

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT OPERATIONS AGENCY

50% EXPENDITURE LIMITATION SCHEDULE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

# LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT OPERATIONS AGENCY

#### Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with the California Code of Regulations	
(Section 6667); Report on Internal Controls over Compliance; and Report on 50%	
Expenditure Limitation Schedule	1
50% Expenditure Limitation Schedule	3



### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS (SECTION 6667); REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON 50% EXPENDITURE LIMITATION SCHEDULE

The Board of Directors
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
Los Angeles, California

#### Report on Compliance with the Transportation Development Act

We have audited the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Transportation Development Act Guidelines, including Public Utility Code Section 99245 as enacted and amended by statute, and the allocation instructions and resolutions of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (as Planning Agency) as required by Section 6667 of the California Code of Regulations adopted by the California Department of Transportation (collectively, Transportation Development Act [TDA]) that could have a direct and material effect on LACMTA's compliance with the Transportation Development Act for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the Transportation Development Act.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for LACMTA's TDA program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Transportation Development Act. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the TDA program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about LACMTA's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the TDA program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of LACMTA's compliance.

#### Opinion on the Transportation Development Act Program

In our opinion, LACMTA complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the TDA program for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018.

#### Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of LACMTA is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered LACMTA's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the TDA program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance on the TDA program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the TDA program, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of LACMTA's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a TDA program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the TDA program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of the TDA program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Transportation Development Act. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### Report on 50% Expenditure Limitation Schedule

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of LACMTA as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise LACMTA's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2018, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying 50% expenditure limitation schedule is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the 50% expenditure limitation schedule is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Crowe II P

Crowe LLP

Los Angeles, California December 19, 2018

## LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT 50% EXPENDITURE LIMITATION SCHEDULE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

(Amounts expressed in thousands)

1 Total operating cost	\$ 1,736,005
2 Total capital requirements	1,768,792
3 Total debt service	467,140
4 Total of lines 1, 2, and 3	 3,971,937
5 Less federal grant received	913,257
6 Less State Transit Assistance (STA) funds received	49,008
7 Total of lines 5 and 6	 962,265
8 Total of line 4 less line 7	3,009,672
50% of line 8	 1,504,836
Total permissible Local Transportation Fund expenditures	\$ 1,504,836