

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

REVENUE ESTIMATES								
STATE AND LOCAL			FY2016 Estimated Revenue	Carry-Over FY2014 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY2014 Actual	FY 2016 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY 2015 Total Funds Available
Transportation Development Act:								
	Planning & Administration:							
1	Planning - Metro		\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000		\$ 2,000,000
2	Planning - SCAG		2,863,125	32,404		2,895,529		2,971,904
3	Administration - Metro		3,636,875	(32,404)		3,604,471		3,528,096
4	Sub-total		8,500,000	-	-	8,500,000		8,500,000
5	Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeway:	2.0000%	7,465,000	86,412		7,551,412		7,755,078
6	Article 4 Bus Transit	91.6467%	342,071,177	3,959,678	1,763,306	347,794,161		357,370,473
7	Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.3533%	23,713,823	274,502		23,988,324		24,586,480
8	Total		381,750,000	4,320,591	1,763,306	387,833,897	a	398,212,031
Proposition A:								
9	Administration	5.0000%	38,175,000	433,497		38,608,497		39,603,392
10	Local Return	25.0000%	181,331,250	n/a		181,331,250	c	174,372,500
11	Rail Development	35.0000%	253,863,750	2,882,755		256,746,505		263,362,556
	Bus Transit:	40.0000%						
12	95% of 40% Capped at CPI (1.97%)		230,562,663	n/a		230,562,663	b	226,108,329
13	95% of 40% Over CPI		45,060,837			45,060,837	d	38,937,871
14	Sub-total		275,623,500	-		275,623,500		265,046,200
15	5% of 40% Incentive		14,506,500	164,729		14,671,229		15,049,289
16	Total		763,500,000	3,480,981		766,980,981	a	757,433,937
Proposition C:								
17	Administration	1.5000%	11,452,500	131,423		11,583,923		11,883,415
18	Rail/Bus Security	5.0000%	37,602,375	431,505		38,033,880		39,017,211
19	Commuter Rail	10.0000%	75,204,750	863,010		76,067,760		78,034,423
20	Local Return	20.0000%	150,409,500	n/a		150,409,500	c	144,637,400
21	Freeways and Highways	25.0000%	188,011,875	2,157,526		190,169,401		195,086,057
22	Discretionary	40.0000%	300,819,000	3,452,041		304,271,041		312,137,692
23	Total		763,500,000	7,035,505		770,535,505	a	780,796,198
State Transit Assistance:								
24	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)		54,897,548	(474,290)	92,867	54,516,125	e	44,852,452
25	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)		50,784,842	2,104,583	75,619	52,965,044		48,406,411
26	Total		105,682,390	1,630,293	168,486	107,481,169		93,258,863

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

REVENUE ESTIMATES (Continued)								
STATE AND LOCAL			FY2016 Estimated Revenue	Carry-Over FY2014 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY2014 Actual	FY 2016 Total Funds Available	N O T E	FY 2015 Total Funds Available
Measure R:								
27	Administration	1.5000%	11,452,500	87,271	142,859	11,682,630		11,856,326
28	Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000%	263,216,625	2,005,780	4,026,597	269,249,002		272,556,769
29	Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000%	22,561,425	171,924	934,161	23,667,510		24,008,176
30	Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000%	15,040,950	114,616	264,497	15,420,063		15,596,268
31	Highway Capital	20.0000%	150,409,500	1,146,160	2,065,208	153,620,868		155,720,916
32	Operations "New Rail"	5.0000%	37,602,375	286,540	592,372	38,481,287		38,971,106
33	Operations Bus	20.0000%	150,409,500	1,146,160	66,477	151,622,137		155,612,900
34	Local Return	15.0000%	112,807,125	n/a		112,807,125	c	108,478,050
35	Total		763,500,000	4,958,451	8,092,171	776,550,622	a	782,800,512
36	Total Funds Available		\$ 2,777,932,390	\$ 21,425,820	\$ 10,023,963	\$ 2,809,382,173		\$ 2,812,501,541
37	Total Planning & Admin Allocations: (Lines 4, 9, 17 and 27)		\$ 69,580,000	\$ 652,191	\$ 142,859	\$ 70,375,050		\$ 71,843,133
Notes:								
a)	The revenue estimate is 3.2% over the revised FY2015 revenue estimate based on several economic forecasts evaluated by MTA.							
b)	CPI of 1.97% represents the average estimated growth rate provided by UCLA and Beacon applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to included operators.							
c)	Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.							
d)	Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit current year estimate will be used to fund eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carry-over is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.							
e)	STA Bus (PUC 99314 Revenue Base Share) estimate from the State Controller's Office is further reduced by \$5M to allow fluctuation with actual revenue.							

