



MANAGEMENT AUDIT SERVICES

### MEASURE M INDEPENDENT TAXPAYER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF METRO ANNUAL REPORT ON FISCAL YEAR 2024 MEASURE M AUDITS

### INTRODUCTION

On November 8, 2016, Los Angeles County voters approved Measure M, which imposed a onehalf of one percent (.5%) transactions and use tax to fund transportation improvements in the County. The rate of this tax will increase to one percent (1.0%) on July 1, 2039, immediately upon the expiration of the .5% tax imposed by Ordinance No. 08-01 of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Measure R).

Measure M, also known as the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan ("Ordinance"), establishes an Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee and an oversight process to ensure that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) complies with the terms of the Ordinance. The oversight process requires that annual audits be conducted within six months after the end of the fiscal year to determine compliance with the provisions of the Ordinance related to the receipt and expenditure of sales tax revenues during the fiscal year. The audit reports must be provided to the Oversight Committee to determine whether Metro and local subrecipients have complied with the Measure M requirements (see Exhibit 1) and communicate its findings to the Metro Board.

In compliance with the Ordinance, Metro contracted with BCA Watson, LLP to perform the independent audit of the Measure M Special Revenue Fund. Metro also contracted with two firms to conduct the audits of Measure M sales tax revenues allocated to the Local Return program used by the County of Los Angeles (County) and the 88 cities (Cities) within the County. The report prepared by Vasquez & Company LLP covers the audits of the County and 39 of the Cities, and the report prepared by Simpson & Simpson LLP covers the audits of 49 of the Cities. (These Audits are attached as Exhibits 2, 3, and 4.)

### THE AUDITS

The Independent Auditors' reports on the Measure M Special Revenue Fund found that Metro, the County, and the Cities complied in all material respects with the Ordinance requirements applicable to the Measure M revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

The audits of compliance with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines of the County and 88 Cities found twelve (12) instances of non-compliance within eleven (11) jurisdictions. Audit findings were in three basic categories as follows:

- Failure to Obtain Approval Before Incurring Expenses: Five (5) cities failed to obtain approval before incurring expenditures. Cities are required to obtain project approval prior to expending funds by submitting a Form M-One which lists the project name, amount of Measure M Local Return funds to be budgeted for the project, project description, and justification, which is necessary for the project to be reviewed by Metro for Measure M Local Return eligibility per the Local Return Guidelines. The findings have been resolved as the Cities subsequently submitted the required forms and obtained retroactive approvals from Metro.
- Timely Use of Funds: Three (3) findings of Cities not spending funds within the five-year period allotted. The findings have been resolved as the Cities were granted extensions to utilize the lapsed funds.

Inadequate accounting procedures, record keeping, and/or documentation: Three (3) cities failed to complete year-end accounting closing processes. One (1) city failed to conduct a timely bank reconciliation process. Cities are required to maintain proper accounting records and documentation. The Cities are in the process of correcting their procedures and the auditors will verify whether corrective actions are properly implemented by the Cities during the fiscal year 2025 audit.

### MEASURE M OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE REVIEW

The Measure M Oversight Committee received the three audit reports in February 2025. The Committee reviewed the reports and met on March 5, 2025. At that meeting, the Committee received a formal presentation of the audit reports from each of the three audit firms.

The Committee asked about and received satisfactory responses regarding Cities' failure to complete the year-end financial closing process, as well as whether there are any actions staff can take to ensure compliance. The Committee was satisfied with the audit reports.

### MEASURE M OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE FINDINGS

(1) The audits were performed in accordance with the Ordinance that the voters approved in 2016;

(2) Metro complied, in all material respects, with the Ordinance requirements applicable to the Measure M revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024; and

(3) The County and Cities complied in all material respects with the Measure M Ordinance and guidelines that are applicable to the Measure M Local Return program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

The audits found twelve (12) instances of non-compliance within eleven (11) local jurisdictions, which have been resolved or are in the process of being resolved.

### RESOLUTION OF THE INDEPENDENT TAXPAYER OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE MAKING FINDINGS REGARDING THE ANNUAL AUDIT PURSUANT TO THE MEASURE M ORDINANCE

WHEREAS, on November 8, 2016, Los Angeles County voters approved Measure M that imposed a one-half of one percent (.5%) transactions and use tax to fund transportation improvements in the County; and

WHEREAS, Measure M, also known as the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan, establishes an Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee and an oversight process to ensure that the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) complies with the terms of the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the oversight process requires that annual audits be conducted within six months after the end of the fiscal year to determine compliance with the provisions of the Ordinance related to the receipt and expenditure of sales tax revenues during the fiscal year; and

WHEREAS, the audits must be provided to the Oversight Committee so that the Oversight Committee can determine whether Metro and local sub-recipients have complied with the Measure M requirements; and

WHEREAS, under contract with Metro, BCA Watson Rice, LLP (BCA) performed the independent audit of the Measure M Special Revenue Fund, and Vasquez & Company, LLP and Simpson & Simpson LLP audited the compliance of the County of Los Angeles (County) and the 88 cities (Cities) with regard to the Measure M Local Return program;

NOW, THEREFORE, the Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee of Metro finds that:

The audits were performed in accordance with the Ordinance that the voters approved in 2016;

Metro complied, in all material respects, with the Ordinance requirements applicable to the Measure M revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024;

The County and Cities complied with the Ordinance requirements that are applicable to the Measure M Local Return program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024. The audits found twelve (12) instances of non-compliance in eleven (11) local jurisdictions, including two (2) material weaknesses in internal controls over compliance. All but four (4) compliance issues have been resolved. Metro staff is working with the jurisdictions to resolve the remaining issues.

Prepared by: Ronald Stamm, Principal Deputy County Counsel

Signed: Collette Langston, Metro Board Clerk

day of June 2025.

Adopted this



## Independent Auditor's Report On Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures For Measure M Special Revenue Fund

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 (With Comparative Totals For 2023)



2355 Crenshaw Blvd. Suite 150 Torrance, CA 90501 t: (310) 792-4640 f: (310) 792-4140

# Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Special Revenue Fund For the Year Ended June 30, 2024

### Table of Contents

| Page                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Independent Auditor's Report1                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures:                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Measure M Special Revenue Fund<br>Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures4                                                                                                                                                        |
| Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for<br>Measure M Special Revenue Fund                                                                                                                                        |
| Required Supplemental Information (Unaudited):                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - Budget and Actual<br>For the fiscal year ended June 30, 20249                                                                                                                           |
| Other Information (Unaudited):                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Schedule of Expenditures by Subfund and Programs - Budget and Actual<br>For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024                                                                                                                 |
| Schedule of Fund Balances by Subfund and Programs<br>For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024                                                                                                                                    |
| Other Reports:                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting<br>and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements<br>Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to<br>Measure M Revenues and Expenditures in Accordance with the<br>Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan                                         |
| Summary of Current Year Audit Findings                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Status of Prior Year Audit Findings                                                                                                                                                                                             |



2355 Crenshaw Blvd. Suite 150 Torrance, CA 90501 Telephone: 310.792.4640 Facsimile: 310.792.4331 www.bcawr.com

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### Report on the Audit of the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures

### **Opinion**

We have audited the accompanying Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedule) of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the Schedule, which collectively comprise LACMTA's basic Schedule as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the Schedule referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Measure M Revenues and Expenditures of LACMTA for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule section of our report. We are required to be independent of the LACMTA and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 3 to the Schedule, the accompanying Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures of the Measure M Fund is intended to present the revenues and expenditures attributable to the Measure M Fund. They do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the LACMTA, as of June 30, 2024, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our report is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Responsibilities of Management for the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the Schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Schedule as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the Schedule.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the Schedule, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the Schedule.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the Schedule.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

### **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the budgetary comparison information be presented to supplement the basic Schedule. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic Schedule, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of the financial reporting for placing the basic Schedule in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic Schedule, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic Schedule. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information or provide any assurance.

