Subregional Stakeholder Draft Project Priorities

(2015 \$ in thousands)

oc der	Project		Cost Assumption		Draft Subregional Target (2015\$)		Difference	
for reference only - not oriority order								
1	Arroyo Verdugo							
2	North Hollywood to Pasadena Bus Rapid Transit Corridor	а	\$	283,000	\$	283,000	\$	
3	Active Transportation Projects		\$	136,500		136,500	\$	-
4	Goods Movement Projects		\$	81,700	\$	81,700	\$	-
5	Highway Efficiency, Noise Mitigation and Arterial Projects		\$	602,800	\$	602,800	\$	-
6	Modal Connectivity and Complete Streets Projects		\$	202,000	\$	202,000	\$	-
7	Transit Projects		\$	257,100	\$	257,100	\$	-
8	Unprogrammed		\$	67,900	\$	67,900	\$	-
9	Arroyo Verdugo Subtotal		\$	1,631,000	\$	1,631,000	\$	-
10	San Fernando Valley							
11	City of San Fernando Bike Master Plan	b	\$	5,000	\$	5,000		
12	Complete LA River Bike Path Across the Valley	b	\$	60,000	\$	60,000		
13	Complete East Valley Transit Corridor Project as LRT		\$	1,000,000	\$	1,000,000	\$	-
14	North Hollywood to Pasadena Bus Rapid Transit Corridor	а	\$	230,000	\$	230,000	\$	- -
15	Orange Line BRT Improvements		\$	300,000	\$	300,000	\$	-
16	Orange Line Conversion to Light Rail		\$	1,400,000	\$	62,000	\$	1,338,000
17	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor	d	\$	3,390,000	\$	1,400,000	\$	1,990,000
18	San Fernando Valley Subtotal		\$	6,385,000	\$	3,057,000	\$	3,328,000
19	Westside							
20	Active Transportation and First/Last Mile Connections Prog.	С	\$	700,000	\$	700,000		-
21	Crenshaw Line Extension to West Hollywood/Hollywood	е	\$	580,000		1,400,000	\$	(820,000)
22	Lincoln Blvd BRT		\$	307,000		307,000		-
23	Purple Line Extension to Santa Monica	k	\$	2,647,100	\$	1,400,000	\$	1,247,100
24	Sepulveda Pass Transit Corridor	d	\$	3,390,000	\$	1,400,000	\$	1,990,000
	Westside Requested Subtotal		\$	7,624,100	\$	5,207,000	\$	2,417,100
25	Amount Requested in Excess of Constrained Target		Φ.	N/A	\$	(2,484,000)	\$	2,484,000
26	Westside Subtotal		\$	7,624,100	\$	2,723,000	\$	4,901,100
27	Central City Area			4.750.000	_	4.405.000		505.000
28	Crenshaw/Purple Line/Vermont Corridor to West Hollywood/Hollywood	е	\$	1,750,000		1,185,000		565,000
29	Vermont "Short Corridor" Subway from Wilshire to Exposition		\$	1,700,000	\$	425,000	\$	1,275,000
30	Bus Rapid Transit and 1st/Last Mile Solutions such as DASH	b	\$	250,000		250,000	\$	- 1
31	Freeway Interchange and Operational Improvements	b	\$	195,000	\$	195,000	\$	- [
32	Historic Streetcar LA River Waterway & System Bikepath	b	\$ \$	200,000 365,000	\$ \$	200,000 365,000	\$ \$	-
33	Los Angeles Safe Routes to School Initiative	b b	Ф \$	250,000	э \$	250,000	э \$	-
34 35	LA Streetscape Enhancements & Great Streets Program	b	\$	450,000	\$	450,000	\$	-
36	Active Transportation, 1st/Last Mile, & Mobility Hubs	b	\$	215,000	\$	215,000	\$	_ [
37	Traffic Congestion Relief/Signal Synchronization Program	b	\$	50,000	\$	50,000	\$	_ [
38	Public Transit State of Good Repair Program	b	\$	402,000	\$	402,000	\$	_ [
39	Central Cities Subtotal		\$	5,827,000	\$	3,987,000	\$	1,840,000
	North County		Ψ	0,021,000	Ψ	0,001,000	Ψ	1,010,000
	Active Transportation Program	b	\$	264,000	\$	264,000	\$	-
42	Arterial Program	b	\$	726,130	\$	726,130		_
43	Goods Movement Program	b	\$	104,000	\$	104,000		_ [
44	High Desert Corridor (HDC) Right-of-Way	S	\$	270,000	\$	170,000	\$	100,000
45	Highway Efficiency Program	b	\$	128,870	\$	128,870	\$	-
46	I-5 North Capacity Enhancements (Parker Rd. + 1.5 miles)	~	\$	785,000	\$	240,000	\$	545,000
47	Multimodal Connectivity Program	b	\$	239,000	\$	239,000	\$	-
48	Transit Program	b	\$	88,000	\$	88,000	\$	-
			\$	2,605,000	\$	1,960,000	\$	