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations

STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS									
		Formula Allocation Procedure			Prop C 5% Security	Measure R		•Prop C 40% Discretionary Programs	Total State and Local Funds
		TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA + Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 %		20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities		
	Included Operators:								
1	Metro Bus Ops.	\$252,221,812	\$ 40,515,003	\$171,348,332	\$ 27,483,721	\$105,224,433	\$ 6,803,737	\$ 18,929,676	\$622,526,713
	Municipal Operators:								
2	Arcadia	311,113	48,766	206,245	7,493	126,655	15,430	92,340	808,041
3	Claremont	163,382	25,610	108,311	3,184	66,513	6,035	43,103	416,138
4	Commerce	354,290	55,534	234,869	37,361	144,232	27,570	966,704	1,820,561
5	Culver City	4,893,591	767,062	3,244,101	348,264	1,992,192	137,015	1,988,247	13,370,472
6	Foothill Transit	21,547,968	3,377,606	14,284,762	836,183	8,772,224	750,812	9,495,263	59,064,819
7	Gardena	4,871,271	763,563	3,229,304	212,420	1,983,105	122,382	2,371,608	13,553,653
8	La Mirada	113,733	17,827	75,397	2,994	46,301	6,711	26,064	289,026
9	Long Beach	21,646,826	3,353,915	14,184,566	1,642,898	8,710,694	589,162	9,521,612	59,649,673
10	Montebello	7,754,874	1,215,563	5,140,927	459,429	3,157,026	193,567	3,520,841	21,442,226
11	Norwalk	2,801,359	439,108	1,857,100	100,170	1,140,439	57,434	789,764	7,185,374
12	Redondo Beach	688,291	107,888	456,288	23,085	280,205	26,472	205,441	1,787,670
13	Santa Monica	24,486,411	2,897,713	12,255,169	1,083,536	7,525,858	455,213	6,832,541	55,536,441
14	Torrance	5,939,241	930,966	3,937,292	237,056	2,417,878	139,144	3,417,842	17,019,418
15	Sub-Total	95,572,349	14,001,122	59,214,331	4,994,074	36,363,321	2,526,947	39,271,370	251,943,513
	Eligible Operators:								
16	Antelope Valley	-	-	4,419,548	207,653	2,195,021	172,878	1,885,944	8,881,045
17	LADOT	-	-	19,722,694	1,345,595	4,412,405	314,256	7,433,095	33,228,046
18	Santa Clarita	-	-	4,879,561	199,449	2,423,492	182,182	2,549,286	10,233,970
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	4,485,319	-	1,003,466	-	988,567	6,477,352
20	Sub-Total	-	-	33,507,123	1,752,698	10,034,384	669,317	12,856,892	58,820,412
	Tier 2 Operators:								
	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	4,814,482	-	-	-	-	4,814,482
	Glendale	-	-	672,869	-	-	-	-	672,869
	Pasadena	-	-	422,855	-	-	-	-	422,855
	Burbank	-	-	89,794	-	-	-	-	89,794
	Sub-Total	-	-	6,000,000	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
21	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	-	208,237	208,237
22	Total Excluding Metro	95,572,349	14,001,122	98,721,454	6,746,772	46,397,704	3,196,263	52,336,499	316,972,162
	Grand Total	\$347,794,161	\$ 54,516,125	\$270,069,786	\$ 34,230,492	\$151,622,137	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 71,266,174	\$939,498,875

• See next page for Prop C 40% Discretionary program details

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAM DETAILS										
		Foothill Transit Mitigation	Municipal Ops Service Impvt Program	Zero-fare Compensation	Transit Service Expansion	Discretionary Base Restructuring	BSIP Overcrowding Relief	Prop 1B Bridge Funding PTMISEA	Prop 1B Bridge Funding Security	Total Prop C 40% Discretionary
Included Operators:										
1	Metro Bus Ops.	\$ 7,778,718	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 11,150,958	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,929,676
Municipal Operators:										
2	Arcadia	9,363	61,935	-	-	-	21,042	-	-	92,340
3	Claremont	4,917	32,526	-	-	-	-	3,186	2,474	43,103
4	Commerce	10,662	70,531	644,693	-	240,818	-	-	-	966,704
5	Culver City	147,273	974,197	-	232,123	-	162,208	402,419	70,026	1,988,247
6	Foothill Transit	-	4,289,687	-	321,278	1,927,953	897,602	1,784,518	274,226	9,495,263
7	Gardena	146,601	969,754	-	667,204	-	169,332	356,817	61,900	2,371,608
8	La Mirada	3,423	22,641	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,064
9	Long Beach	643,938	4,259,599	-	2,202,767	-	795,102	1,383,233	236,973	9,521,612
10	Montebello	233,383	1,543,811	-	-	1,099,771	209,882	366,203	67,791	3,520,841
11	Norwalk	84,307	557,684	-	-	-	54,304	78,475	14,995	789,764
12	Redondo Beach	20,714	137,022	-	-	-	3,855	33,787	10,062	205,441
13	Santa Monica	556,349	3,680,204	-	-	-	769,264	1,558,334	268,389	6,832,541
14	Torrance	178,742	1,182,361	-	781,224	699,785	232,265	288,859	54,606	3,417,842
15	Sub-Total	2,039,672	17,781,953	644,693	4,204,596	3,968,327	3,314,855	6,255,832	1,061,443	39,271,370
Eligible Operators:										
16	Antelope Valley	20,552	1,073,383	-	363,788	-	46,172	326,683	55,366	1,885,944
17	LADOT	304,876	2,157,701	-	2,613,550	-	144,767	1,904,961	307,240	7,433,095
18	Santa Clarita	22,691	1,185,107	-	190,272	-	49,389	935,288	166,540	2,549,286
19	Foothill BSCP	-	490,703	-	-	-	-	429,605	68,259	988,567
20	Sub-Total	348,119	4,906,893	-	3,167,610	-	240,328	3,596,537	597,405	12,856,892
Tier 2 Operators:										
21	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Glendale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Pasadena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Burbank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Sub-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	208,237	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	208,237
27	Total Excluding Metro	2,387,791	22,688,846	644,693	7,580,442	3,968,327	3,555,184	9,852,368	1,658,848	52,336,499
	Grand Total	\$ 10,166,508	\$ 22,688,846	\$ 644,693	\$ 7,580,442	\$ 3,968,327	\$ 14,706,142	\$ 9,852,368	\$ 1,658,848	\$ 71,266,174

