Attachment B – GMSP Public Comments and Support Letters

Organizations

Organization	Comment #	Specific to the Plan or Early Action Initiatives	Comments Received
California	1	The Plan	Fix the misalignment in Figure 3 on page 11.
Transportation Commission (CTC)	2	The Plan	What are some of the financial and environmental challenges of building "near dock" facilities?
	3	The Plan	How feasible/helpful would it be to offer free transit to logistics workers to help solve the job access/housing issue?
	4	Southern California Rail Investment Initiative	Are there additional strategies that are part of Initiative 3 the Southern CA Rail Investment Partnership that are still being developed?
Pacific Merchant Shipping Association (PMSA)	5	The Plan	When the document discusses mitigating the impacts from port- related freight, it should acknowledge that the ports lead the world and have in place policies that exceed California's own goals for having reduced and continuing to reduce freight-related impacts.
	6	The Plan	Competitiveness and mitigation are discussed separately. At some point, the two issues should be brought together. Cost-effectiveness is the key metric to ensure you are reducing impacts and retaining competitiveness.
	7	The Plan	Total costs must be considered in the context of competitiveness, including mitigation. As a result, it is important that measures that reduce cost are considered within the plan. For example, having multiple disparate and potentially contradictory impact mitigation strategies in the County/State will substantially increase costs and not necessarily meaningful emissions.
	8	The Plan	It should be stated that proposed mitigation plans must consider what emission or impact reduction they provide (in both amount and duration) in excess of existing and proposed rules. Otherwise, the same emission reductions could be "purchased" twice.
	9	LA Metro Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	Strategy 2.4a: should be revised to state: Coordinate and ensure consistency with other established programs.
	10	LA Metro Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	Add Strategy 2.4g: Develop program requirements that maximize emission reductions, minimize costs, and ensure emission reductions are real, surplus, quantifiable, and permanent.
	11	LA Metro Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	Strategy 2.4h: The program should include coordination with surrounding regional planning agencies to ensure that bottlenecks improved here do not become bottlenecks in Riverside, San Bernardino, or Orange Counties.
	121	The Plan	I wanted to note that I loved all the anecdotes about local businesses that rely on the ports. In that vein, I wanted to draw your attention to Figure 7 in the attached POLB Economic Impact Report. The figure shows the beneficiaries of exporters through the Port of Long Beach. Unsurprisingly, the areas that most light up in the figure are all in California. It's another example of how our local businesses are dependent on a healthy goods movement system to remain competitive that you may want to consider referencing.

	nges: that caught my eye: "Goods movement
1 1 1	ated in ways that are either in
and Trade Corridors alignment/misalignment	t with other Metro goals and priorities." I may
(CAGTC) have missed it, but I cou	uldn't find a detailed explanatory paragraph or
section explicitly addres	ssing that. Is it implying Metro's first priority
has historically been pas	ssenger mobility, which can at times be in
misalignment with freigl	tht mobility?
Coalition for 14 The Plan Also, Figure 16 looks inc	correct to me. I don't think any of requests are
America's Gateways in the trillions and I am I	having a difficult time reconciling the
	onfusing because the title of the figure is
	ling Gaps" but I assume that the INFRA and
	g at overall funding gaps and not just the
California applications?	
	cy look for global solutions more critically. For
many years, France has	had a system where large (18 wheelers,
tankers, and extremely l	large) trucks have restrictions on times and
days where they are not	t allowed to share the road with passenger
cars and trucks. Since CO	OVID, traffic has declined, but with so much
	rays, sharing the roads is still a challenge to
	ion of Driver Ed programs in the high schools
	est areas MUST be established strategically for
	lly for long distance drivers. Auto-pilot systems
	d strong oversight. Without these kinds of
	e increase in negative impacts to our
	umans who interact with commercial transport
will not be beneficial to	
16 The Plan Existing wording suggest	its labor disputes are on-going or at least
pervasive. Consider pre	esenting labor aspect in a larger context.
17 The Plan Suggest adding a year for	or each of the stats presented. Will a source
be identified for each of	f these stats (either as a footnote or as part of
narrative elsewhere in t	this document)?
18 The Plan Figure 3: As a general co	omment, some of the information under Plans
and Funding Programs d	does not seem to be placed on the row
associated with the mos	st applicable agency. Some plans, such as the
	reight Action Plan, are multi-agency effort. As
	could be added for each of the column
==	e context of the information provided under
the respective headings	and the information could be organized
	sted that this table not be constrained to a
	under the Plans and Funding Programs
headings.	- 5
	live in LA County, or is the \$44 million in labor
	ho workedjust in LA County, but did not
necessarily reside in LA (County?
20 The Plan At the outset it is stated	I that \$166 billion in taxable sales transactions
	ently stated that the retail and food service
	les tax revenue tremendously, generating
	kes. There is no comparative information to
	al a percentage the \$11.6 billion is.
	nsumer: If possible, it would be helpful to the
	lata reference could be 2018 as it would help
	the macro data identified in Figure 7.