### **Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures by subfund and programs - budget to actual and the schedule of fund balances by subfund and programs for the fiscal year ended and as of June 30, 2024, on pages 10 and 11 are presented for purposes of additional analyses and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

### **Prior-Year Comparative Information**

We have previously audited the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures of LACMTA, and we expressed an unmodified audit opinion in our report dated November 28, 2023. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited Schedule from which it has been derived.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 2, 2024, on our consideration of LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A Watson Rice, LLP

Torrance, California December 2, 2024

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Measure M Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 (With Comparative Totals for 2023) (Amounts expressed in thousands)

|                                                         | <br>2024        | <br>2023        |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Revenues                                                |                 |                 |
| Sales tax                                               | \$<br>1,091,069 | \$<br>1,106,177 |
| Intergovernmental                                       | 586             | 1,581           |
| Investment income                                       | 44,748          | 29,304          |
| Net appreciation (decline) in fair value of investments | <br>11,077      | <br>(1,647)     |
| Total revenues                                          | <br>1,147,480   | <br>1,135,415   |
| Expenditures                                            |                 |                 |
| Administration and other transportation projects        | 60,985          | 64,634          |
| Transportation subsidies                                | 412,446         | 346,936         |
| Debt and interest expenditures                          |                 |                 |
| Principal                                               | <br>1,500       | <br>-           |
| Total expenditures                                      | <br>474,931     | <br>411,570     |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures                    | <br>672,549     | <br>723,845     |
| Other financing sources (uses)                          |                 |                 |
| Transfers in                                            | 32,524          | 837             |
| Transfers out                                           | <br>(685,259)   | <br>(685,159)   |
| Total other financing sources (uses)                    | <br>(652,735)   | <br>(684,322)   |
| Excess of revenues and other financing sources over     |                 |                 |
| expenditures and other financing uses                   | \$<br>19,814    | \$<br>39,523    |

The Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures are an integral part of this Schedule.

The Notes to the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures are summaries of significant accounting policies and other disclosures considered necessary for a clear understanding of the accompanying schedule of revenues and expenditures.

Unless otherwise stated, all dollar amounts are expressed in thousands.

### 1. Organization

### General

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is governed by a Board of Directors composed of five members of the County Board of Supervisors, the Mayor of the City of Los Angeles, three members appointed by the Mayor, and four members who are either mayors or members of a city council and have been appointed by the Los Angeles County City Selection Committee to represent the other cities in the County and a non-voting member appointed by the Governor of the State of California.

LACMTA is unique among the nation's transportation agencies. It serves as transportation planner and coordinator, designer, builder, and operator for one of the country's largest and most populous counties. More than 10 million people, about one-third of California's residents, live, work, and play within its 1,433-square-mile service area.

### Measure M

Measure M, also known as Ordinance No. 16-01, the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan, is a special revenue fund used to account for the proceeds of the voter-approved one-half percent sales tax that became effective on November 8, 2016, and the rate of the tax shall increase to one percent on July 1, 2039, immediately upon expiration of the one-half percent sales tax imposed by Traffic Relief and Rail Expansion Ordinance (Measure M).

Revenues collected are required to be allocated in the following manner: 1) 5% for Metro rail operations; 2) 20% for transit operations (Metro and Municipal Providers); 3) 2% for ADA Paratransit for the disabled and Metro discounts for seniors and students; 4) 35% for transit construction; 5) 2% for Metro State of Good Repair projects; 6) 17% for highway construction; 7) 2% for Metro active transportation program; 8) 16% for local return - base for local projects and transit services; and 9) 1% for local return for regional rail.

### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for the Measure M Special Revenue Fund was prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) in the United States of America as applied to governmental units. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the recognized standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles for governments.

### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

The most significant of LACMTA's accounting policies with regard to the special revenue fund type are described below:

### **Fund Accounting**

LACMTA utilizes fund accounting to report its financial position and the results of its operations. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain governmental functions or activities. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Funds are classified into three categories: governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary. Governmental Funds are used to account for most of LACMTA's governmental activities. The measurement focus is a determination of changes in financial position, rather than a net income determination. LACMTA uses the governmental fund type Special Revenue Fund to account for Measure M sales tax revenues and expenditures. Special Revenue Funds are used to account for proceeds of specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

### **Basis of Accounting**

The modified accrual basis of accounting is used for the special revenue fund type. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual, which means measurable (amount can be determined) and available (collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period).

### **Budgetary Accounting**

The established legislation and adopted policies and procedures provide that the LACMTA's Board approves an annual budget. Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America for all governmental funds.

Prior to the adoption of the budget, the Board conducts public hearings for discussion of the proposed annual budget and at the conclusion of the hearings, but no later than June 30, adopts the final budget. All appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end. The budget is prepared by fund, project, expense type, and department. The legal level of control is at the fund level and the Board must approve additional appropriations.

By policy, the Board has provided procedures for management to make revisions within operational or project budgets only when there is no net dollar impact on the total appropriations at the fund level. Budget amendments are made when needed.

Annual budgets are adopted by LACMTA on the modified accrual basis of accounting for the special revenue fund types, on a basis consistent with GAAP as reflected in the Schedule.

### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

### Investment Income and Net Appreciation (Decline) in Fair Value of Investments

Investment income and net appreciation (decline) in fair value of investments are shown on the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures. LACMTA maintains a pooled cash and investments account that is available for use by all funds, except those restricted by State statutes. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, the Measure M fund had an investment income of \$44,748 and a net appreciation in the fair value of investments of \$11,077. The net appreciation in investments was mainly due to an increase in the fair market value of the investment portfolios mostly invested in bonds, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates.

The LACMTA issues a publicly available annual comprehensive financial report that includes complete disclosures related to the entire cash and investment pool. The report may be obtained at the LACMTA's website https://www.metro.net/about/financebudget/.

### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of the Schedule in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### **Comparative Financial Data**

The amounts shown for 2023 in the accompanying Schedule are included only to provide a basis for comparison with 2024 and are not intended to present all information necessary for a fair presentation in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America.

### 3. Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures for Measure M Special Revenue Fund

The Schedule is intended to reflect the revenues and expenditures of the Measure M fund only. Accordingly, the Schedule does not purport to, and does not, present fairly the financial position of the LACMTA and changes in the financial position thereof for the year then ended in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America.

### 4. Debt

The debt principal payment of \$1,500 represents the repayment of an advance provided by the County of Los Angeles to cover the costs associated with the High Desert Intercity Rail Corridor Service Development Planning Study. This study aimed to evaluate the feasibility of a new high-speed intercity passenger rail service connecting the future Virgin Train USA Southern California Station in the Victor Valley to the Palmdale Transportation Center. LACMTA utilized the advance while awaiting funding and budget authority for Measure M allocations. On January 19, 2024, LACMTA repaid the \$1,500 advance to the County of Los Angeles.