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS								
Urbanized Formula Program (Section 5307)								
		85% Formula Allocation	15% Discretionary	1% Transit Enhancement	COP Lease Payment	Total Allocations	Fund Exchanges	Net
Included Operators:								
1	Metro Bus Ops.	\$ 137,292,867	\$ 17,161,330	\$ 949,832		\$ 155,404,029	\$ (4,469,424)	\$ 150,934,605
Municipal Operators:								
2	Arcadia	311,356	-	-		311,356	37,647	349,003
3	Claremont	121,781	-	-		121,781	14,725	136,506
4	Commerce	556,330	-	-		556,330	67,268	623,598
5	Culver City	2,764,840	320,000	-	810,000	3,894,840	334,306	4,229,145
6	Foothill Transit	15,150,674	680,000	-		15,830,674	3,970,868	19,801,543
7	Gardena	2,469,550	4,937,358	-		7,406,908	424,494	7,831,402
8	La Mirada	135,414	-	-		135,414	16,373	151,787
9	Long Beach	11,888,716	5,573,622	360,000		17,822,338	1,327,596	19,149,934
10	Montebello	3,905,990	-	-		3,905,990	472,286	4,378,276
11	Norwalk	1,158,970	-	-		1,158,970	140,135	1,299,105
12	Redondo Beach	534,188	-	-		534,188	64,590	598,779
13	Santa Monica	9,185,759	5,900,348	1,026,475		16,112,582	(4,746,245)	11,366,337
14	Torrance	2,807,792	-	-		2,807,792	518,087	3,325,879
15	Sub-Total	50,991,360	17,411,328	1,386,475	810,000	70,599,163	2,642,132	73,241,294
						-		-
Eligible Operators:								
16	Antelope Valley	122,867	-	-		122,867	440,497	563,364
17	LADOT	6,341,386	-	-		6,341,386	1,246,142	7,587,528
18	Santa Clarita	1,163,250	-	-		1,163,250	140,652	1,303,902
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-		-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	7,627,503	-	-	-	7,627,503	1,827,292	9,454,795
Tier 2 Operators:								
	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Glendale	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Pasadena	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Burbank	-	-	-		-	-	-
	Sub-Total	-	-	-		-	-	-
21	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-			\$ -	-
22	Total Excluding Metro	58,618,863	17,411,328	1,386,475	810,000	78,226,666	4,469,424	82,696,089
	Grand Total	\$ 195,911,730	\$ 34,572,658	\$ 2,336,307	\$ 810,000	\$ 233,630,695	\$ -	\$ 233,630,695