22	The Plan	<u>Supplying an Island Getaway:</u> As the Catalina Island particulars identified in this regard are quite unique, it is suggested that if feasible and possible, another geographical/community example be added, with different population demographics.
23	The Plan	Responding to a Global Pandemic: Because the economic impacts attributable to the COVID 19 pandemic have been so dynamic it is recommended that any/all data included in that regard be as contextually specific as possible. For example, if the decrease referenced above is specific to the month of February that should be clearly indicated, as the ports have been doing much better the last couple of months.
24	The Plan	In the specific context of this sentence it is not clear how, "greater equity for LA County." ties in.
25	The Plan	Recommend including some brief data about what WIN-LA has accomplished. Separately, as a suggestionif this paragraph is not already organized this way, that the information be provided in some particular order—either chronologically or based on the number of people the respective programs serve.
26	The Plan	Is the reference to trucks in the second sentence, all classes of trucks or just HD trucks? Recommend including this clarification as medium and light duty trucks are not necessarily diesel powered.
27	The Plan	The document understood to be referenced in footnote 29, SCAG's 2012 Comprehensive Regional Goods Movement Plan and Implementation Strategy, "On The Move-Southern California Delivers The Goods," states on page 31: "Truck congestion in urban areas within the SCAG region resulted in approximately \$2.6 billion in costs due to wasted labor hours and fuel in 2010." As the earlier part of this paragraph references information in the context of 2018, it is recommended that if this information from SCAG's 2012 document is going to be included that the entire sentence be utilized.
28	The Plan	Recommend revising this sentence. Trade tariffs have been impacting the ports for approximately two years, but COVID only goes back the last 6 months and as noted above, the impacts of COVID have notably lessened (in terms of port volume activity the last couple of months). Also, the ports have been losing market share to other ports for many years.
29	The Plan	Link below provides access to monthly data updates, including air cargo. Most of the 2020 to 2019 year over year comparisons, by individual months, were decreases in 2020 until the month of May this year. In comparing year to date (January to February, January to March, etc.) between 2020 and 2019, 2020 is less than 2019 in every monthly report, though July's is close to even. Is there a separate source that indicates that air cargo volumes have increased at LAX specifically due to COVID (was that increase possibly specific to transport of medical supplies)?
30	The Plan	As this plan is specific to LA County it is suggested that this sentence be revised to state, "key trade corridors and arterials located in Los Angeles County that already"