(2015 \$ in thousands)

for reference only - not priority order	Project	Notes	Α	Cost ssumption	Subregional		Difference	
50	Las Virgenes-Malibu							
51	Active Transportation, Transit, and Technology Program	b	\$	32,000	\$	32,000	\$	-
52	Highway Efficiency Program	b	\$	133,000	\$	133,000	\$	-
53	Modal Connectivity Program	b	\$	68,000	\$	68,000	\$	-
54	Traffic Congestion Relief and Improvement Program	b	\$	63,000	\$	63,000	\$	-
55	Las Virgenes-Malibu Subtotal		\$	296,000	\$	296,000	\$	-
56	Gateway Cities							
57	Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase II - Washington Blvd.	f	\$	1,500,000	\$	543,000	\$	957,000
58	Green Line Eastern Extension (Norwalk)		\$	500,000	\$	500,000	\$	-
59	I-5 Corridor Improvements (I-605 to I-710)		\$	1,100,000	\$	1,059,000	\$	41,000
60	I-605 Corridor "Hot Spot" Interchange Improvements		\$	850,000	\$	300,000	\$	550,000
61	I-710 South Corridor Project	g	\$	4,000,000	\$	500,000	\$	3,500,000
62	SR 60/I-605 Interchange HOV Direct Connectors	h	\$	260,000	\$	200,000	\$	60,000
63	West Santa Ana Branch (Eco Rapid Transit Project)		\$	2,000,000	\$	1,035,000	\$	965,000
64	Active Transportation Program (ATP)	j_	Φ.	10.010.000		e determined		0.070.000
65	Gateway Cities Subtotal			10,210,000	\$	4,137,000	\$	6,073,000
66	San Gabriel Valley							
67	Active Transportation Program (Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities)	b	\$	231,000	\$	231,000	\$	-
68	Bus System Improvement Program	b	\$	55,000	\$	55,000	\$	-
69	Goods Movement Program (Improvements & RR Xing Elim.)	b	\$	33,000	\$	33,000	\$	-
70	Highway Demand Based Program (HOV Ext. & Connectors)	b	\$	231,000	\$	231,000	\$	-
71	Highway Efficiency Program I-605/I-10 Interchange	b	\$	534,000	\$	534,000	\$	-
72		h	\$ \$	126,000 66,000	\$	126,000 66,000	\$	-
73 74	ITS/Technology Program (Advanced Signal Technology) Metro Gold Line Eastside Transit Corridor Phase II - SR-60	b f	\$	1,500,000	\$ \$	543,000	\$ \$	957,000
	Metro Gold Line Foothill Light Rail Extension - Phase 2B		\$	1,130,000	\$	1,019,000	\$	111,000
76	First/Last Mile and Complete Streets	b	\$	198,000	\$	198,000	\$	111,000
77	SR 60/I-605 Interchange	h	\$	130,000	\$	130,000	\$	
	SR-57/SR-60 Interchange Improvements		\$	205,000	\$	205,000	\$	_ `
79	San Gabriel Valley Subtotal		\$	4,439,000	\$	3,371,000	\$	1,068,000
80	South Bay							
81	South Bay Highway Operational Improvements		\$	1,100,000	\$	500,000	\$	600,000
82	I-405 South Bay Curve Widening		\$	150,000	\$	150,000	\$	-
83	I-405/I-110 Int. HOV Connector Ramps & Intrchng Improv		\$	355,000	\$	355,000	\$	-
84	I-110 Express Lane Ext South to I-405/I-110		\$	81,500	\$	51,500	\$	30,000
85	I-105 Hot Lane from I-405 to I-605		\$	350,000	\$	200,000	\$	150,000
86	Green Line Extension to Crenshaw Blvd in Torrance		\$	607,500	\$	607,500	\$	-
87	Transportation System and Mobility Improvements Program	b	\$	350,000	\$	350,000	\$	-
88	South Bay Subtotal		\$	2,994,000	\$	2,214,000	\$	780,000
89	GRAND TOTAL		\$	42,011,100	\$	23,376,000	\$	18,635,100

- a. Cost Assumption equals subregional funding share proposed by the Arroyo Verdugo and San Fernando Valley areas.
- b. Cost Assumption equals proposed subregional funding.
- c. Includes the I-10 Roberson/National Area Multimodal Circulation Improvement Project. Additional funds may be available from other regional/state/federal active transportation-related funding.
- d. Final cost, scope, and subregional shares will be determined by the environmental process. The WSCCOG is co-committed with the SFVCOG to contributing funds for the Sepulveda Pass Corridor Project. The working assumption for cost shown here for any existing available LRTP funding is 50% San Fernando Valley area and 50% Westside.
- e. Final cost, scope, and subregional shares will be determined by the environmental process. The WSCCOG is co-committed with Central LA to contributing funds for the Crenshaw Line Extension to West Hollywood/Hollywood Project. The working assumption for cost shown here is 75% Central-25% Westside.
- f. Final cost, scope, and subregional shares will be determined by the environmental process. The working assumption here for any existing available LRTP funding (including Measure R) is 50% Gateway area and 50% San Gabriel Valley area.
- 9. At least \$3.5 B in funding needs for this project is not shown here. We are pursuing a strategy to fund 12.5% from existing resources, 12.5% from State resources, 12.5% from Federal resources, & 12.5% from subregional target. The remaining 50% is to come from private tolls or fees originating from freight.
- h. Final cost, scope, & subregional shares will be determined by the environmental process. The working assumption here is 2/3 Gateway & 1/3 San Gabriel Valley.
- i. Subregional target does not include full 25% contingency.
- j. The ATP is to be based upon the Gateway COG's Strategic Transportation Plan.
- k. WSCCOG proposes funding to suport the alignment study and construction of the project from Westwood/VA Hospital to City of Santa Monica.

Current as of February 22, 2016