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

FEDERAL FORMULA GRANTS (CONTINUED)								
	Bus & Bus Facilities (Section 5339)			State of Good Repair (Section 5337)			Total Federal Formula Grants Allocation	
	\$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Net	\$Allocation	Fund Exchange	Net		
Included Operators:								
1	Metro Bus Ops.	\$ 16,600,537	\$ 7,087,802	\$ 23,688,339	\$ 72,682,363	\$ 3,631,622	\$ 76,313,985	\$ 250,936,929
Municipal Operators:								
2	Arcadia	37,647	(37,647)	-	-	-	-	349,003
3	Claremont	14,725	(14,725)	-	-	-	-	136,506
4	Commerce	67,268	(67,268)	-	-	-	-	623,598
5	Culver City	334,306	(334,306)	-	-	-	-	4,229,145
6	Foothill Transit	1,831,918	(1,831,918)	-	2,138,950	(2,138,950)	-	19,801,543
7	Gardena	298,602	(298,602)	-	125,892	(125,892)	-	7,831,402
8	La Mirada	16,373	(16,373)	-	-	-	-	151,787
9	Long Beach	1,437,504	(1,437,504)	-	140,092	(140,092)	-	19,149,934
10	Montebello	472,286	(472,286)	-	-	-	-	4,378,276
11	Norwalk	140,135	(140,135)	-	-	-	-	1,299,105
12	Redondo Beach	64,590	(64,590)	-	-	-	-	598,779
13	Santa Monica	1,110,681	(1,110,681)	-	143,075	(143,075)	-	11,366,337
14	Torrance	339,499	(339,499)	-	178,588	(178,588)	-	3,325,879
15	Sub-Total	6,165,535	(6,165,535)	-	2,726,597	(2,726,597)	-	73,241,294
Eligible Operators:								
16	Antelope Valley	14,856	(14,856)	-	425,641	(425,641)	-	563,364
17	LADOT	766,758	(766,758)	-	479,384	(479,384)	-	7,587,528
18	Santa Clarita	140,652	(140,652)	-	-	-	-	1,303,902
19	Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Sub-Total	922,267	(922,267)	-	905,025	(905,025)	-	9,454,795
Tier 2 Operators:								
21	LADOT Community Dash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Glendale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
23	Pasadena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
24	Burbank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
25	Sub-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	Total Excluding Metro	7,087,802	(7,087,802)	-	3,631,622	(3,631,622)	-	82,696,089
	Grand Total	\$ 23,688,339	\$ -	\$ 23,688,339	\$ 76,313,985	\$ -	\$ 76,313,985	\$ 333,633,019

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

FY2016 FTA Section 5307 15% Capital Discretionary and 1% Associated Transit Improvement Fund Allocation							
BOS Approval							
Section 5307 15%							
Project Proposal	Sponsor	Amount Requested	% of amount requested	Avg Score	% Award	Award Value	
Regional Training	SCR TTC	\$ 250,000				\$ 250,000	
Bus Replacement - (350) 40' CNG	METRO	\$ 40,000,000	64.35%	81.7	50%	\$ 17,161,329	
Bus Replacement - (14) 40' CNG	SM Big Blue Bus	\$ 6,210,893	9.99%	89.3	95%	\$ 5,900,348	
Electric Charging Stations	Foothill	\$ 800,000	1.29%	88.3	85%	\$ 680,000	
Bus Replacement - (15) 40' CNG	Long Beach Transit	\$ 6,654,528	10.71%	87.4	80%	\$ 5,323,622	
Bus Wash Replacement Project	Culver City Bus	\$ 320,000	0.51%	85.8	100%	\$ 320,000	
Bus Replacement - (12) Electric	G-Trans	\$ 8,172,317	13.15%	84.5	60%	\$ 4,937,358	
Total Requested		\$ 62,407,738				\$ 34,572,658	
Section 5307 15%							
Project Proposal	Sponsor	Amount Requested	% of amount requested	Avg Score	% Value (of request)	REVISED Award Value	
Bus Stop Improvement Project	Long Beach Transit	\$ 360,000	9.16%	90.1	100.00%	\$ 360,000	
Expo Light Rail bus stop Improvement	Santa Monica's BBB	\$ 1,600,000	40.73%	88.2	70.40%	\$ 1,026,475	(1)
Bus Stop Improvements	Culver City Bus	\$ 500,000	12.73%	87.8	67.30%	\$ -	
Orange Line Ped Access Improvements	METRO	\$ 1,468,000	37.37%	81.3	35.00%	\$ 949,832	(2)
Total Requested		\$ 3,928,000				\$ 2,336,307	
(1) \$100,000 of Sant Monica's allocation is deferred in favor of Metro. This allocation will be taken off the top from FY2017 1% Enhancement Fund.							
(2) Culver City's allocation in the amount of \$336,492 is deferred in favor of Metro. This allocation will be taken off the top from FY2017 1% Enhancement fund.							

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAMS		
	<u>PRIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS:</u>	<u>FY16 Allocation</u>
1	Agoura Hills	\$ 86,630
2	Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled	320,426
3	Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van	27,893
4	Culver City Community Transit and LA County	62,873
5	Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County	164,422
6	Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge	250,415
7	Huntington Park, Bell, South Gate and LA County	14,018
8	Inglewood Transit and LA County	191,168
9	LA County (Whittier et al)	161,665
10	LA County (Willowbrook)	53,486
11	Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride	373,476
12	Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride	1,888,629
13	Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County	152,904
14	Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R.	42,666
15	Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit	358,479
16	Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County	395,346
17	Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About)	561,777
18	Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC)	92,327
19	Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach	58,543
20	Santa Clarita D.A.R.	815,312
21	West Hollywood (DAR)	291,689
22	West Hollywood (Taxi)	53,242
23	Whittier (DAR)	340,100
24	1st Priority Sub-total	\$ 6,757,486
	<u>PRIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION</u>	
	(IF PROP A DISC. CANNOT FULLY FUND THESE SYSTEMS)	
25	City of L.A. - Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle	\$ -
26	Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route	-
27	Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route	-
28	Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project	-
29	2nd Priority Sub-total	\$ -
30	<u>PRIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT</u>	\$ -
31	<u>PRIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES</u>	\$ -