	31	The Plan	Summary of Our Challenges, first item: Depending on what was
	01		intended to be highlighted with this first challenge, it is possible that
			the third challenge fully captures it, and the content of the third
			language is more direct. Aligning with Metro's goals and policies
			would be thought to be a benefit rather than a challenge. Suggest
			revising "All of these challenges" to "All of the above challenges,
			separately and combined, undermine"
	32	The Plan	<u>Freeways:</u> It is suggested that the following, all excerpted from
			SCAG's 2020RTP/SCS Goods Movement Technical Report (page 47), be
			incorporated into the discussion provided under Freeways Subject
			heading: With continued growth in freight demand, regional truck-
			related activities will increase over Connect SoCal's horizon. SCAG's
			Heavy-Duty Truck (HDT) model is the primary analysis tool used to evaluate the impacts of truck traffic and highway goods movement
			strategies on the regional transportation network.
	33	The Plan	Ports, last sentence: Although the assertion in the last sentence that
	33	THE HAIT	there are financial and environmental challenges associated with
			building "near dock facilities," is likely well enough understood
			generally—as is—to not require further elaboration, the lack of
			information regarding any other way(s) that capacity pressures at port
			facilities might be relieved, suggests that it is the only way.
	24	The Dian	Dail first contained. Civen the outroardinary impacts of the pandamic
	34	The Plan	Rail, first sentence: Given the extraordinary impacts of the pandemic on usage of mass transit, is the 2025 increase in passenger usage of
			Metrolink still considered applicable?
			Metrolitik still considered applicable:
	35	The Plan	Streets, second sentence: Is it possible the pandemic has already
			altered the identified doubling timeline?
	36	The Plan	Losing National Market Share, third paragraph: There may be some
			range of perspectives on what POLA and POLB have identified in their
			respective Master Plans (and other plans), but it seems like they both
			enjoy substantial support and it would seem fair to observe that both
			ports have visions of handling more cargo than they handle now.
	37	The Plan	Evolving Workforce Needs, first paragraph: Picker and Packers (also
	J,	1110 1 1411	known as Pick Packers) usually work in retail, logistics, wholesale or
			manufacturing warehouses and distribution centers. 'Pick' refers to
			choosing and picking up the items on the order form or 'picking slip',
			while 'pack' refers to packing the order and getting it ready for
			transportation. As a picker packer, you use a computer or scanner to
			check inventory and locate items. In considering the above description
			of a pick-packer, it might not be an example of a skill set that is
			unrelated to automation.
	38	The Plan	Air Quality Challenges and Implications, third paragraph: The first
			paragraph on this page identifies, ships, trucks, and trains. Is it
			possible to identify ships' contribution to NOx emissions? Perhaps
			consider replacing "are no easy tasks" with "are tasks that will require
			substantial reductions in criteria pollutants."
	39	The Plan	Congestion at the Curb: Although there continues to be buzz about
			autonomous vehicles and drones, the timeframe for when either
			technology will play a prominent role in freight movement seems to
			still be, at best, uncertain. The increasing traffic associated with urban
			freight delivery is already a transportation issue. Regarding the last
		·	

			sentence in this paragraph, if feasible (and possible), it is suggested to
			consider including some reference to recent efforts on this subject that have been worked on by SCAG and by New York City DOT.
	40	The Plan	Economic Disparities, first paragraph: The second sentence states, "The goods movement sector creates well-paying jobs for both skilled and unskilled workers, which typically include benefits such as health insurance, retirement packages or pensions, for example." Is this across the board or is it limited to only certain employers and/or positions? Recommend clarifying.
	41	The Plan	Traffic and Safety Impacts, second paragraph: Regarding "at-grade rail/vehicle collisions, do the percentages correlate to the percentages of the vehicle type, i.e. there are more freight trains than passenger trains and there are more passenger vehicles than "freight" trucks. Regarding the third sentence, it is noted that the speeds of trains are regulated just as the speeds of vehicles are regulated. Regarding the fourth sentence, is there any sort of data indicating the frequency in which a train impacted an emergency vehicle's ability to respond to an emergency timely?
	42	The Plan	Equity Considerations: Who will be able to participate in the discussions that identify the four respective sets of equity considerations (for A Strong Labor Force, A Safe and Efficient Multimodal System, Strong Markets and Reliable Supply Chains, and A Culture of Investment and Innovation)? District 7 would appreciate being included in these discussions.
	43	The Plan	A Culture of Investment and Innovation: The existing text under this subject heading is well crafted. As an observation, as one of the most critical challenges involves garnering substantive support from the private sector as well as optimizing coordination amongst critical public sector agencies and community groups, is consideration being given to establishing one or more standing working groups, to help facilitate success in this regard? The LA version of CREATE (which hopefully can benefit from understanding any hurdles that hampered Chicago)? Would this be the focus of the "recurrent goods movement-focused task force" identified in Initiative 1?
	44	The Plan	Incorporating Equity Considerations, second paragraph: Suggest changing "To capture the creation of new or aggravated inequalities in our communities, we" to "In order to establish a more comprehensive composite of the inequalities experienced in our communities, we"
	45	Southern California Rail Investment Initiative	Although there are substantial freight rail facilities located in Los Angeles County, the Class 1 railroads that operate within the county have a much larger geographical focus. Additionally, the State has a strong interest in rail, from both a passenger and freight perspective. Perhaps consider identifying Metro as "Partner" rather than "Lead?"
	46	Urban Freight Delivery Initiative	Recognizing the sheer number of local jurisdictions involved, if feasible and possible, it would seem likely that there would be increased chances for measurable progress if Metro were "Lead" instead of "Partner."
	47	Equity for Goods Movement Initiative	Perhaps consider adding a discussion referencing and/or tying into the three AB 617 community efforts located within Los Angeles County?

