

**PROCUREMENT SUMMARY
G-LINE BRT IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT/PS85661000**

1.	Contract Number: PS85661000	
2.	Recommended Vendor: Valley Transit Partners	
3.	Type of Procurement (check one): <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RFP <input type="checkbox"/> IFB <input type="checkbox"/> IFB-A&E <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Competitive <input type="checkbox"/> Modification <input type="checkbox"/> Task Order	
4.	Procurement Dates:	
	A. Issued: February 10, 2022	
	B. Advertised/Publicized: February 16, 2022, and February 17, 2022	
	C. Pre-proposal/Pre-Bid Conference: February 22, 2022	
	D. Proposals/Bids Due: May 2, 2022	
	E. Pre-Qualification Completed: June 30, 2022	
	F. Conflict of Interest Form Submitted to Ethics: May 4, 2022	
	G. Protest Period End Date: August 22, 2022	
5.	Solicitations Picked up/Downloaded: 229	Bids Received: 4
6.	Contract Administrator: Aielyn Dumaua	Telephone Number: (213) 922-7320
7.	Project Manager: Annalisa Murphy	Telephone Number: (213) 922-2143

A. Procurement Background

This Board Action is to approve the award of Contract No. PS85661000 to Valley Transit Partners to provide G-Line Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) Improvements Project ("G-Line Project") under a progressive design-build contract. Board approval of contract award is subject to the resolution of any properly submitted protest.

In March 2021, the Board authorized the use of alternative delivery methods, including progressive design-build, for the G-Line Project to achieve certain private-sector efficiencies in the integration of design, project works, and other components, pursuant to Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 130242 (file 2020-0884). The Board also approved the competitive solicitation of a progressive design-build contract to achieve the proposed design approach, specific project features and functions, and other project criteria in addition to price, pursuant to PUC 130242(e).

Prior to the release of the solicitation, Metro conducted an Industry Review in November 2021 to give firms interested in the project the opportunity to review and submit written comments on the draft RFP and progressive design-build contract for the G-Line Project and request one-on-one meetings with Metro to discuss the proposed project delivery approach. The one-on-one meetings were held virtually on December 20, 2021, and December 21, 2021, and were participated by five (5) firms. Metro responded to a total of 193 industry review comments/questions.

On February 10, 2022, RFP No. PS85661 was issued as a competitive procurement in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy and the contract type is a progressive

design build. Work under the Contract is intended to be delivered in two phases, as follows:

- Phase 1 - Pre-construction services; and
- Phase 2 - Final design development and construction services.

If it is in the best interest of Metro and the general public for the Contractor to continue to complete the design development and undertake the construction of the Project, Metro will issue a Notice to Proceed for the Phase 2 work upon acceptance of the Contractor's phase 2 proposal. In the spirit of expanding competition, Metro had not determined the gating and signal pre-emption technology for the Project. Therefore, firms were encouraged to propose innovation and cost savings measures across the full breadth of the scope of work that will assure that Metro receives value for money for the Phase 2 Contract Price, and cost-effectiveness throughout construction.

The RFP was issued with the following Small Business Enterprise (SBE) and Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise (DVBE) goals and is subject to Metro's Contract Outreach and Mentoring Plan (COMP) and a Small Business Engagement Outreach Plan (EOP).

Phase 1 - 17% SBE and 3% DVBE goal for Phase 1 of the Contract

Phase 2 - Range of 15% to 30% of the Phase 2 Contract Price for Phase 2 Work

Five amendments were issued during the solicitation phase of this RFP:

- Amendment No. 1, issued on March 4, 2022, revised Form 063 – Proposer's Industrial Safety Record to require disclosure of Proposer's current year's safety record.
- Amendment No. 2, issued on March 23, 2022, provided revisions to various sections of the RFP and Contract including RFP Appendices A (Definitions and Interpretation), C (Administrative Submittals), D (Phase 1 Proposal Instructions), E (Price Proposal Instructions-Cost Allocation Matrix), and G (Reference Documents); and Contract Exhibits 1 (Definitions), 3 (Project Requirements) and 11 (Form of Phase 2 Proposal).
- Amendment No. 3, issued on March 25, 2022, provided revisions to various sections of the RFP and Contract, including RFP Appendices E and G; and Contract Exhibit 3.
- Amendment No. 4, issued on March 30, 2022, extended the proposal due date.
- Amendment No. 5, issued on April 14, 2022, provided revisions to various sections of the RFP and Contract including RFP Appendices B (Summary and Checklist of Proposal Content), C, D, and E; and Contract Exhibit 3.

