

ATTACHMENT A

Measure M Comprehensive Assessment Objectives and Criteria

The Measure M 10-Year Comprehensive Assessment (the Assessment) will evaluate the overall performance of the Measure M program, including major expenditure plan projects and Multi-Year Subregional Programs (MSP) that are either completed or anticipated to be completed during the next ten-year period. The Assessment will be informed by the Measure M Ordinance goals, key assessment objectives, and performance criteria.

To ensure continuity across Measure M assessments, the proposed objectives and criteria build on those in the 2023 Measure M Five-Year Comprehensive Assessment and Equity Report adopted by the Metro Board of Directors in 2023 (MM5Y Assessment).

Proposed 10-Year Comprehensive Assessment Objectives and Criteria

The following proposed assessment objectives and criteria build on the previously approved objectives and criteria from the first MM5Y Assessment in 2023. These proposed objectives and criteria include updates based on recommendations from the 2023 MM5Y Assessment, MMITOC recommendations, Board amendments, and additional areas of inquiry for the current Assessment.

Proposed Assessment Objectives

- Assess performance on the efficiency and effectiveness in delivering Measure M projects and programs
- Identify and evaluate any potential barriers in delivery of the Expenditure Plan
- Identify and evaluate opportunities for process improvements
- Identify and evaluate best practices to be used going forward
- Identify and evaluate any organizational changes needed to improve coordination

Proposed Assessment Criteria

Financial Analysis

- Accounting of revenues and expenditures
- Whether initial funding assumptions have been achieved, and by what ratio, and reasoning behind any differences

- Whether pass-through funding to direct and subrecipients is invested in expected, locally controlled infrastructure, operations, and programs and what is the performance outcome (i.e., mobility improvement, ridership, completed projects) of delivery by the pass-through recipients
- Whether Measure M operating and maintenance (O&M) set-aside investments to serve newly built capital assets and projects have been sufficient

Project Delivery

- Identification of potential risks on project deliveries
- Identification of progress of project and schedule related to original projections
- Outcomes (i.e., mobility, jobs created, ridership, accessibility) of the projects and programs included in progress and/or completed (this will measure outcomes by Metro led Measure M projects/programs as well as where any jurisdiction or subregion is the lead agency for a Measure M project/program)

Planning

- Whether/which projects have and/or will boost system-wide connectivity and ridership
- Whether Measure M is helping to address regional per-capita Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) targets

Construction

- Whether the industry maintained sufficient, quality staffing and resources adequate to support project development and implementation of multiple mega-projects within similar time periods

Economic Impact

- Whether Measure M projects and programs have contributed to the economy including but not limited to direct and indirect jobs during and after implementation
- Cost/Benefit measurement of expenditure plan projects/programs.

Compliance, Transparency, and Accountability

- Demonstration of Metro, direct recipient, and subrecipient compliance with the Ordinance, including completion of projects/programs
- Whether reporting to MMITOC has been adequate
- Description of the purpose, functionality, and usefulness of the MMITOC meeting requirements of the Ordinance
- Whether reporting to Metro Board on MM has been adequate through reports including all items required in the Ordinance

- Whether Public Information has been available with expected regularity and detail, such as posting of agendas, public hearings, annual audit reports, dedicated website

Equity

- Whether equity and inclusion objectives have been aligned with other Metro endeavors, such as geographic distribution of services related to EFCs
- Whether Measure M investments have increased Access to Opportunity, especially for marginalized and Equity Focus Communities, and what gaps exist in the Measure M program