	48	LA Metro Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	As the environmental document for the I-710 Corridor project is addressing both State and federal requirements (which among other things, facilitates the ability to pursue federal funding, it is recommended to reference the I-710 environmental document as "I-710 Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS)." It may not be common knowledge that the "Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Review Committee," is an AQMD committee.
	49	LA Metro Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	Strategy 2.1: It is recommended that Caltrans be added to this working group as the state highway system would be anticipated to be a regular focus of efforts in this regard. Strategy 2.1, Actions 2.1d and 2.1e. For actions 2.1d and 2.1e, might it make more sense for Metro to "Partner?"
	50	Southern California Rail Investment Initiative	In the last sentence, it is suggested to delete the word "regional," as the demand for a more environmentally-responsible (and sustainable) movement of goods is—and will be, multi-faceted.
	51	Urban Freight Delivery Initiative	Strategy 4.1: The "curbside mobility working group" was not defined or discussed in the narrative under Urban Freight Delivery. It is recommended that some discussion of this group be included. Separately, it is recommended that Caltrans District 7 be included as part of the "curbside mobility working group" as there are many miles of conventional highway in Los Angeles County. Recommend Metro be identified as "Lead" for all actions under Strategy 4.3. Strategy 5.4, Action 5.4b. Recommend that District 7 be included as part of the Goods Movement Technology Working Group.
	52	The Plan	Let's Get Moving, third paragraph: Regarding the reference to the number of unincorporated communities in LA County in the last sentence, on page 64 the reference is to "over one hundred" Sixth paragraph. Are the five priority strategies, "our stakeholders have identified," the five initiatives included in this draft? If this is the case, it is recommended that the five priority strategies be specifically identified, either as part of this sentence or elsewhere in this paragraph.