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAMS				
Priority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING: FY14 NTD Report Year		Estimate	Tier 2 Deduction (1)	FY16 Net Allocation
32	City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$ 134,937		\$ 134,937
33	City of Artesia (DR)	6,204		6,204
34	City of Azusa (DR)	42,703		42,703
35	City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)	131,345		131,345
36	City of Bell (MB/DR)	16,924		16,924
37	City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR)	63,240		63,240
38	City of Bellflower (MB and DR)	45,591		45,591
39	City of Burbank (MB)*	107,853	17,196	90,657
40	City of Carson (MB and DT)	193,695		193,695
41	City of Cerritos (MB and DR)	67,528		67,528
42	City of Compton (MB)	55,609		55,609
43	City of Covina (DR)	28,913		28,913
44	City of Cudahy (MB and DR)	27,582		27,582
45	City of Downey (MB and DR)	94,093		94,093
46	City of Duarte (MB)	36,400		36,400
47	City of El Monte (MB and DR)	151,283		151,283
48	City of Glendora (MB and DR)	58,476		58,476
49	City of Glendale (MB)*	298,381	47,575	250,806
50	City of Huntington Park (MB)	63,471		63,471
51	City of Los Angeles -- Community DASH* (MB)	1,408,770	224,617	1,184,153
52	City of Los Angeles -- Department of Aging (DR)	195,007		195,007
53	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Avocado Heights (MB)	15,516		15,516
54	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East Valinda (MB)	27,516		27,516
55	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- East LA (MB and DR)	208,286		208,286
56	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- Willowbrook (MB)	37,614		37,614
57	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- King Medical (MB)	11,208		11,208
58	LA County Dept. of Public Works -- South Whittier (MB)	54,121		54,121
59	City of Lawndale (MB)	34,789		34,789
60	City of Lynwood (MB)	63,448		63,448
61	City of Malibu (DT)	21,365		21,365
62	City of Manhattan Beach (DR)	16,624		16,624
63	City of Maywood (DR)	4,513		4,513
64	City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)	106,695		106,695
65	City of Pasadena (MB)*	263,065	41,944	221,121
66	City of Pico Rivera (DR)	23,874		23,874
67	City of Rosemead (MB and DR)	76,197		76,197
68	City of Santa fe Springs (DR)	4,335		4,335
69	City of South Gate (DT and MB)	145,310		145,310
70	City of South Pasadena (DR)	10,435		10,435
71	City of West Covina (MB and DR)	96,813		96,813
72	City of West Hollywood (MB)	31,850		31,850
73	5th Priority Sub-Total	\$ 4,481,579	\$ 331,332	\$ 4,150,247

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAMS			
	<u>PRIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS</u>		
74	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$ 650,000
75	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)		250,000
76	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service		1,057,000
77	6th Priority Sub-total		\$ 1,957,000
78	Total Expenditures		\$ 12,864,733
79	Reserves for contingencies (2)		1,806,496
80	Sub-total		14,671,229
81	Estimated Revenue		14,671,229
82	Surplus (Deficit)		\$ -
	NOTES:		
	(1) Tier 2 Operators' shares have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.		

