

PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

**EAST SAN FERNANDO VALLEY TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT (ESFVTC)
SUPPLEMENTAL ANALYSIS OF PHASE 2 CORRIDOR
FROM VAN NUYS BOULEVARD/SAN FERNANDO ROAD TO
SYLMAR/SAN FERNANDO STATION/PS80628-5433000**

1.	Contract Number: Task Order No. PS80628-5433000, under Contract No. PS54330021		
2.	Contractor: Mott MacDonald		
3.	Mod. Work Description: Optional Phase 2		
4.	Contract Work Description: Supplemental Analysis on the East San Fernando Valley Transit Corridor (ESFVTC) from Sylmar/San Fernando to Van Nuys Boulevard (Shared ROW Study).		
5.	The following data is current as of: 10/12/22		
6.	Contract Completion Status		Financial Status
	Contract Awarded:	3/24/22	Contract Award Amount: \$343,218
	Notice to Proceed (NTP):	4/4/22	Total of Modifications Approved: \$0
	Original Complete Date:	9/5/22 (Phase 1)	Pending Modifications (including this action): \$1,463,005
	Current Est. Complete Date:	6/30/24 (Phase 2)	Current Contract Value (with this action): \$1,806,223
7.	Contract Administrator: Lily Lopez		Telephone Number: (213) 922-4639
8.	Project Manager: Ivan Gonzalez		Telephone Number: (213) 922-7506

A. Procurement Background

This Board Action is to approve Contract Modification No. 2 issued for the Optional Phase 2 of the ESFVTC.

This Contract Modification will be processed in accordance with Metro's Acquisition Policy and the task order type is firm fixed price.

On March 24, 2022, the Board awarded firm fixed price Task Order No. PS80628-5433000 under Countywide Planning and Development Bench Contract No. PS54330021 to Mott MacDonald for professional services to complete the Supplemental Analysis on the ESFVTC from Sylmar/San Fernando to Van Nuys Boulevard.

One modification has been issued to date.

Refer to Attachment E – Contract Modification/Change Order Log.

B. Cost Analysis

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based on pricing that was evaluated as part of the competitive Task Order award in March 2022. Pricing remains unchanged.

Mott MacDonald’s negotiated amount increased from the proposal amount because the initial level of effort was not consistent with the work identified in the Task Order RFP. The discrepancy between the independent cost estimate (ICE) and negotiated price was due to Metro taking a conservative approach on the ICE and the contractor identifying efficiencies on certain tasks.

Proposal Amount	Metro ICE	Negotiated Amount
\$1,276,861	\$2,268,123	\$1,463,005