Attachment B – GMSP Public Comments and Support Letters

Public Comments

Name	Comment #	Specific to the Plan or Early Action Initiatives	Comments Received
Jacob	53	The Plan	The plan does not investigate the use of Metro's rapid transit system for goods delivery, instead focusing on cleaner trucks and higher-capacity mainline rail corridors. However, the former does not improve traffic safety, only emissions; the latter does not improve transportation in the areas of LA county far from the freight lines. It is not obvious to me that reuse of Metro's system is possible (c.f. transportation scholar Alon Levy's remarks on the inherent difficulty of doing so at https://pedestrianobservations.com/2020/02/19/freight-rapid-transit/). However, both Dresden and Karlsruhe are attempting to reuse their tram network for freight delivery, so I encourage Metro to study whether an analogous approach might be appropriate here.
Richard	54	Equity Initiative	To help separate and speedup both commuters and freight, build the 710 Freeway tunnel.
N/A	55	The Plan	No Metro does not lead or coordinate well, such as with the SR-710 North Extension and funds diverted to parking structures and use elsewhere in LACity. Also, poor coordination and absence of leadership killed the High Desert Corridor and the good center/corridor that would have greatly reduced air pollution throughout the eastern sector of SCAQMD and the I-110/I-710 corridor.
Tom	56	Logistics Workforce and Competency Initiative	Invest in work force training upgrading and affordable living in northern LA County, Palmdale-Lancaster-Apple Valley. Long term PoLA/PoLB will lose out in shipping by cheaper and direct national transfer/transport east of California via Port - Prince Rupert and perhaps more to much cheaper Port Cardenas (Mex)
	57	Countywide Clean Truck Initiative	Only deals with <15% of total containers limited to LACo, not even SoCal or SCAQMD area. No relevant to national transfers.
	58	Regional Freight Rail Initiative	Metro must coordinate and assist the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach conversion from truck based to multi-modal/rail transfer from ship<>rail and then in High Desert Corridor Rail<>Truck. As demonstrated at Port of Prince Rupert and Port of Cardenas (Mexico).
	59	The Plan	NO not at all. Need much more visual, BlockFlow Diagrams and Flow-Charts rather than text unless you specifically want to have the readers turnoff and confuse. The purpose of the Goods Plan is to confuse, confound, and discourage readers (=Public) and knowledgeable concerned readers.



July 24, 2020

OFFICERS

President

Cynthia Sternquist

1st Vice President Margaret Clark

2nd Vice President

Becky Shevlin

3rd Vice President

Tim Hepburn

MEMBERS

Alhambra

Arcadia

Azusa Baldwin Park

Bradbury

Claremont

Covina

Diamond Bar

Duarte El Monte

Glendora

Industry

Irwindale

La Cañada Flintridge

La Puente

La Verne

Monrovia

Montebello

Monterey Park

Pomona

Rosemead San Dimas

San Gabriel

San Marino

Sierra Madre

South El Monte

South Pasadena

Temple City

Walnut
West Covina

First District, LA County

Unincorporated Communities

Fourth District, LA County

Unincorporated Communities

Fifth District, LA County Unincorporated Communities

 $SGV\ Water\ Districts$

The Honorable Mayor Eric Garcetti

Chairman, Board of Directors

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

One Gateway Plaza

Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

RE: Draft Los Angeles County Goods Movement Strategic Plan Process

Dear Chairman Garcetti,

On behalf of the San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments (SGVCOG), we are writing to express our support and appreciation for the work that is carried out by the Goods Movement Planning Office. This office has successfully created a process that addresses the challenges of goods movement, unites goods movement planning activities across the agency, as well as developed partnerships with Los Angeles County's goods movement stakeholders, including the SGVCOG.

Goods movement has been a high priority for the SGVCOG for more than 20 years, first with the initiation of the Alameda Corridor-East (ACE) Project and more recently with the State Route 57/60 Confluence Project, both projects of regional and national significance being implemented by SGVCOG in partnership with Metro. The development of the Goods Movement Strategic Plan has embraced the need for goods movement infrastructure projects while prioritizing the environmental issues associated with goods movement as well as the need to plan for sustainable growth.

The Goods Movement Strategic Plan will guide the agency and region in moving Los Angeles County forward in a sustainable manner. Broad-based stakeholder input has been instrumental in shaping effective regional initiatives, including this plan, and the SGVCOG has participated in and appreciates the stakeholder process Metro has undertaken.

As the Goods Movement Strategic Plan progresses, the SGVCOG looks forward to the continued close coordination with the Metro highway program in moving forward on high priority goods movement projects like the State Route 71 freeway gap project in Pomona and the continued involvement of San Gabriel Valley cities and stakeholder groups. If there are any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (626) 457-1800.

Sincerely,

Marisa Creter Executive Director

San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments



August 27, 2020

Mr. Phil Washington CEO, Los Angeles County Metropolitan transportation Authority One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, California 90012-2952

RE: Draft Los Angeles County Goods Movement Strategic Plan

Dear Mr. Washington:

On behalf of the North Los Angeles County Transportation Coalition JPA (NCTC) member agencies Los Angeles County 5th District, the Cities of Lancaster, Palmdale and Santa Clarita located in North Los Angeles County, we are pleased to support the Draft Los Angeles County Goods Movement Strategic Plan.

