2022 and was attended by 107 individuals. There were five sets of questions and responses were released prior to the proposal due date.

A total of 161 firms downloaded the RFP and were registered in the plan holder's list. A total of five (5) proposals were received on March 10, 2022.

Evaluation of Proposals

A Proposal Evaluation Team (PET) consisting of staff from Metro's Project Management and Transportation Planning department and a Transportation Engineer from Caltrans was convened and conducted a comprehensive technical evaluation of the proposals received.

The proposals were evaluated based on the following evaluation criteria and weights:

1. Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	30 Points
2. Key Personnel Skills and Experience	40 Points
3. Project Understanding and Approach	<u>30 Points</u>
	100 Points

The evaluation criteria are appropriate and consistent with criteria developed for other, similar Architectural and Engineering (A&E) procurements. Several factors were considered when developing these weights, giving the greatest importance to Key Personnel Skills and Experience.

This is an A&E, qualifications-based procurement; therefore, price cannot be used as an evaluation factor pursuant to state and federal law.

Of the five proposals received, all five were determined to be within the competitive range and are listed below in alphabetical order:

1. 3D Built
2. HNTB Corporation
3. Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.
4. Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.
5. TRC Solutions, Inc.

During the week of May 16th, the evaluation committee met and interviewed the firms. The firms' project managers and key team members had an opportunity to present each team's qualifications and respond to the evaluation committee's

questions. In general, each team’s presentation addressed the requirements of the RFP, experience with all aspects of the required tasks, and stressed each firm’s commitment to the success of the project. Each team was asked questions relative to each firm’s proposal and previous experience.

After a thorough review of proposals and interviews, the PET’s recommendation in the order of ranking is shown in the table below:

1	Firm	Weighted Average Score	Factor Weight	Average Score	Rank
2	HNTB Corporation				
3	Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	90.56	30.00%	27.17	
4	Key Personnel Skills and Experience	90.56	40.00%	36.22	
5	Project Understanding and Approach	88.46	30.00%	26.54	
6	Total		100.00%	89.93	1
7	Jacobs Engineering Group, Inc.				
8	Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	87.44	30.00%	26.23	
9	Key Personnel Skills and Experience	87.44	40.00%	34.98	
10	Project Understanding and Approach	86.13	30.00%	25.84	
11	Total		100.00%	87.05	2
12	Parsons Transportation Group, Inc.				
13	Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	86.11	30.00%	25.83	
14	Key Personnel Skills and Experience	87.22	40.00%	34.89	
15	Project Understanding and Approach	84.67	30.00%	25.40	
16	Total		100.00%	86.12	3
17	TRC Solutions, Inc.				
18	Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	85.33	30.00%	25.60	
19	Key Personnel Skills and Experience	84.12	40.00%	33.65	
20	Project Understanding and Approach	85.96	30.00%	25.79	
21	Total		100.00%	85.04	4
22	3D Built				
23	Experience/Capabilities of the Firms	46.67	30.00%	14.00	

24	Key Personnel Skills and Experience	67.78	40.00%	27.11	
25	Project Understanding and Approach	52.71	30.00%	15.81	
26	Total		100.00%	56.92	5

C. Cost/Price Analysis

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based upon an independent cost estimate (ICE), cost analysis, technical evaluation, fact finding, and negotiations with the most highly qualified firm in accordance with A&E qualifications-based procurement process.

Proposer Name	Proposal Amount	Metro ICE	Award Amount
HNTB Corporation	\$73,686,796	\$85,869,018	\$73,056,608

The final amount is lower than Metro’s original ICE as a result of the following factors:

- Metro’s ICE was more conservative on risk factors; and
- The ICE overestimated quality control material testing work based on previous projects

Staff successfully negotiated \$630,188 in cost savings from HNTB’s proposal.

D. Background on Recommended Contractor

HNTB Corporation

HNTB Corporation was established in 1914, headquartered in Kansas, and has a local office in Los Angeles. The firm has project experience in highways, intelligent transportation, bridges, construction and program management, as well as architecture. HNTB has over 5,000 employees nationwide and has completed 120 Project Management Support Services type contracts. Furthermore, HNTB has experience working with similar express lane projects as well as environmental review and advanced conceptual engineering design services for the Sepulveda Transit Corridor.