A virtual pre-proposal conference was held on February 22, 2022, and was attended by 163 participants.

A total of 229 firms downloaded the RFP and were included on the plan holders list. Four proposals were received by the due date of May 2, 2022, and are listed below in alphabetical order:

1. Bechtel-Griffith, JV
2. Herzog/Rados, A Joint Venture
3. Valley Transit Partners
4. Skanska USA Civil West California District Inc.

B. Evaluation of Proposals

A Proposal Evaluation Team (PET) consisting of staff from Alternative Delivery/ Construction Management, Systems Engineering, Project Engineering, and Mobility Corridors Team convened and conducted a comprehensive technical evaluation of the proposals received. In addition, a subject matter expert (SME) from Metro's Corporate Safety and DEOD offered the PET a technical analysis of the Proposers' safety record, safety program, approach to risk management; and approach to engagement and outreach to small and disadvantaged business communities.

Proposals were evaluated based on the following evaluation criteria stated in the RFP:

- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|
| • Capability and Experience | 35 points |
| • Project Understanding | 10 points |
| • Project Approach | 35 points |
| • Price Proposal | 20 points |

Several factors were considered in developing these weights, giving the greatest importance to capability and experience, and project approach. As noted above, to maximize potential competition and innovation, Metro did not specify a required gating and signal pre-emption technology for the Project. Therefore, firms were encouraged to propose innovation and cost savings measures across the full breadth of the scope of work and assure that Metro receives value for money for the Phase 2 Contract Price and cost-effectiveness throughout construction. Proposers were also asked to identify risks, challenges, and opportunities of the Project and how their experience on other projects can be utilized to address the major elements of the G-Line Project scope that will require focus and present the greatest risk to the successful delivery of the G-Line Project. Finally, firms were encouraged to demonstrate how their qualifications and experience on comparable projects (or comparable elements of projects), would support their approach to successfully deliver the project.

In addition, the price evaluation criteria consisted of five sections with pre-established parameters to reflect the phases of the project designed to establish a

level playing field and to arrive at one price that would be evaluated with the understanding that only the amount listed under Phase 1 would be used for the Contract Value (subject to clarification and/or negotiations) as follows:

1. Phase 1 Pre-Construction Lump Sum Fee;
2. Delay Compensation Rate for Phase 1 (for evaluation purposes only) in an amount no less than \$10,000 per day as a parameter;
3. Phase 2 Management Lump Sum Fee – (for evaluation purposes only);
4. Phase 2 Margin Percentage – (for evaluation purposes only);
5. Phase 2 Design Support During Construction (DSDC) – (for evaluation purposes only).

On May 4, 2022, the PET met to review the evaluation criteria package, process confidentiality and conflict of interest forms, and take receipt of the proposals to initiate the evaluation phase. Evaluations were conducted from May 4, 2022, through May 17, 2022.

On May 25, 2022, virtual and/or in-person oral presentations were held with the four firms. The project managers and key team members from each firm were invited to present their firm's respective qualifications and respond to the PET's questions. At the conclusion of the oral presentations, Valley Transit Partners was determined to be the highest-rated firm.

VTPs proposal more closely correlated its relevant design and construction experience to the G-Line Project's key objective outcomes: improving operational speeds, capacity/ridership/throughput, connectivity, safety, allowing for future conversion to light rail, and minimizing impacts to area traffic. Most significant was VTP's recent successful work in the Los Angeles region and other referenced projects, demonstrating VTPs depth of knowledge and expertise in the design and construction of all key elements of the G-Line Project, particularly on gating technology/signal preemption applied to Bus Rapid Transit (BRT), which is a key component of achieving the project outcomes. VTP also outlined innovative cost savings solutions, such as allowance agreements, performance incentives, and risk sharing arrangements, that would also promote efficiency, improved productivity, and ultimately provide added value to Metro. Reference checks conducted by Metro staff revealed consistent high-performance ratings in terms of quality, innovation, schedule adherence, minimizing claims, personnel, and partnership.