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C AND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURNS TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLES 3 AND 8										
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	TDA Article 3	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
		DOF Report 2014 data	as % of County	Local Return Estimate	Local Return Estimate	Local Return Estimate	Ped & Bike [1]	Population	Article 8 Allocation	
1	AGOURA HILLS	20,625	0.2054%	\$ 372,439	\$ 308,928	\$ 231,699	\$ 13,155		\$ -	\$ 926,222
2	ALHAMBRA	84,697	0.8434%	1,529,429	1,268,621	951,477	53,968			3,803,495
3	ARCADIA	57,500	0.5726%	1,038,315	861,255	645,949	36,644			2,582,163
4	ARTESIA	16,776	0.1671%	302,935	251,277	188,460	10,703			753,375
5	AVALON	3,820	0.0380%	68,980	57,217	42,913	5,000	3,820	143,632	317,743
6	AZUSA	48,385	0.4818%	873,719	724,727	543,552	30,838			2,172,837
7	BALDWIN PARK	76,715	0.7640%	1,385,293	1,149,064	861,808	48,884			3,445,048
8	BELL	35,972	0.3582%	649,570	538,801	404,106	22,931			1,615,407
9	BELLFLOWER	77,741	0.7742%	1,403,820	1,164,432	873,334	49,537			3,491,123
10	BELL GARDENS	42,667	0.4249%	770,466	639,081	479,317	27,196			1,916,059
11	BEVERLY HILLS	34,677	0.3453%	626,185	519,404	389,558	22,106			1,557,253
12	BRADBURY	1,082	0.0108%	19,538	16,207	12,155	5,000			52,900
13	BURBANK	105,543	1.0510%	1,905,858	1,580,859	1,185,659	67,247			4,739,624
14	CALABASAS	23,943	0.2384%	432,354	358,627	268,973	15,269			1,075,223
15	CARSON	92,636	0.9225%	1,672,788	1,387,534	1,040,663	59,025			4,160,011
16	CERRITOS	49,741	0.4953%	898,206	745,038	558,785	31,702			2,233,730
17	CLAREMONT	35,920	0.3577%	648,631	538,022	403,522	22,898			1,613,072
18	COMMERCE	13,003	0.1295%	234,804	194,763	146,074	8,300			583,941
19	COMPTON	98,082	0.9767%	1,771,130	1,469,106	1,101,843	62,494			4,404,574
20	COVINA	48,619	0.4842%	877,945	728,232	546,181	30,987			2,183,345
21	CUDAHY	24,142	0.2404%	435,948	361,607	271,209	15,395			1,084,159
22	CULVER CITY	39,579	0.3941%	714,704	592,828	444,626	25,229			1,777,387
23	DIAMOND BAR	56,400	0.5617%	1,018,451	844,779	633,592	35,943			2,532,765
24	DOWNEY	113,363	1.1289%	2,047,069	1,697,990	1,273,508	72,228			5,090,796
25	DUARTE	21,668	0.2158%	391,273	324,551	243,416	13,820			973,060
26	EL MONTE	115,064	1.1459%	2,077,785	1,723,468	1,292,617	73,312			5,167,182
27	EL SEGUNDO	16,897	0.1683%	305,120	253,089	189,819	10,780			758,809
28	GARDENA	60,082	0.5983%	1,084,940	899,929	674,955	38,289			2,698,112
29	GLENDALE	195,799	1.9498%	3,535,670	2,932,745	2,199,585	124,739			8,792,739
30	GLENORA	51,290	0.5108%	926,177	768,239	576,186	32,688			2,303,291
31	HAWAIIAN GARDENS	14,456	0.1440%	261,041	216,527	162,397	9,226			649,191
32	HAWTHORNE	86,644	0.8628%	1,564,587	1,297,784	973,350	55,208			3,890,929
33	HERMOSA BEACH	19,750	0.1967%	356,639	295,822	221,869	12,598			886,928
34	HIDDEN HILLS	1,901	0.0189%	34,328	28,474	21,356	5,000			89,157
35	HUNTINGTON PARK	59,033	0.5879%	1,065,997	884,217	663,171	37,621			2,651,005

