

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952 213.922.2000 Tel metro.net

November 5, 2014

Dear Interested Parties in Los Angeles County:

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Board of Directors (Board) adopted a motion at its October 24, 2014 meeting directing staff to "revise and improve future Call for Projects past the 2015 Call." The Board further directed staff to "solicit an assessment of both the strengths and weaknesses of the process from cities, COGs, and other stakeholders who both apply and do not apply for funding."

Attached for your use is our Call for Projects Survey. Your assistance in completing the attached survey will help to guide the future of the Call for Projects process. We anticipate that the results of this survey will be provided to the Board at its February 2015 meeting. Please note that this does <u>not</u> impact the 2015 Call for Projects currently underway.

We recognize that you are busy preparing applications for the 2015 Call for Projects, but we would appreciate your response to this request. Please return the completed survey by Friday, December 19th via email to lumr@metro.net or via mail to:

Metro
One Gateway Plaza
Mail Stop 99-23-25, attn: Call for Projects
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2932

Sincerely,

Martha Welborne, FAIA
Chief Planning Officer

Attachment

Distribution:
City Managers
Planning Directors
Public Works Directors
Transportation Directors
General Managers of Muni Operators
Metro's Technical Advisory Committee
Streets and Freeways Subcommittee
Bus Operations Subcommittee
Local Transit Systems Subcommittee
TDM/Sustainability Subcommittee
COG Executive Directors
Call for Projects Email Distribution List (email only)

Call for Projects Survey

The Metro Board adopted a motion at its October 24th meeting (attached) directing staff to consider whether the Call for Projects process should be revised beyond the 2015 Call process to allocate Call funds through a sub-regional process. (NOTE: This does NOT impact the 2015 Call currently underway). The Board directed that staff report in February 2015 with a recommendation on revising the future Call process. We know that you are busy preparing applications for the 2015 Call for Projects, but we would appreciate your response to this survey.

Does you	r jurisdiction or agency participate in the Call for Projects process? □Yes □No
1a. Plea	se describe strengths (Please list in priority order with most important first):
1)	
5)	
	se describe weaknesses (Please list in priority order with most important first):
5)	



	<u> </u>
	ere types of projects that you would like to fund through the Call process, but are le? Please provide examples:
	
\	
for local j	ere any barriers in the existing Call for Projects process that make it more difficult urisdictions to work together to propose inter-jurisdictional projects? If so, how current Call process create a greater opportunity for inter-jurisdictional projects.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

•

4. If the Call for Projects process was modified to allocate funding through sub-regional COGs/agencies as described in the attached motion, what would be the strengths and weaknesses of this process?
4a. Please describe strengths (Please list in priority order with most important first):
1)
2)
3)
4)
5)
4b. Please describe weaknesses (Please list in priority order with most important first). 1)
2)
3)
4)
5)
5. Are there any issues or considerations that you would want to see addressed if a sub-regional Call process was instituted?



6. If funding was allocated to sub-regions, how would you want to see funding allocated? If allocated by formula, what formula would you recommend and why?			
7. Other than a countywide or sub-regional Call process, are there other alternative Call processes that you believe should be considered?			
 8. On a scale of 1 – 10, how would you rate your preference for continuing with the existing countywide process beyond the 2015 Call? (Circle one) Least favorable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Most favorable 			
9. On a scale of 1 – 10, how would you rate your preference for revising the Call for Projects to allocate funds through sub-regional COG processes beyond the 2015 Call? (Circle one) Least favorable 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Most favorable			

	y other comments on the Call for Projects process that you would like to
have considered by	me wetto Board?
•	
Thank you for your	participation in our survey!
	•
Title:	
Agency:	
Please return survey	y by <u>Friday. December 19th via e-mail</u> to Rena Lum at lumr@metro.net
v. man w.	Metro
	One Gateway Plaza
	Mail Stop 99-23-25, attn: Call for Projects
	Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