### 5. Intergovernmental Transactions

Any transaction conducted with any federal, state, and local governmental agencies outside the complete jurisdiction of LACMTA will be recorded in an account designated as Intergovernmental.

### 6. **Operating Transfers**

Amounts reflected as operating transfers represent permanent, legally authorized transfers from a fund receiving revenue to the fund through which the resources are to be expended. All operating transfers in/out of the Measure M Special Revenue Fund have been made in accordance with all expenditure requirements of the Measure M Ordinance.

## 7. Excess of Revenues and Other Financing Sources Over Expenditures and Other Financing Uses

The Measure M fund at June 30, 2024 had an excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing uses of \$19,814 primarily due to investment earnings. The foregoing factors contributed to the increase in Measure M Fund balance from \$1,150,955 to \$1,170,769 at June 30, 2024.

### 8. Audited Financial Statements

The audited financial statements for the Measure M Special Revenue Fund for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, are included in LACMTA's Audited Annual Comprehensive Financial Report (ACFR).

### 9. Contingent Liabilities

LACMTA is aware of potential claims that may be filed against them. The outcome of these matters is not presently determinable, but the resolution of these matters is not expected to have a significant impact on the financial condition of LACMTA.

### **10.** Subsequent Events

In preparing the Schedule of Measure M Revenues and Expenditures, LACMTA has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through December 2, 2024, the date the schedule was available to be issued. Based on this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that required recognition or additional disclosure in the Schedule.

# Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures – Budget and Actual For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

|                                                                     | <b>Budgeted Amounts</b> |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------------|----|-------------------------------|--|
|                                                                     | Original                |         | Final     | <br>Actual      |    | Variance with<br>Final Budget |  |
| Revenues                                                            |                         |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
| Sales tax                                                           | \$ 1,200,0              | 000 \$  | 1,200,000 | \$<br>1,091,069 | \$ | (108,931)                     |  |
| Intergovernmental                                                   | 51,8                    | 348     | 51,848    | 586             |    | (51,262)                      |  |
| Investment income                                                   |                         | -       | -         | 44,748          |    | 44,748                        |  |
| Net appreciation in fair value of investments                       |                         | -       | -         | <br>11,077      |    | 11,077                        |  |
| Total revenues                                                      | 1,251,8                 | 348     | 1,251,848 | <br>1,147,480   |    | (104,368)                     |  |
| Expenditures                                                        |                         |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
| Administration and other transportation projects                    | 226,6                   | 565     | 156,998   | 60,985          |    | 96,013                        |  |
| Transportation subsidies                                            | 471,5                   | 560     | 472,632   | 412,446         |    | 60,186                        |  |
| Debt and interest expenditures                                      |                         |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
| Principal                                                           |                         | -       | -         | <br>1,500       |    | (1,500)                       |  |
| Total expenditures                                                  | 698,2                   | 225     | 629,630   | <br>474,931     |    | 154,699                       |  |
| Excess of revenues over expenditures                                | 553,6                   | 523     | 622,218   | <br>672,549     |    | 50,331                        |  |
| Other financing sources (uses)                                      |                         |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
| Transfers in                                                        | 38,3                    | 306     | 38,306    | 32,524          |    | (5,782)                       |  |
| Transfers out                                                       | (658,0                  | )57)    | (658,057) | <br>(685,259)   |    | (27,202)                      |  |
| Total other financing sources (uses)                                | (619,7                  | 751)    | (619,751) | <br>(652,735)   |    | (32,984)                      |  |
| Excess (deficiency) of revenues<br>and other financing sources over |                         |         |           |                 |    |                               |  |
| expenditures and other financing uses                               | \$ (66,1                | (28) \$ | 2,467     | \$<br>19,814    | \$ | 17,347                        |  |

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Expenditures by Subfund and Programs – Budget and Actual For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 (Amounts expressed in thousands)

| Subfund                                                    | Programs                      | Final Budget |           | Actual  |           | Variance with<br>Final Budget |          |
|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|
|                                                            | Program:                      |              |           |         |           |                               |          |
| Transit Operating and Maintenance                          | Metro Rail Operations         | \$           | -         | \$      | 64,835    | \$                            | (64,835) |
| Transii Operating and Maintenance                          | Transit Operations            |              | 276,006   |         | 247,770   |                               | 28,236   |
|                                                            | ADA Paratransit               |              | 23,640    |         | 21,494    |                               | 2,146    |
| Tugusit/Finst/Last Mile (Capital)                          | Transit Construction          | 390,268      |           | 360,698 |           |                               | 29,570   |
| Transit/First/ Last Mile (Capital) Metro State of Good Rep |                               |              | 18,653    |         | (633)     |                               | 19,286   |
| Highway, Active Transportation,                            | Highway Construction          |              | 300,640   | 222,383 |           |                               | 78,257   |
| Complete Streets (Capital)                                 | Active Transportation Program |              | 21,052    |         | 3,701     |                               | 17,351   |
| Local Return/ Regional Rail                                | Local Return                  |              | 188,940   |         | 182,700   |                               | 6,240    |
| Local Kelurn/ Keglonal Kall                                | Regional Rail - Metrolink     |              | 14,423    |         | 21,263    |                               | (6,840)  |
|                                                            | Total program                 |              | 1,233,622 |         | 1,124,211 |                               | 109,411  |
|                                                            |                               |              |           |         |           |                               |          |
| Administration                                             | Administration                |              | 17,759    |         | 3,455     |                               | 14,304   |
|                                                            | Total                         | \$           | 1,251,381 | \$      | 1,127,666 |                               | 123,715  |

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Measure M Special Revenue Fund Schedule of Fund Balances by Subfund and Programs For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 (Amounts expressed in thousands)

