

MMITOC Assessment Objectives and Criteria Comments

As required, by the Measure M Ordinance and Guidelines, staff sought feedback on the proposed assessment objectives and criteria from the Measure M Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee (MMITOC). The table below details the comments received from the MMITOC and corresponding updates to the objectives and criteria.

Ref.	Committee comment	Refinement
Section 5, bullet 1	These items need to correspond with input received in reports from Metro staff over the past five years. For this section, the names of those assessing and evaluating need to be identified, along with their roles.	Added to section 5, first bullet: Whether reporting to MMITOC has been adequate.
Key Objectives, bullet 1	Assess what performance? The sentence is not clear. Better: "Assess MTA's efficiency and effectiveness in delivering Measure M's projects and programs." Efficiency measures \$\$ spent per unit of output, e.g., \$\$/bus-hour, Effectiveness measures returns per unit of output (riders/bus-hour) I am not sure what measurements to use for construction.	Changed first bullet in key objectives: Assess Metro's performance on the efficiency and effectiveness in delivering Measure M projects and programs. In addition, refined assessment areas to identify performance criteria.
Key Objectives, bullet 4	Add "to be used going forward" to the fourth bullet of the objectives	Changed fourth bullet in key objectives: Identify and evaluate best practices to be used going forward.
Section 5, bullet 2	For this section, Measure M Committee members with special expertise should meet with relevant counterparts in their specialties. For future reports, consider adding areas of performance, including design, local and regional planning, environmental performance and resiliency, and others to be identified.	Added to section 5, second bullet: Description of the purpose, functionality, and usefulness of the MMITOC in meeting requirements of the Ordinance.
N/A	Add: Identify any system bottlenecks and what changes to the Expenditure Plan might be needed to assure a more effective transit system.	No change as the 10 Year Assessment will review changes in circumstances affecting the delivery of projects/programs in the Measure M Expenditure Plan.
N/A	Add: Identify changes to the priorities identified in the Expenditure Plan that might be needed to meet changing externalities.	No change as the second bullet of the key objectives addresses this.
N/A	Add: Estimate the realistic future operating costs of projects now being constructed to determine any future	No change as the 10 Year Assessment will review changes in circumstances affecting the delivery of

Ref.	Committee comment	Refinement
	impacts to the Expenditure Plan.	projects/programs in the Measure M Expenditure Plan.
Section 2, bullet 1	Add “on project deliveries that might need to be addressed in the Expenditure Plan” to first bullet under Project Delivery.	Changed section 2, first bullet: Identification of potential risks on project deliveries that may need to be addressed in the Expenditure Plan.
Section 5, bullet 2	Add: Evaluate the purpose, functionality, and usefulness of the Oversight Committee in meeting the requirements of the Ordinance.	Added to section 5, second bullet: Description of the purpose, functionality, and usefulness of the MMITOC in meeting requirements of the Ordinance.
N/A	<p>In measuring overall program performance, the five-year assessment should discretely address which subfunds / program elements support each of the eight goals and moreover how the programs have performed in achieving these goals in the context of the two primary objectives.</p> <p>The public will like to know (1) the extent to which traffic congestion has been eased and transportation improved, and (2) the success of each of the eight goals.</p>	Will integrate the eight core goals identified in the Measure M Ordinance into the five themes identified during the assessment research phase.