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C AND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURNS TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLES 3 AND 8										
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	TDA Article 3	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
		DOF Report	as % of	Local Return	Local Return	Local Return	Ped & Bike	Population	Article 8	
		2014 data	County	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate	[1]		Allocation	
36	INDUSTRY [3]	438	0.0044%	7,909	6,561	4,920	-			19,390
37	INGLEWOOD	111,795	1.1133%	2,018,755	1,674,504	1,255,893	71,229			5,020,382
38	IRWINDALE	1,466	0.0146%	26,473	21,958	16,469	5,000			69,900
39	LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,535	0.2045%	370,814	307,580	230,688	13,098			922,180
40	LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,420	0.0540%	97,872	81,183	60,888	5,000			244,943
41	LAKEWOOD	81,224	0.8089%	1,466,715	1,216,601	912,462	51,756			3,647,533
42	LA MIRADA	49,178	0.4897%	888,039	736,605	552,461	31,343			2,208,448
43	LANCASTER	159,878	1.5921%	2,887,021	2,394,708	1,796,053	101,858	159,878	6,011,397	13,191,036
44	LA PUENTE	40,478	0.4031%	730,938	606,293	454,726	25,801			1,817,758
45	LA VERNE	32,228	0.3209%	581,962	482,722	362,046	20,546			1,447,276
46	LAWNDALE	33,228	0.3309%	600,020	497,700	373,280	21,183			1,492,183
47	LOMITA	20,630	0.2054%	372,529	309,003	231,755	13,158			926,446
48	LONG BEACH	470,292	4.6833%	8,492,368	7,044,196	5,283,211	299,587			21,119,362
49	LOS ANGELES CITY	3,904,657	38.8840%	70,508,927	58,485,300	43,864,508	2,825,874			175,684,608
50	LYNWOOD	70,980	0.7068%	1,281,732	1,063,163	797,382	45,231			3,187,507
51	MALIBU	12,865	0.1281%	232,312	192,696	144,524	8,212			577,744
52	MANHATTAN BEACH	35,619	0.3547%	643,195	533,514	400,140	22,706			1,599,555
53	MAYWOOD	27,758	0.2764%	501,244	415,769	311,830	17,699			1,246,542
54	MONROVIA	37,162	0.3701%	671,058	556,625	417,474	23,689			1,668,847
55	MONTEBELLO	63,527	0.6326%	1,147,148	951,529	713,656	40,483			2,852,816
56	MONTEREY PARK	61,777	0.6152%	1,115,547	925,317	693,996	39,368			2,774,229
57	NORWALK	106,630	1.0619%	1,925,487	1,597,141	1,197,870	67,939			4,788,438
58	PALMDALE	155,657	1.5501%	2,810,800	2,331,484	1,748,634	99,169	155,657	5,852,688	12,842,775
59	PALOS VERDES ESTATES	13,665	0.1361%	246,758	204,679	153,511	8,722			613,670
60	PARAMOUNT	55,051	0.5482%	994,092	824,573	618,437	35,084			2,472,186
61	PASADENA	140,879	1.4029%	2,543,944	2,110,134	1,582,620	89,755			6,326,453
62	PICO RIVERA	63,873	0.6361%	1,153,396	956,712	717,543	40,704			2,868,354
63	POMONA	151,713	1.5108%	2,739,580	2,272,410	1,704,328	96,657			6,812,974
64	RANCHO PALOS VERDES	42,358	0.4218%	764,886	634,453	475,845	26,999			1,902,183
65	REDONDO BEACH	67,717	0.6744%	1,222,810	1,014,289	760,726	43,152			3,040,976
66	ROLLING HILLS	1,895	0.0189%	34,219	28,384	21,288	5,000			88,891
67	ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,184	0.0815%	147,784	122,583	91,938	5,230			367,535
68	ROSEMEAD	54,762	0.5453%	988,873	820,244	615,191	34,900			2,459,208
69	SAN DIMAS	34,072	0.3393%	615,260	510,342	382,761	21,721			1,530,084
70	SAN FERNANDO	24,222	0.2412%	437,392	362,805	272,107	15,446			1,087,752

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2016 Transit Fund Allocations**

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C AND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURNS TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLES 3 AND 8										
	LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population	Population	Proposition A	Proposition C	Measure R	TDA Article 3	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
		DOF Report 2014 data	as % of County	Local Return Estimate	Local Return Estimate	Local Return Estimate	Ped & Bike [1]	Population	Article 8 Allocation	
71	SAN GABRIEL	40,313	0.4015%	727,958	603,822	452,872	25,696			1,810,348
72	SAN MARINO	13,341	0.1329%	240,907	199,826	149,871	8,515			599,120
73	SANTA CLARITA	209,130	2.0826%	3,776,396	3,132,421	2,349,345	133,231	209,130	7,863,268	17,254,660
74	SANTA FE SPRINGS	17,349	0.1728%	313,282	259,859	194,897	11,068			779,107
75	SANTA MONICA	92,185	0.9180%	1,664,644	1,380,779	1,035,597	58,738			4,139,758
76	SIERRA MADRE	11,094	0.1105%	200,332	166,170	124,629	7,084			498,214
77	SIGNAL HILL	11,411	0.1136%	206,056	170,918	128,190	7,286			512,450
78	SOUTH EL MONTE	20,426	0.2034%	368,846	305,948	229,464	13,028			917,285
79	SOUTH GATE	96,057	0.9566%	1,734,564	1,438,775	1,079,094	61,204			4,313,637
80	SOUTH PASADENA	26,011	0.2590%	469,698	389,602	292,205	16,586			1,168,090
81	TEMPLE CITY	36,134	0.3598%	652,495	541,228	405,926	23,034			1,622,682
82	TORRANCE	147,706	1.4709%	2,667,223	2,212,391	1,659,314	94,104			6,633,033
83	VERNON [4]	122	0.0012%	2,203	1,827		5,000			9,030
84	WALNUT	30,112	0.2999%	543,752	451,028	338,275	19,198			1,352,253
85	WEST COVINA	107,828	1.0738%	1,947,120	1,615,085	1,211,328	68,702			4,842,236
86	WEST HOLLYWOOD	35,072	0.3493%	633,318	525,321	393,995	22,358			1,574,991
87	WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,386	0.0835%	151,431	125,608	94,207	5,359			376,606
88	WHITTIER	86,538	0.8618%	1,562,673	1,296,196	972,159	55,141			3,886,169
89	UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,046,557	10.4220%	18,898,359	15,675,692	11,756,912	1,456,817	109,504	4,117,340	51,905,120
90	TOTAL	10,041,797	100.0000%	\$ 181,331,250	\$ 150,409,500	\$ 112,807,125	\$ 7,551,412	637,989	\$ 23,988,324	\$ 476,087,611
NOTES:										
Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's 2014 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research										
Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.										
TDA Article 3 Allocation:										
[1] 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.										
[3] City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.										
[4] City of Vernon has opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.										