|                                                |                                                                 |                                       |                        | Revenues          |                      |                | Expenditures/U                               |                                       |                                      | _               |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Subfund                                        | Programs                                                        | Beginning<br>Balance,<br>July 1, 2023 | Revenue<br>Allocations | Other<br>Revenues | Total<br>Revenues    | <br>Admin      | Local Return/<br>Transportation<br>Subsidies | Transfers-out/<br>Capital<br>Projects | Transfers-in/<br>Capital<br>Projects | Fund Balance    |
| Transit<br>Operating &<br>Maintenance          | <u>Program</u> :<br>Metro Rail Operations<br>Transit Operations | \$ 10,106<br>393,297                  | \$ 53,735<br>214,941   | \$ 993<br>15,957  | \$ 54,728<br>230,898 | \$<br>-        | \$- (83,999)                                 | \$ (64,834)<br>(163,772)              | \$ -                                 | \$ -<br>376,424 |
|                                                | ADA Paratransit                                                 | 9,432                                 | 21,494                 | 531               | 22,025               | <br>-          | -                                            | (21,494)                              | -                                    | 9,963           |
| Subtotal                                       | 1                                                               | 412,835                               | 290,170                | 17,481            | 307,651              | <br>-          | (83,999)                                     | (250,100)                             | -                                    | 386,387         |
| Transit/First/                                 | Transit Construction                                            | 3,808                                 | 376,397                | 2,100             | 378,497              | (19,819)       | (14,674)                                     | (328,990)                             | 2,785                                | 21,607          |
| Last Mile (Capital)                            | Metro State of Good Repair                                      | 32,786                                | 21,494                 | 1,667             | 23,161               | <br>-          | -                                            | 632                                   |                                      | 56,579          |
| Subtotal                                       |                                                                 | 36,594                                | 397,891                | 3,767             | 401,658              | <br>(19,819)   | (14,674)                                     | (328,358)                             | 2,785                                | 78,186          |
| Highway, Active<br>Transportation,<br>Complete | Highway Construction                                            | 616,737                               | 183,034                | 30,484            | 213,518              | (35,265)       | (128,761)                                    | (81,718)                              | 23,361                               | 607,872         |
| Streets (Capital)                              | Active Transportation Program                                   | 67,661                                | 21,494                 | 3,388             | 24,882               | <br>(2,358)    | (365)                                        | 23,705                                | (24,683)                             | 88,842          |
| Subtotal                                       |                                                                 | 684,398                               | 204,528                | 33,872            | 238,400              | <br>(37,623)   | (129,126)                                    | (58,013)                              | (1,322)                              | 696,714         |
| Local Return/                                  | Local Return                                                    | -                                     | 182,700                | -                 | 182,700              | -              | (182,700)                                    | -                                     | -                                    | -               |
| Regional Rail                                  | Regional Rail - Metrolink                                       | 10,112                                | 10,747                 | 365               | 11,112               | <br>(1,588)    | (1,947)                                      | (48,788)                              | 31,061                               | (38)            |
| Subtotal                                       |                                                                 | 10,112                                | 193,447                | 365               | 193,812              | <br>(1,588)    | (184,647)                                    | (48,788)                              | 31,061                               | (38)            |
|                                                | Total program                                                   | 1,143,939                             | 1,086,036              | 55,485            | 1,141,521            | <br>(59,030)   | (412,446)                                    | (685,259)                             | 32,524                               | 1,161,249       |
| Administration                                 |                                                                 | 7,016                                 | 5,619                  | 340               | 5,959                | <br>(3,455)    | -                                            | -                                     | -                                    | 9,520           |
|                                                | Grand Total                                                     | \$ 1,150,955                          | \$ 1,091,655           | \$ 55,825         | \$ 1,147,480         | \$<br>(62,485) | \$ (412,446)                                 | \$ (685,259)                          | \$ 32,524                            | \$ 1,170,769    |



2355 Crenshaw Blvd. Suite 150 Torrance, CA 90501

### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to the financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures (the Schedule) for Measure M Special Revenue Fund of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, and the related notes to the Schedule, which collectively comprised LACMTA's basic Schedule, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2024.

### **Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Schedule, we considered the LACMTA's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Schedule, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the LACMTA's Schedule will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the LACMTA's Schedule is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the Schedule. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of This Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Watson Rice, LLP

Torrance, California December 2, 2024



2355 Crenshaw Blvd. Suite 150 Torrance, CA 90501 Telephone: 310.792.4640 Facsimile: 310.792.4331 www.bcawr.com

### Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Measure M Revenues and Expenditures in Accordance with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance No. 16-01

Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

### **Opinion on Measure M Revenues and Expenditures**

We have audited the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) compliance with the *Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance No. 16-01* (the Ordinance) applicable to LACMTA's Measure M revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

In our opinion, LACMTA complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to the Measure M revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024.

### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of LACMTA and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of LACMTA's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

### **Responsibilities of Management for Compliance**

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Measure M revenues and expenditures.

### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error and express an opinion on LACMTA's compliance with Measure M revenues and expenditures based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about LACMTA's compliance with the requirements of the Measure M revenues and expenditures as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding LACMTA's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing other procedures as necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of LACMTA's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Measure M revenues and expenditures, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the LACMTA's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant deficiencies, and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the "Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance" section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the compliance requirements of the Measure M revenues and expenditures. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Watson Rice, LLP

Torrance, California December 2, 2024

None noted.

None noted.



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

> TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024



### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Consolidated Audit Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **PAGE**

| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL<br>CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE<br>TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN |   |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| GUIDELINES                                                                                                                                                                  | 1 |
| List of Package A Jurisdictions                                                                                                                                             | 4 |
| Compliance Area Tested                                                                                                                                                      | 5 |
| Summary of Audit Results                                                                                                                                                    |   |
| Schedule 1 – Summary of Compliance Findings                                                                                                                                 | 6 |
| Schedule 2 – Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs                                                                                                                      | 7 |

655 N. Central Avenue Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203

www.vasquez.cpa

213-873-1700 OFFICE

LOS ANGELES SAN DIEGO IRVINE SACRAMENTO FRESNO PHOENIX LAS VEGAS MANILA, PH



### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

### To the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee

### **Report on Compliance**

### Opinion

We have audited the compliance of the County of Los Angeles (County) and the thirty-nine (39) Cities identified in the List of Package A Jurisdictions, with the types of compliance requirements described in the Measure M Ordinance enacted through a Los Angeles County voter-approved law in November 2016; Measure M Local Return Guidelines, issued by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro), approved by its Board of Directors on June 22, 2017 (collectively, the Guidelines); and the respective Assurances and Understandings Regarding Receipt and Use of Measure M Local Return Funds, executed by Metro, the County and the respective Cities for the year ended June 30, 2024 (collectively, the Requirements). Compliance area tested and related findings are identified in the accompanying Summary of Audit Results, Schedule 1 and Schedule 2.

In our opinion, the County and the Cities complied, in all material respects, with the Guidelines and Requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program for the year ended June 30, 2024.

### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audits of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the Guidelines. Our responsibilities under those standards and the Guidelines are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the County and the Cities and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's and the Cities' compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.



### **Responsibilities of Management for Compliance**

Managements of the County and the Cities are responsible for their compliance with the Guidelines and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the County and each City's Measure M Local Return program.

### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the County's and the Cities' compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Guidelines will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the County's and the Cities' compliance with the requirements of the Guidelines as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Guidelines, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design
  and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on
  a test basis, evidence regarding the County's and the Cities' compliance with the compliance
  requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered
  necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the County's and the Cities' internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's and the Cities' internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Summary of Compliance Findings (Schedule 1) and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2024-001 through #2024-007. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.



*Government Auditing Standards* require the auditor to perform limited procedures on the responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our compliance audits described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a material weakness.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with the Guidelines on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2024-001 and #2024-005 to be material weaknesses.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

Government Auditing Standards requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Cities' responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audits described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The Cities' responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

acquer & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 31, 2024

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund List of Package A Jurisdictions Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

