## Background/Discussion of Each Recommendation

## A. Recertify

The \$161.1 million in existing FY 2018-19 Board approved commitments and programmed through previous Countywide Call processes are shown in Attachment A. The action is required to insure that funding continues in FY 2018-19 for those on-going projects for which Metro previously committed funding.

## B. Deobligate

Attachment B shows the \$9.6 million of previously approved Countywide Calls funding that is being recommended for deobligation. This includes approximately \$1 million in project downscopes, \$1.2 million in cancelled projects, and \$7.4 million in project savings.

## C. Reallocate

The City of Los Angeles requested to cancel the following three Call grants originally programmed to:

- 1) #F3144 Foothill Boulevard and Sierra Highway Intersection Improvement;
- 2) #F3146 Highland Avenue Widening-Ordin Street to Franklin Avenue; and
- 3) #F7125 Sherman Way Widening Between Whitsett Avenue to Hollywood Freeway.

And reallocate total of \$5.312 million cancelled funds to fund the City of Los Angeles San Fernando Road Bike Path Phase IIIA and IIIB Construction (#F1524 and F3515). The Call grant funds along with City's local match of \$688,000 will be used to fulfill the funding gap on the construction portion of the San Fernando Road Bike Path Project. The City of Los Angeles concurs with the recommendations.

# D. Authorize

Projects receiving their first year of funding are required to execute Funding Agreements or Letter of Agreements with Metro. And Projects receiving time extensions are required to execute Amendments with Metro. This recommendation will authorize the CEO or his designee to negotiate and execute any agreements and/or amendments with the project sponsors, based on the project sponsors showing that the projects have met the Project Readiness Criteria and timely use of funds policies.

## E. Receive and File

- 1. During the 2001 Countywide Call Recertification, Deobligation and Extension, the Board authorized the administrative extension of projects based on the following reasons:
  - 1) Project delay due to an unforeseen and extraordinary circumstance beyond the control of project sponsor (federal or state delay, legal challenge, Act of God);
  - 2) Project delay due to Metro action that results in a change in project scope, schedule or sponsorship that is mutually agreed; and

3) Project is contractually obligated, however, a time extension is needed to complete construction that is already underway (capital projects only).

Based on the above criteria, extensions for the 55 projects shown in Attachment D are being granted.

2. Since the March 2016 Metro TAC approval of the Proposed Revised Call Lapsing Policy, several project sponsors have informed staff that their projects will not be able to be completed within the one-time, 20-month extension. Through the 2016 Call Recertification and Deobligation process, Board delegated authority to reprogram currently programmed Call funds to a later year (latest to FY 2020-21). Reprograms for the eight projects shown in Attachment E are being granted.