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
2015 Transit Fund Allocations

Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions
Revenue Estimates

- Revenue estimate is 3.2% over FY2015 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 1.97% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition A Discretionary program for included operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2015 allocation.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$45 million is used to fund formula equivalents for eligible and Tier 2 operators.
- Proposition 1B PTMISEA Bridge funding allocation represents the 3rd of four installments of FY2011 funding allocation.
- Proposition 1B Security Bridge funding allocation represents FY2013 funding allocation.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339 and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments. Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS), while Section 5337 is calculated using the same formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Estimates are based on FY2016 estimated revenues. Operators' shares of sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of section 5307 allocation.

Bus Transit Subsidies (\$672.4M)

Formula Allocation Procedure

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County included and eligible operators submitted their FY2014 Transit Performance Measures

ATTACHMENT F

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

data for the FY2016 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare). In November 2008, the Board approved Funding Stability Policy where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

Tier 2 Operators Funding Program was approved by the Board in April 2010 to provide operating assistance to LADOT Community Dash program and Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank's fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated by the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing included and eligible operators. This program was funded \$6 million each year for three years beginning FY2011 from the \$18 million GOI funds that was set aside by the Board in FY2008. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program in FY2016 for the amount of \$6 million.

Measure R 20% Bus Operations (\$151.6M)

Measure R, which voters approved in November 2008, provides that 20% of the revenues be allocated to bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology. In addition, Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150M over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County municipal operators at \$10 million every two years.

Proposition C 5% Security (\$34.2M)

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based from the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs (\$71.3M)

- **Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP).** MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program as continued is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All municipal operators participate in this program, and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.

ATTACHMENT F

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated with an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- **Foothill Mitigation.** This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an included operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data are frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the Bus Operator Sub-Committee (BOS) in November 1995.
- **Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE).** The TSE Program continues for five municipal operators for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- **Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re).** The Base Re-Structuring Program continues for four municipal operators who added service before 1990. These four municipal operators were given additional funding from Proposition C 40% Discretionary.
- **Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP).** The BSIP also continues to address service improvements on overcrowded non-Metro bus lines used primarily by the transit dependent. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators, except Claremont, La Mirada and Commerce, participate in this program.
- **Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program.** The Bridge Funding Program is established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program is to continue through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY2015, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) account represents the second of four installments the operators earned from FY2011 Proposition 1B allocation; Bridge Funding for the Security account represents the full funding earned from the FY2012 allocation.

Federal Funds

Section 5307 Urbanized Formula Program (\$233.6M)

Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2016, \$233.6 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of

ATTACHMENT F

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. 15% Capital Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with Bus Operations Subcommittee's review and concurrence.

At its April 15, 2014 meeting, the Bus Operators Subcommittee allocated \$250,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCR TTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

Section 5339 Bus and Bus Facilities (\$23.7M)

The two-year transportation reauthorization bill that was signed into law on July 6, 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. (U.S.C. Section 5339 /MAP-21 Section 20029 – Bus and Bus Facilities). Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2016, \$23.7 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Section 5337 State of Good Repair (\$76.3M)

MAP-21 also introduced a new formula-based State of Good Repair program (49 U. S. C. Section 5337 /MAP-21 Section 20027) dedicated to repairing and upgrading the nation's rail transit systems along with the high-intensity motor bus systems that use high-occupancy vehicle lanes, including bus rapid transit. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- **High Intensity Fixed Guideway** – provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2016, **\$70.4** million is allocated to Metro and municipal operations.
- **High Intensity Motorbus** - provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2016, **\$5.9** million is allocated to Metro operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated

ATTACHMENT F

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

Proposition A Incentive Programs (\$14.7M)

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data through our Consolidated NTD Report for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland will continue to receive \$650,000 in subsidy; Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy remains at \$250,000 while Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy will remain at to \$1,057,000.

Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8 (\$476M)

- **Proposition A 25% Local Return (\$181.3M), Proposition C 20% (\$150.4M) Local Return and Measure R 15% Local Return (\$112.8M) funds estimates** are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R ordinances. The City of Vernon opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.
- **TDA Article 3 funds (\$7.6M)**. 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively. The remaining 85% is allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.
- **TDA Article 8 funds (\$24M)** are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. These are Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds

ATTACHMENT F

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.

ATTACHMENT G

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2016 Transit Fund Allocations

RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

WHEREAS, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

WHEREAS, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

WHEREAS, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.

ATTACHMENT G

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2016 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

WHEREAS, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

WHEREAS, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

NOW THEREFORE,

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2015-16 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A through E.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.; the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local

ATTACHMENT G

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2016 Transit Fund Allocations

Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel,

to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June, 2015.

MICHELE JACKSON
Board Secretary

DATED:
(SEAL)