- 1. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
- 2. CITY OF AGOURA HILLS
- 3. CITY OF AZUSA
- 4. CITY OF BALDWIN PARK
- 5. CITY OF BELL
- 6. CITY OF BELL GARDENS
- 7. CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS
- 8. CITY OF CALABASAS
- 9. CITY OF CARSON
- 10. CITY OF COMMERCE
- 11. CITY OF COMPTON
- 12. CITY OF CUDAHY
- 13. CITY OF CULVER CITY
- 14. CITY OF EL MONTE
- 15. CITY OF GARDENA
- 16. CITY OF HAWTHORNE
- 17. CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS
- 18. CITY OF HUNTINGTON PARK
- 19. CITY OF INDUSTRY
- 20. CITY OF INGLEWOOD
- 21. CITY OF IRWINDALE
- 22. CITY OF LA PUENTE
- 23. CITY OF LAWNDALE
- 24. CITY OF LYNWOOD
- 25. CITY OF MALIBU
- 26. CITY OF MAYWOOD
- 27. CITY OF MONTEBELLO
- 28. CITY OF MONTEREY PARK
- 29. CITY OF PICO RIVERA
- 30. CITY OF POMONA
- 31. CITY OF ROSEMEAD
- 32. CITY OF SAN FERNANDO
- 33. CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS
- 34. CITY OF SANTA MONICA
- 35. CITY OF SOUTH EL MONTE
- 36. CITY OF SOUTH GATE
- 37. CITY OF VERNON
- 38. CITY OF WALNUT
- 39. CITY OF WEST HOLLYWOOD
- 40. CITY OF WESTLAKE VILLAGE

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Compliance Area Tested Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

- 1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes.
- 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.
- 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.
- 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval.
- 5. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.
- 6. Timely use of funds.
- 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.
- 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.
- 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.
- 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.
- 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.
- 12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.
- 13. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.
- 14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.
- 15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.
- 16. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.

## SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Summary of Compliance Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

The audits of the County of Los Angeles and 39 cities have resulted in seven (7) findings. The table below summarizes these findings:

| Compliance Area                                                       | # of<br>Findings | Responsible Cities/ Finding No.<br>Reference | Resolved<br>During the<br>Audit |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
|                                                                       |                  | Culver City (See Finding #2024-003)          | \$ 311,950                      | \$ 311,950 |
| Funds were expended with Metro's<br>approval.                         | 3                | Maywood (See Finding #2024-006)              | 2,288                           | 2,288      |
|                                                                       |                  | South Gate (See Finding #2024-007)           | 9,123                           | 9,123      |
| Timely yes of funds                                                   |                  | Cudahy (See Finding #2024-002)               | 119,107                         | 119,107    |
| Timely use of funds.                                                  | 2                | Hidden Hills (See Finding #2024-004)         | 20,019                          | 20,019     |
| Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. |                  | Compton (See Finding #2024-001)              | None                            | None       |
|                                                                       |                  | Huntington Park (See Finding #2024-005)      | None                            | None       |
| Total Findings and Questioned Costs                                   | 7                |                                              | \$ 462,487                      | \$ 462,487 |

Details of the above findings are presented in Schedule 2.

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

| Finding #2024-001    | City of Compton                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference | Measure M Local Return Guidelines Section XXV states<br>that, "It is each Jurisdiction's responsibility to maintain proper<br>accounting records and documentation to facilitate the<br>performance of the audit as prescribed in these Guidelines".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Condition            | <ul> <li>As of the date of the audit, on December 24, 2024, the City's year-end closing process was still ongoing. We noted the following critical observations: <ul> <li>Reconciliations of major balance sheet accounts including bank accounts were not yet completed.</li> <li>Cut-off procedures relating to year-end accruals were inadequate to ensure the recording of transactions in the proper period. This resulted in the City's adjustments which affected the prior period's account balances.</li> <li>Beginning fund balances were not reconciled with the prior year's audited reports.</li> </ul> </li> <li>The audits of the City's financial statements for the fiscal years 2023 and 2024 had not yet been completed because of the clean-up and closing process currently being done.</li> <li>Further, we noted that the separate local return fund bank accounts were combined into the City's pooled cash and investments accounts during FY2024. This violated Metro's mandate to maintain separate bank accounts for local return funds.</li> </ul> |
| Cause                | During the fiscal years 2017 through 2021, the City lost<br>several key employees in the Finance and Accounting<br>department. As such, there were delays in the closing of the<br>City's books for the fiscal year 2024 and prior years. As of<br>December 24, 2024, the accounting personnel and support<br>staff were working towards closing the books and providing<br>the closing entries, trial balances, schedules, reconciliations,<br>account analyses, and other financial reports needed by<br>management and the auditors.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Effect               | The City was not in compliance with the audit requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024 (Continued)

| Finding #2024-001 (Continued) | City of Compton                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recommendation                | We recommend the City implement a monthly and year-end closing process in a timely manner. We also recommend that the City establish and document proper closing and reconciliation procedures and assign responsibility for completing the procedures to specific City personnel. The closing procedures should be documented in a checklist that indicates who will perform each procedure and when completion of each procedure is due and is accomplished. The timing of specific procedures could be coordinated with the timing of management's or the auditor's need for the information. These reconciliations will provide assurance that financial statements are complete and accurate. We further recommend that the City reinstate the maintenance of individual bank accounts for its local return funds to comply with Metro's mandate. This will also help in monitoring and tracking the activities and balances of local return funds. |
| Management's Response         | The City is in the process of catching up on all accounting processes that have not been completed due to staff turnover and various other reasons. The new management team in the Finance and Accounting Department is putting procedures in place to ensure monthly and annual year-end closing processes are well documented and occur on time. The City acknowledges the finding and will recommend to the City Council to reinstate the maintenance of individual bank accounts for its local return funds to comply with Metro's mandate.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

| Finding #2024-002                  | City of Cudahy                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference               | Section XXV Lapsing Requirements of Measure M Local<br>Return Guidelines states that "Measure M LR funds have<br>five (5) years to be expended. Funds must be expended<br>within five years of the last day of the fiscal year in which<br>funds were originally allocated or received. A First- In-First-<br>Out (FIFO) method of calculation will be used to determine<br>any lapsing of funds. The Measure M LR allocation, interest<br>income and other income earned from LR projects (such as<br>revenues from advertising) which are not expended within<br>the allocated time, will consequently lapse, and be returned<br>to Metro upon request, for reallocation to Jurisdictions on a<br>per capita basis." |  |
| Condition                          | The City has unused Measure M funds amounting to \$119,107 which lapsed as of June 30, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |
| Cause                              | Due to changes in Public Works department staffing there was a transition period that affected the timing of certain funding sources claims.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |
| Effect                             | The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that Measure M funds are used timely.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| Management's Response              | On December 13, 2024, the City requested an extension for the use of the funds from the LA Metro Program Manager.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | On December 18, 2024, Metro Program Manager granted an extension for the use of the lapsed funds on or by June 30, 2025. No additional follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |

| Finding #2024-003                  | City of Culver City                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference               | Section XXV Administrative, Reporting Requirements,<br>Expenditure Plan (8/1 Table) of the Measure M Local Return<br>Guidelines states that, "To maintain legal eligibility and meet<br>Measure M LR program compliance requirements,<br>Jurisdiction shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (8/1<br>Table), annually, by August 1st of each year".                                                                          |  |
|                                    | "Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) provides a listing of<br>projects funded with Measure M LR funds along with<br>estimated expenditures for the year. For both operating and<br>capital projects, Part I is to be filled out. Part II is to be filled<br>out for capital projects (projects over \$250,000). Metro will<br>provide LR funds to a capital project or program sponsor who<br>submits the required expenditure plan". |  |
| Condition                          | The City claimed expenditures for the following MMLRF projects with no prior approval from Metro:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  |
|                                    | a. Project code 715, Traffic Signal Fiber Optic Upgrades, totaling \$37,584; and                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |  |
|                                    | b. Project code 780, Bicycle/Pedestrian Action Plan<br>Implementation, totaling \$274,366.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
|                                    | Although we found the expenditures to be eligible for Local<br>Return funding, these projects had no prior approval from<br>Metro.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |  |
| Cause                              | The projects were inadvertently not included in the submitted budget request.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| Effect                             | The City claimed expenditures totaling \$311,950 of Measure M LR funds prior to approval by Metro. The City did not comply with the Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that approval is obtained from Metro prior to spending on Measure M-funded projects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
| Management's Response              | The City submitted budget requests via LRMS and obtained retroactive approval of the budgets for said projects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | Retroactive approval of the said projects was obtained via LRMS on December 18, 2024. No additional follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |  |