The LA Metro charter enabling legislation underscores the prominent role goods movement has in Los Angeles County mobility: "*Establishment of overall goals and objectives to achieve optimal transport service for the movement of goods and people on a countywide basis.*"

The movement of people and goods is intertwined in North Los Angeles County – we share the same multimodal transportation network. The NCTC has participated in numerous LA Metro and other efforts to improve goods movement throughout Los Angeles County and we applaud the recent comprehensive Los Angeles County Draft Goods Movement Strategic Plan process.

The recent Covid pandemic highlighted the importance of our goods movement network from the ports to our local market. We must continue to work together to achieve mobility solutions with our goods movement partners who provide an essential service for our quality of life.

The NCTC JPA supports and looks forward to working with LA Metro on the implementation of the Los Angeles County Goods Movement Strategic Plan.

Sincerely,

Arthur V. Sohikian Executive Director

Coalition for America's Gateways and Trade Corridors

AECOM

Alameda Corridor-East Project, San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments

Broward County's
Port Everglades

California Department of Transportation

Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

Canaveral Port Authority

Cascadia Center

Chicago Metropolitan Agency for Planning

City of Chicago

City of Industry

COMPASS – Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho

Dewberry

Florida Department of Transportation

Florida East Coast Railway

Florida Ports Council

Florida Transportation Builders' Association, Inc.

Freight Mobility Strategic Investment Board (Washington State)

Gateway Cities Council of Governments

Great Lakes Dredge & Dock Company

HERZOG

HNTB Corporation

Illinois Soybean Association

Intermodal Association of North America

Kootenai Metropolitan Planning Organization

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Majestic Realty Co.

Maricopa Association of Governments

Memphis Chamber of Commerce

Metropolitan Transportation Commission September 8, 2020

The Honorable Mayor Eric Garcetti

Chairman, Board of Directors

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

One Gateway Plaza

Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

RE: Draft Los Angeles County Goods Movement Strategic Plan

Dear Chairman Garcetti:

I write to express congratulations and appreciation for developing Los Angeles County's Goods Movement Strategic Plan. As a key North American gateway, the Los Angeles region is a critical hub in our nation's freight network.

I commend you and your team for developing a thoughtful and comprehensive plan that examines the region's transportation challenges holistically. It also underscores the importance of meeting these challenges: nearly one-third of jobs in LA County are in goods-producing and freight-dependent industries. Nationally, the San Pedro Bay port complex supports nearly 3 million jobs across the United States.

The nation's freight network is interconnected. Infrastructure efficiency, safety, and capacity in key freight hubs – such as Los Angeles County – impact the national freight system.

Beyond identifying challenges, the plan also outlines a roadmap to achieving positive results. Success in Los Angeles County will result in benefits both locally and nationally.

I appreciate your leadership on this important issue and look forward to engaging with you as the Goods Movement Strategic Plan advances.

Sincerely,

Elaine Nessle Executive Director

Elaw Just

Coalition for America's Gateways & Trade Corridors

Moffatt & Nichol

National Railroad Construction and Maintenance Association

NASCO – North American Strategy for Competitiveness

The Northwest Seaport Alliance

Nossaman LLP

Orange County
Transportation Authority

Port Authority of New York & New Jersey

Port Houston

Port Newark Container Terminal

Port of Hueneme

Port of Long Beach

Port of Los Angeles

Port of New Orleans

Port of Oakland
Port of San Diego

Port Tampa Bay

Port of Vancouver USA

Ports America Chesapeake

Prime Focus, LLC

Puget Sound Regional Council

RAILCET

SANDAG - San Diego Association of Governments

Southern California Association of Governments

Tampa Hillsborough Expressway Authority

Tennessee Department of Transportation

Washington State Department of Transportation

Will County Center for Economic Development

WSP