Qualifications Summary of Proposers:

Bechtel-Griffith JV

Bechtel-Griffith JV (BGJV) is a partnership between Bechtel Infrastructure Corporation (Bechtel), the managing partner, and Griffith Company. The BGJV is supplemented by three key subconsultants: TY Lin International, a global, multi-disciplinary infrastructure services firm that provides a range of planning, design,

construction, and project management services to the aviation; bridge; facilities; mobility, planning, and management; ports and marine; rail and transit; and surface transportation industries; L.K. Comstock National Transit, LLC, a subsidiary of RailWorks, is a transit rail systems specialty electrical contractor; and B&C Transit a subsidiary of Alstom, provides automated train control design, technical engineering, system installations, field testing, networked and stand-alone control, office monitoring systems, station communications, and design-build engineering. Collectively, reference projects include the Metro Sepulveda Transit Corridor Project, E street BRT with the San Bernardino County, Metro Orange Line Extension, Metro Exposition 2, Metro Blue Line Re-Signaling, and Dallas Area Rapid Transit (DART) Blue Line Extension to Rowlett.

Herzog/Rados, A Joint Venture

Herzog/Rados, A Joint Venture (HRJV) is comprised of Herzog Contracting Corp. (Herzog) and Steve P. Rados, Inc. (Rados). Herzog, the lead JV partner, brings over 30 years of experience constructing light rail and modern streetcar projects, including eight Metro rail transit projects while Rados has a 100-year construction presence in California and a long history of building complex highway and heavy civil projects for Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) and Caltrans along with Metro experience with the delivery of the Expo Phase 2 LRT DB project. The HRJV team includes STV Incorporated (STV), the lead designer and three (3) technology-specialist subcontractors: C3M Power Systems (C3M), Herzog Technologies, Inc. (HTI), and Thompson Technologies, Ltd. will support STV with the detailed gate crossing technology. Collectively, reference projects of the HRJV Team include Brightline High-Speed Rail Project - Phases 1 and 2, Expo Phase 2 LRT Design-Build, Virginia Department of Transportation GRTC Pulse BRT Design-Build, and Charlotte Area Transit System LYNX Blue Line Extension Light Rail Project.

Skanska USA Civil West California District Inc.

Skanska USA Civil West California District, Inc. (Skanska) headquartered in Riverside, CA, was incorporated in 2020 and provides construction services. It constructs highways, streets, roads, airport runways, sidewalks, and bridges. Its team includes AECOM Technical Services, Inc. (AECOM) as the lead designer. Skanska and AECOM's history of partnering on alternative delivery projects in Southern California include the Expo Phase 2, I-210 Iconic Bridge, Regional Connector, Mid-Coast Corridor, and I-805 HOV/BRT projects. Its team also handled, developed, and performed pilot programs of novel technologies to demonstrate a Concept of Operations for the Miami Dade South Corridor BRT Project.

Valley Transit Partners

Valley Transit Partners (VTP) is a joint venture of Stacy and Witbeck, Inc. (Stacy and Witbeck), Flatiron West Inc. (Flatiron), and Modern Railway Systems (MRS). Stacy

and Witbeck and Flatiron are heavy civil construction companies experienced in alternative delivery of transit and transportation projects while MRS is a turn-key provider of railroad systems design and implementation and has expertise in gating technology, including directly relevant experience working on Metro's G-Line Pilot Gate Technology. The VTP team includes Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. (Parsons) as the lead designer. Collectively, reference projects include the Utah Transit Authority's FrontRunner Commuter Rail, Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit's SMART Commuter Rail, Miami South Dade Transitway BRT Corridor Project, BART Oakland Airport Connector, Caltrans North Coast Corridor Project, and LAX Automated People Mover. Parsons is the lead designer on the Miami South Dade Transitway BRT Project.

The following is a summary of the PET scores:

1	Firm	Average Score	Factor Weight	Weighted Average Score	Rank
2	Valley Transit Partners				
3	Capability and Experience	87.86	35.00%	30.75	
4	Project Understanding	90.00	10.00%	9.00	
5	Project Approach	88.63	35.00%	31.02	
6	Price Proposal	66.70	20.00%	13.34	
7	Total		100.00%	84.11	1
8	Skanska				
9	Capability and Experience	78.00	35.00%	27.30	
10	Project Understanding	76.00	10.00%	7.60	
11	Project Approach	78.91	35.00%	27.62	
12	Price Proposal	100.00	20.00%	20.00	
13	Total		100.00%	82.52	2
14	Herzog/Rados, A Joint Venture				
15	Capability and Experience	78.42	35.00%	27.45	
16	Project Understanding	74.00	10.00%	7.40	
17	Project Approach	76.46	35.00%	26.76	
18	Price Proposal	30.50	20.00%	6.10	
19	Total		100.00%	67.71	3
20	Bechtel-Griffith JV				
21	Capability and Experience	66.57	35.00%	23.30	
22	Project Understanding	60.00	10.00%	6.00	
23	Project Approach	72.29	35.00%	25.30	
24	Price Proposal	64.00	20.00%	12.80	
25	Total		100.00%	67.40	4

C. Cost/Price Analysis

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based on adequate price competition including cost analysis, price analysis, technical analysis, and fact-finding.

Proposer Name	Proposal Amount	Metro ICE	Award Amount
Valley Transit Partners	\$46,315,349 Phase 1	\$34,373,200	\$43,997,256
	Phase 1 Delay Compensation Rate \$10,000/day		
	Phase 2 Management Lump Sum Fee \$67,710/week		
	Phase 2 Margin Percentage 10.5%		
	Phase 2 DSDC Fee 3.0%		
Skanska	\$34,753,562 Phase 1		
	Phase 1 Delay Compensation Rate \$10,000/day		
	Phase 2 Management Lump Sum Fee \$70,768/week		
	Phase 2 Margin Percentage 7.0%		
	Phase 2 DSDC Fee 2.0%		
Herzog/Rados, A Joint Venture	\$57,215,277 Phase 1		
	Phase 1 Delay Compensation Rate \$50,982/day		
	Phase 2 Management Lump Sum Fee \$93,900/week		
	Phase 2 Margin Percentage 12.0%		
	Phase 2 DSDC Fee 4.04%		
Bechtel-Griffith JV	\$49,500,000 Phase 1		
	Phase 1 Delay Compensation Rate \$25,000/day		
	Phase 2 Management Lump Sum Fee \$60,000/week		
	Phase 2 Margin Percentage 11.0%		
	Phase 2 DSDC Fee 2.0%		

Metro's independent cost estimate (ICE) was prepared using a traditional bid-build cost estimate where the design cost is calculated as a percentage of total anticipated construction costs. It did not fully consider the nuances of a progressive design-build delivery method, the Phase 1 Proof of Concept task required for gated intersections, and unique staff requirements due to the G-Line Project's aggressive schedule. Further, the ICE did not take into consideration current increases in labor rates due to labor market shortages, increasing inflation rates, and market uncertainty. All proposals received exceeded Metro's ICE.

Staff successfully negotiated \$2,318,093.00 in cost savings from VTP's proposal.

D. Background on Recommended Contractor

The recommended firm, Valley Transit Partners (VTP) is a collaboration of two major heavy civil construction companies: Stacy and Witbeck, Inc. (Stacy and Witbeck) and Flatiron West Inc. (Flatiron); and Modern Railway Systems (MRS), a turn-key provider of railroad systems design and implementation. Stacy and Witbeck, a California Corporation, is headquartered in Alameda California. It provides construction and management expertise on complex transit and transportation projects. Flatiron, a Delaware Corporation founded in Boulder, Colorado, is a subsidiary of German-based HOCHTIEF, an international construction service provider. It builds roads, bridges, rail, airports, dams, industrial, water, and underground projects from common to complex, large-scale jobs. MRS, located in Littleton, Colorado, is a wholly owned subsidiary of Stacy and Witbeck. It delivers turnkey projects, including, signaling, traction electrification, communications, security, and SCADA in the transportation industry from conceptual design through certification of installed systems. VTP's lead designer and key subcontractor, Parsons Transportation Group, Inc. (Parsons), is headquartered in Washington, DC and provides engineering, construction, technical, and management services.

VTP's Project Manager has spent the last ten years of his career working on alternative delivery projects. The Design Manager has 23 years' experience in the design of transit and transportation projects and has been the Design Manager on five similar transit projects including three Metro Projects: Gold Line Foothill Extension Phase 2B., Purple Line Extension Section 1, and Crenshaw LAX Transit Corridor. The Technology Systems Manager has over 24 years of complex signaling design and installation experience while the Operations Integration Manager has 44 years of BRT operations experience and is familiar with LADOT systems and Metro bus operations.