| Finding #2024-004                  | City of Hidden Hills                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference               | Section XXV Lapsing Requirements of Measure M Local<br>Return Guidelines states that "Measure M LR funds have<br>five (5) years to be expended. Funds must be expended<br>within five years of the last day of the fiscal year in which<br>funds were originally allocated or received. A First- In-First-<br>Out (FIFO) method of calculation will be used to determine<br>any lapsing of funds. The Measure M LR allocation, interest<br>income and other income earned from LR projects (such as<br>revenues from advertising) which are not expended within<br>the allocated time, will consequently lapse, and be returned<br>to Metro upon request, for reallocation to Jurisdictions on a<br>per capita basis." |  |
| Condition                          | The City has unused Measure M funds amounting to \$20,019 which lapsed as of June 30, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |  |
| Cause                              | The Round Meadow Road/Mureau Road Intersection<br>Pedestrian and Bikepath Landscaping project was not<br>started. Due to the unexpected late start of this project,<br>funds were not spent as expected.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  |
| Effect                             | The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that Measure M funds are used timely.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| Management's Response              | The City expects to use up the Measure M funds during FY 2024/25. The City requested and obtained an extension for the use of the funds from the LA Metro Program Manager.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | On July 5, 2024, Metro Program Manager granted an extension for the use of the lapsed funds on or by June 30, 2025. No additional follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |  |

| Finding #2024-005    | City of Huntington Park                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference | Measure M Local Return Guidelines Section XXV states<br>that, "It is each Jurisdiction's responsibility to maintain proper<br>accounting records and documentation to facilitate the<br>performance of the audit as prescribed in these Guidelines".                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Condition            | As of the date of audit fieldwork, on December 24, 2024, the City's year-end closing process was still ongoing for fiscal year 2024. The following critical observations were identified:                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
|                      | <ul> <li>Cut-off procedures relating to year-end accruals were inadequate to ensure the recording of transactions in the proper period. This resulted in the City's adjustments which affected the prior period's account balances.</li> <li>The beginning fund balances were not reconciled with the prior year's audited reports.</li> <li>A system issue was discovered, causing balances to not roll over correctly.</li> </ul> Accordingly, the audit of the City's financial statements for the fiscal year 2024 was started late because of the ongoing |
|                      | clean-up and closing process.<br>This is a repeat finding from prior year.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| Cause                | During the fiscal years 2021 through 2024, the City lost<br>several key employees, particularly in the Finance and<br>Accounting Department. This resulted in delays in closing<br>the City's books for the fiscal year 2024 and prior years. As<br>of December 24, 2024, the accounting personnel and<br>support staff were working towards closing the books and<br>providing the closing entries, trial balances, schedules,<br>reconciliations, account analyses, and other financial reports<br>needed by management and the auditors.                    |
| Effect               | The City was not in compliance with the audit requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |

| Finding #2024-005 (Continued) | City of Huntington Park                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Recommendation                | We recommend the City implement a monthly and year-end<br>closing process in a timely manner. We also recommend that<br>the City establish and document proper closing and<br>reconciliation procedures and assign responsibility for<br>completing the procedures to specific City personnel. The<br>closing procedures should be documented in a checklist that<br>indicates who will perform each procedure and when<br>completion of each procedure is due and is accomplished.<br>The timing of specific procedures should be coordinated with<br>the timing of management's or the auditor's need for the<br>information. These reconciliations will provide assurance<br>that financial statements are updated and provided timely to<br>the users. |
| Management's Response         | The City is in the process of catching up on all accounting<br>processes that have not been completed due to staff<br>turnover and various other reasons. The new management<br>team in the Finance and Accounting Department is putting<br>procedures in place to ensure monthly and annual year-end<br>closing processes are well documented and occur on time.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |

| Finding #2024-006                  | City of Maywood                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference               | Section XXV of Measure M Guidance states "To maintain<br>legal eligibility and meet Measure M LR program compliance<br>requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to Metro an<br>Expenditure Plan (Form M-One), annually, by August 1 of<br>each year. A sample of Form M-One is shown in Attachment<br>C.2. Form M-One provides a listing of projects funded with<br>Measure M LR funds along with estimated expenditures for<br>the year." |
| Condition                          | The City claimed expenditure prior to approval from Metro<br>under Project code 180, Orange Line Development Authority<br>Membership, totaling \$2,288.<br>Although we found the expenditure to be eligible for Local<br>Return funding, this project had no prior approval from<br>Metro.                                                                                                                                                 |
| Cause                              | The City did not anticipate incurring eligible expenditure for<br>this project. The City was not able to submit a budget request<br>for Metro's approval until after June 30, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Effect                             | The City claimed expenditure totaling \$2,288 of Measure M LR funds prior to approval by Metro. The City did not comply with the Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that approval is obtained from Metro prior to spending on Local Return-funded project.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Management's Response              | The City submitted a budget request via LRMS and obtained retroactive approval of the budgets for said project on September 25, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | Retroactive approval of the said project was obtained via LRMS on September 25, 2024. No additional follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |

| Finding #2024-007                  | City of South Gate                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference               | Section XXV Administrative, Reporting Requirements,<br>Expenditure Plan (8/1 Table) of the Measure M Local Return<br>Guidelines states that, "To maintain legal eligibility and meet<br>Measure M LR program compliance requirements,<br>Jurisdiction shall submit to Metro an Expenditure Plan (8/1<br>Table), annually, by August 1st of each year".                                                                          |
|                                    | "Expenditure Plan (Form M-One) provides a listing of<br>projects funded with Measure M LR funds along with<br>estimated expenditures for the year. For both operating and<br>capital projects, Part I is to be filled out. Part II is to be filled<br>out for capital projects (projects over \$250,000). Metro will<br>provide LR funds to a capital project or program sponsor who<br>submits the required expenditure plan". |
| Condition                          | The City claimed expenditure for MMLRF Project code 640,<br>Administrative Allocation, totaling \$9,123 with no prior<br>approval from Metro.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                                    | Although we found the expenditure to be eligible for Local<br>Return funding, this project had no prior approval from<br>Metro.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Cause                              | The project was inadvertently not included in the submitted Expenditure Plan (Form M-one).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Effect                             | The City claimed expenditures totaling \$9,123 with no prior approval from Metro. Lack of prior approval results in noncompliance.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Recommendation                     | We recommend the City establish procedures and internal controls to ensure that approval is obtained from Metro prior to spending on Measure M-funded projects.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Management's Response              | The City submitted a budget request via LRMS and obtained retroactive approval of the budget for said project on October 15, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Finding Corrected During the Audit | Retroactive approval of the said project was obtained via LRMS on October 15, 2024. No additional follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203-1437 • +1.213.873.1700



