Survey of Laws in California Governing Use of FRT

Approved	Legislation	Dated	Jurisdiction	Source	Notes
Proposition E	Limit Police Department Administrative Task Time and Increase Use	Approved March 2024,	City & County of San	https://voterguide.sfelections.org/local-ballot-	San Francisco banned facial recognition technology as
	of Camera and Drone Technology Initiative (March 2024), among	yet to be enacted	Francisco	measures/measure-e	part of the 2019 surveillance ordinance. Codifying this
	other reforms to departmental procedures of the SFPD, it also				proposition into law requires the determination of
	approves the installation of surveillance and facial recognition				whether it effectively circumvents the city's 2019
	cameras without approval from the police commission or board of				ordinance banning the use of FRT by city departments.
	supervisors.				The ballot's language reads that a "yes" vote on the
					measure would "authorize the SFPD to use drones and
					install surveillance cameras without Commission or
					Board approval, including those with facial recognition
					technology."
Ch. 19B: Acquisition of	Bans the use of Facial Recognition Technology, with limited	In effect since June	City & County of San	https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/san_francisco	
Surveillance	exceptions, and requires public posting of current surveillance	2019	Francisco	/latest/sf_admin/0-0-0-47320	
Technology Ordinance	technologies in possession or use by city departments.				
The Use of Photo	The Department policy allows for analysis of permitted images in	In effect since	City of Los Angeles	http://www.lapdpolicecom.lacity.org/120820/BPC_20	LAPD policies do not allow facial recognition platforms
Comparison	accordance with the Facial Recognition Technology Module of the	December 2020		<u>0207.pdf</u>	outside the county's mugshot system to be used, and the
Technology within Los	Digital Mugshot System (DMS) of the Los Angeles County Regional				computer-generated list of comparisons must be
Angeles County's	Identification System (LACRIS) only.				investigated further by human analysis.
Digital Mugshot System					
Proposed	Legislation	Dated	Jurisdiction	Source	Notes
AB 1814	Prohibits law enforcement from proceeding with a search, arrest or	Currently in	State of California	https://a19.asmdc.org/press-releases/20240111-new	
	affidavit for a warrant, based solely on an FRT match, requiring other	consideration		legislation-assemblymember-ting-targets-law-	
	supporting factors. AB 1814 also requires the peace officer to			enforcement-use-facial	
	examine the facial recognition match with care and consider the				
	possibility it could be inaccurate with the goal of preventing mistaken				
	arrests or inappropriate tracking when FRT is used.				
Expired	Legislation	Dated	Jurisdiction	Source	Notes
AB 1215	Prohibits law enforcement from equipping body cameras with facial	Enacted January 2020	State of California	https://a19.asmdc.org/press-releases/20191008-	The bill temporarily banned investigators in CA from
	recognition software and other biometric scanners for three years.	Expired January 2023		california-law-enforcement-prohibited-using-facial-	using FRT; the measure expired January 2023,
				recognition-technology	

Abandoned	Legislation	Dated	Jurisdiction	Source	Notes
AB 642	Authorizes law enforcement to use the facial recognition technology	Held in Assembly	State of California	https://a19.asmdc.org/press-releases/20230308-new	<u>t</u>
	in some instances, and only permits the use of programs that have	Appropriations		legislation-assemblymember-ting-seeks-regulate-law	
	been evaluated under the National Institute of Standards and	Committee May 2023		enforcement-use	
	Technology's (NIST) Face Recognition Vendor Test (FRVT) program				
	and are at least 98% accurate.				