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

> TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024



Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Consolidated Audit Report Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON<br>INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE<br>REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE |   |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES                                                                                                            | 1 |
| List of Package B Jurisdictions                                                                                                                  | 5 |
| Compliance Area Tested                                                                                                                           |   |
| Summary of Audit Results                                                                                                                         |   |
| Schedule 1 – Summary of Compliance Findings                                                                                                      | 7 |
| Schedule 2 - Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs                                                                                           | 8 |



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MEASURE M ORDINANCE AND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN GUIDELINES

To: Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee

#### **Report on Compliance**

#### **Opinion**

We have audited the compliance of the forty-nine (49) Cities (the Cities) identified in the List of Package B Jurisdictions, with the types of compliance requirements described in the Measure M Ordinance enacted through a Los Angeles County voter-approved law in November 2016; Measure M Local Return Guidelines, issued by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro), approved by its Board of Directors on June 22, 2017 (collectively, the Guidelines); and the respective Assurances and Understandings Regarding Receipt and Use of Measure M Local Return Funds, executed by Metro, the respective Cities for the year ended June 30, 2024 (collectively, the Requirements). Compliance area tested and related findings are identified in the accompanying Compliance Area Tested and Summary of Audit Results, Schedule 1 and Schedule 2.

In our opinion, the Cities complied, in all material respects, with the Guidelines and the Requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure M Local Return program for the year ended June 30, 2024.

#### **Basis for Opinion**

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the Guidelines. Our responsibilities under those standards and the Guidelines are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Cities and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Cities' compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.





### **Responsibilities of Management for Compliance**

Management of the Cities are responsible for their compliance with the Guidelines and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to each City's Measure M Local Return program.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Cities' compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Guidelines will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Cities' compliance with the requirements of the Guidelines as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, Government Auditing Standards, and the Guidelines, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Cities' compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the Cities' internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Cities' internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.



### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and the Requirements and which are described in the accompanying Summary of Compliance Findings (Schedule 1) and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2) as Findings #2024-001 through #2024-005. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Cities' responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our compliance audits described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The Cities' responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with the Guidelines on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.



*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the Cities' responses to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audits described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Schedule 2). The Cities' responses were not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 31, 2024

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund List of Package B Jurisdictions Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

- 1. CITY OF ALHAMBRA
- 2. CITY OF ARCADIA
- 3. CITY OF ARTESIA
- 4. CITY OF AVALON
- 5. CITY OF BELLFLOWER
- 6. CITY OF BRADBURY
- 7. CITY OF BURBANK
- 8. CITY OF CERRITOS
- 9. CITY OF CLAREMONT
- 10. CITY OF COVINA
- 11. CITY OF DIAMOND BAR
- 12. CITY OF DOWNEY
- 13. CITY OF DUARTE
- 14. CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
- 15. CITY OF GLENDALE
- 16. CITY OF GLENDORA
- 17. CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS
- 18. CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
- 19. CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE
- 20. CITY OF LA HABRA HEIGHTS
- 21. CITY OF LA MIRADA
- 22. CITY OF LA VERNE
- 23. CITY OF LAKEWOOD
- 24. CITY OF LANCASTER
- 25. CITY OF LOMITA
- 26. CITY OF LONG BEACH
- 27. CITY OF LOS ANGELES
- 28. CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
- 29. CITY OF MONROVIA
- 30. CITY OF NORWALK

- 31. CITY OF PALMDALE
- 32. CITY OF PALOS VERDES ESTATES
- 33. CITY OF PARAMOUNT
- 34. CITY OF PASADENA
- 35. CITY OF RANCHO PALOS VERDES
- 36. CITY OF REDONDO BEACH
- 37. CITY OF ROLLING HILLS
- 38. CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ESTATES
- 39. CITY OF SAN DIMAS
- 40. CITY OF SAN GABRIEL
- 41. CITY OF SAN MARINO
- 42. CITY OF SANTA CLARITA
- 43. CITY OF SIERRA MADRE
- 44. CITY OF SIGNAL HILL
- 45. CITY OF SOUTH PASADENA
- 46. CITY OF TEMPLE CITY
- 47. CITY OF TORRANCE
- 48. CITY OF WEST COVINA
- 49. CITY OF WHITTIER

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Compliance Area Tested Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

- 1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes.
- 2. Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.
- 3. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.
- 4. Funds were expended with Metro's approval.
- 5. Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.
- 6. Timely use of funds.
- 7. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.
- 8. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.
- 9. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.
- 10. Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.
- 11. Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.
- 12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.
- 13. Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.
- 14. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.
- 15. Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.
- 16. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.

# SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Measure M Local Return Fund Summary of Compliance Findings Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2024

The audit of the 49 cities have resulted in five (5) findings. The table below summarize these findings:

| Compliance Area                                                             | # of<br>Findings | Responsible Cities/<br>Finding No. Reference | ~  | estioned<br>Costs | Du | esolved<br>ring the<br>Audit |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------|----|-------------------|----|------------------------------|
| Funds were expended with Metro's approval.                                  | 2                | Artesia (#2024-001)                          | \$ | 981               | \$ | 981                          |
|                                                                             |                  | Temple City (#2024-005)                      |    | 14,000            |    | 14,000                       |
| Accounting procedures,<br>record keeping and<br>documentation are adequate. | 2                | Glendora (#2024-002)                         |    | None              |    | None                         |
|                                                                             |                  | South Pasadena (#2024-004)                   |    | None              |    | None                         |
| Timely use of funds.                                                        | 1                | South Pasadena (#2024-003)                   |    | 108,778           |    | 108,778                      |
|                                                                             |                  |                                              |    |                   |    |                              |
| Total Findings and<br>Questioned Costs                                      | 5                |                                              | \$ | 123,759           | \$ | 123,759                      |

Details of the above findings are presented in Schedule 2

| Finding #2024-001             | City of Artesia                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference          | According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Administrative,<br>Form Submission Timeline, "New, amended, ongoing and carryover projects<br>must file an Expenditure Plan Form M-One by August 1st." In addition, the Audit<br>Requirements, Financial and Compliance Provisions of the section states, "The<br>Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence<br>to the following financial and compliance provisions of this guidelines:<br>Verification that funds were expended with Metro's approval." |  |
| Condition                     | The expenditures for MMLRF's Project Code 630, General Program<br>Administration, in the amount of \$981, were incurred prior to Metro's approval.<br>However, the City subsequently received budget approval from Metro for the<br>same amount on December 13, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| Cause                         | This was an oversight on the part of the City due to understaffing.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| Effect                        | The City did not comply with the Guidelines as expenditures for the MMLRF project were incurred prior to Metro's approval.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |  |
| Recommendation                | We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains<br>approval from Metro prior to implementing any Measure M Local Return<br>projects, properly enters the budgeted amount for each project in the LRMS and<br>submits it before the requested due date so that the City's expenditures of<br>Measure M Local Return Funds are in accordance with Metro's approval and the<br>Guidelines.                                                                                                                                           |  |
| Management's Response         | The City will establish procedures to ensure that it obtains Metro's approval before expenditures are incurred.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |  |
| Corrected During the<br>Audit | Metro Program Manager granted retroactive budget approval of said project on December 13, 2024. No follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |

| Finding #2024-002     | City of Glendora                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference  | According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV, "It is the jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of the audit prescribed in these guidelines"                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |  |
|                       | In addition, Government Auditing Standards Section 5.26 lists examples of matters that may be reportable conditions: "e.g.: evidence of failure to perform tasks that are part of internal control, such as reconciliations not prepared or not timely prepared." Good internal controls require that cash be reconciled at least monthly and material reconciling items be properly supported.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |  |
| Condition             | The bank reconciliation process was significantly delayed. As of the date of the audit, December 21, 2024, the bank reconciliation had only been completed through November 2023.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |  |
| Cause                 | The preparation of the bank reconciliations was delayed due to staff turnover in several supervisory and lead positions within the Finance Department, as well as the transition to a new financial system in mid-December 2023.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |  |
| Effect                | The delay in preparing the bank reconciliations increases the risk of inaccuracies<br>in the financial records, which could lead to misstated financial statements. This<br>also limits the ability to ensure the integrity of cash balances and properly support<br>financial reporting.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |  |
| Recommendation        | We recommend that the Finance Department implement a more structured<br>process for preparing bank reconciliations, ensuring that they are completed on a<br>timely basis. This should include assigning clear responsibilities and deadlines<br>for staff, as well as providing adequate training on the new financial system.<br>Additionally, management should prioritize the reconciliation process to ensure<br>it is aligned with financial reporting timelines and that any discrepancies are<br>identified and resolved promptly.                                                                                                         |  |
| Management's Response | The Finance Department is actively working to address the delays in the bank reconciliation process. The City has engaged additional staff resources to assist with the reconciliations and is implementing a more structured approach to ensure timely completion moving forward. The department is also providing additional training on the new financial system to ensure staff are equipped with the necessary tools and knowledge. Management is committed to prioritizing the reconciliation process and aligning it with the overall financial reporting schedule to ensure that all reconciliations are completed accurately and on time. |  |

| Finding #2024-003             | City of South Pasadena                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Compliance Reference          | According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV Local Return<br>Administrative, Lapsing Requirement, "Measure M LR funds have five (5)<br>years to be expended. Funds must be expended within five years of the last day<br>of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received."                                                                                                                                                                      |  |
| Condition                     | The City's fiscal year 2019 ending fund balance in the amount of \$108,778 was<br>not expended within 5 years as of June 30, 2024 and was not reserved for<br>capital projects as required by Local Return guidelines. The City subsequently<br>received an extension from Metro to spend the lapsed funds until June 30, 2025<br>on December 16, 2024.                                                                                                                                |  |
| Cause                         | The City had requested a capital reserve for MMLRF project in February 2024.<br>Due to the City's misunderstanding of the potential lapsed balance, the amount<br>placed on capital reserve fell short, resulting in an untimely use of funds.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |  |
| Effect                        | The Measure M Local Return funds were not expended or reserved within the Timely Use period. The City did not comply with the Measure M Local Return Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |
| Recommendation                | We recommend that the City establish a policy in place where the City<br>Manager, City Engineer and Finance Department discuss the availability of<br>Measure M Local Return funds in conjunction with any eligible MMLRF<br>projects and submit its Form M-II (Annual Project Update Form) by entering<br>the budgeted expenditures in the Local Return Management System (LRMS)<br>on time. Alternative measures would include requesting a Capital Reserve<br>Agreement with Metro. |  |
| Management's Response         | The City will continue to monitor and communicate with Metro regularly to<br>ensure lapsed funding will not occur in the future. If there is potential for<br>lapsing of funds, the City will request Metro for the extension of the use of<br>lapsed funds in a timely manner.                                                                                                                                                                                                        |  |
| Corrected During the<br>Audit | Metro Program Manager granted the City an extension for the use of lapsed<br>Measure M Local Return funds until June 30, 2025 on December 16, 2024. No<br>follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |  |

| Finding #2024-004    | City of South Pasadena                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference | According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV, "It is the jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of the audit prescribed in these Guidelines."                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Condition            | <ul> <li>As of the date of the audit, December 18, 2024, the City's year-end closing process was still ongoing. We noted the following critical observations including:</li> <li>(a) A detailed breakdown of expenditures charged to the MMLRF for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024 was not provided.</li> <li>(b) No bank reconciliation was prepared as of June 30, 2024.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| Cause                | During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2024, management experienced significant turnover in key personnel within the Finance and Public Works departments. This disruption impacted the oversight of the local return funds and Metro-related projects, leading to delays in critical reconciliations, account analyses, and the preparation of necessary documentation required by both management and the auditors.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Effect               | <ul> <li>Without supporting documentation and reconciliations, variances remained between amounts recorded in the City's general ledger and those reported to Metro. This increases the risk of: <ul> <li>(a) Inaccurate or misstated financial records and reports.</li> <li>(b) Noncompliance with applicable local return guidelines.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Recommendation       | <ul> <li>We recommend that management prioritize and complete the year-end closing process promptly to address the identified issues. Specifically, management should: <ol> <li>Provide a detailed breakdown of expenditures charged to the local return funds for the fiscal year, along with the necessary supporting documentation for verification.</li> <li>Complete all required bank reconciliations for the fiscal year.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Management should implement a structured approach with clear responsibilities and timelines to ensure that these tasks are completed accurately and in a timely manner. Regular process reviews and oversight should be conducted to ensure all necessary actions are taken before finalizing the year-end closing.</li> </ul> |

| Finding #2024-004<br>(Continued) | City of South Pasadena                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Management's Response            | The City has engaged an external CPA firm to assist with year-end closing activities, including preparing bank reconciliations and supporting the City during the audit process. Management is prioritizing this effort, recognizing its significant impact on all the funds within the City's general ledger. While some progress has been made, the year-end closing process and necessary adjustments are expected to be completed by February 2025. |

| Finding #2024-005             | City of Temple City                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compliance Reference          | According to Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Section XXV<br>Administrative, Form Submission Timeline, "New, amended, ongoing and<br>carryover projects must file an Expenditure Plan Form M-One by August 1st.<br>In addition, the Audit Requirements, Financial and Compliance Provisions of<br>the section states, "The Measure M LR Audits shall include, but not limited to,<br>verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions<br>of this guidelines: Verification that funds were expended with Metro's<br>approval." |
| Condition                     | The City incurred expenditures prior to receiving approval from Metro for MMLRF's Project Code 640, SGVCOG VMT Analysis, in the amount of \$14,000. However, the City subsequently received an approved budget in the amount of \$14,000 from Metro on September 27, 2024.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Cause                         | Due to the change in the City's personnel, along with the oversight of management, the City was not able to request a budget approval from Metro prior to incurring expenditures on the project.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| Effect                        | The City did not comply with the Guidelines as the expenditures for the MMLRF project were incurred prior to Metro's approval.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |
| Recommendation                | We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains<br>approval from Metro prior to implementing any Measure M Local Return<br>projects, properly enters the budgeted amount for each project in the LRMS<br>and submits before the requested due date so that the City's expenditures of<br>Measure M Local Return Funds are in accordance with Metro's approval and<br>the Guidelines.                                                                                                                                                |
| Management's Response         | In the future, the City's Director will coordinate with the staff and review the Metro budget to ensure all expenditures have the proper budget prior to the end of the fiscal year.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| Corrected During the<br>Audit | Metro Program Manager granted retroactive approval of said project on<br>September 27, 2024. No follow-up is required.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |



MANAGEMENT AUDIT SERVICES