FSI Task Force update

Executive Management Committee April 15, 2021





FSI Update

Purpose of the Fareless System Initiative Pilot:

To determine how Metro can provide financial relief to low income and student riders on the LA Metro system.

FSI Update

- Motion 43.1 responses focus on pilot; long-term where applicable
 - Pilot is essential in understanding a fully fareless system
 - Scope and scale of FSI remain *unprecedented* in LA and the nation
 - Pandemic impacts on future travel patterns remain unknown
 - Pilot provides empirical assessment of forecasted assumptions
 - Pilot positions Los Angeles County for opportunity to seek federal and state funding

1a. Municipal Transit Agencies

1a. How Metro is including municipal operators in the planning process, including what it would cost to fully include them and how many of their riders would qualify

FSI Ad Hoc Committee

- 12 meetings since last October
- Ad Hoc Committee volunteered to co-develop metrics for measuring regional pilot success

Task Force designing quick survey for 41 operators (excluding dial-a-ride)

- Pilot participation cost and willingness to participate
- Eligible ridership information
- Survey Findings to Metro Board of Directors May 2021

1b. Subsidies and Passes

1b. All the subsidies Metro, Municipal Operators, and Cities already offer, including LIFE and U-Pass, and how those programs play a role in and inform a fareless initiative

| Pass Type | Qualifier | Cost /Discount | Participants |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--------------|
| Low-Income (LIFE)* | \$39,450 or less/yr. | Countywide Discounts ranging from \$8- | 79,000 |
| | | \$24/mo. | |
| Seniors | 62+ years of age | Cost is \$20/mo. | 241,858 |
| Disabled/Veteran | Proof of disability | Cost is \$20/mo. | 239,075 |
| K-12 Student | Proof of enrollment | Cost is \$24/mo. or \$1 per boarding | 57,224 |
| College/Vocational | Proof of enrollment | Cost is \$43/mo. | 10,289 |

| Pass Type | Qualifier | Cost /Discount | Participants |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| U-Pass | Partner School | Cost ranges from \$16-\$43/mo.* | 19,653 |
| E-Pass | Partner Business | Cost ranges from *\$34-\$80/mo. | 4,826 |
| Small Employer Pass | Partner Business | \$34/mo. with 50% of employees | 10,199 |
| | (less than 250 employees) | participating | |

1c. FAP, State & Federal Grants, Access Services

1c. How fareless will impact the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP), state and federal grants, and ACCESS Services;

The pilot will not change existing policy or legislation

• will provide basis and opportunities to reevaluate current approaches to policies and statutes.

FFGA is revenue neutral, a dollar-for-dollar requirement

- Lost fare revenue <u>must be replaced</u> with guaranteed, revenue neutral funds
 - FSI is premised on the need to replace lost fare revenue funds; i.e. 'fareless' not 'free'
 - Staff will monitor all grant programs during pilot

1c. Access Services (cont.)

- Metro will maintain its \$1.75 base fare for non-pilot participants
 - Access Services' fare structure would remain

- Access customers ride for free on most Los Angeles County fixed-route systems
 - FY 19, Access customers took 26.6 million free fare trips on the fixed-route system.

1d. Customer Surveys

1d. What Metro's customer surveys tell us about fareless and other priorities.

- Conducted October 2020 (prior to upcoming planned increase is service)
- 46k responses
- Across all demographic groups
 - 86% of Metro riders and support Metro going fareless
 - 80% of non-Metro customers support Metro going fareless

Survey respondents expressed concerns

- Impacts to the quality of service, adequate service, crowding, safety and security, cleanliness, and the presence of persons experiencing homelessness (PEH)
- Cuts to system expansion, service levels, salaries, system upkeep, security, and cleaning.

1e. Fare Collection

1e. Potential cost savings and implications for what can be realized through a fareless program for all residents through elimination of TAP infrastructure, administration of pass and subsidy programs, etc.

- TAP remains in place during pilot (no near-term savings during pilot)
- Long-term estimate of fare collection savings is \$74.4m annually
- Metro shoulders costs of TAP for Munis
- Cubic contract expires in 2024
- Boarding DATA: TAP boarding data-only 51% of customers use TAP
 - must also rely on combined data reporting by bus operators, field observations, APC data, & TAP
- Demographic DATA (required by FTA) can only be captured by Ridership Survey
 - Cash boardings and TVM purchased TAP cards do not yield FTA required demographic data
- Potential Labor Savings
 - Metro's needs would be evaluated during the FSI pilot.

2a. Pilot Costs and Funding

2a. Funding source details, including what those funds could go to if not fareless transit

| (A) Funding Need (\$ in million) | Alt FY22 | Alt FY23 | Notes for Alternative | | |
|--|----------|----------|---|--|--|
| Transit Service Increase* | \$ - | | Board Directed 7 million RSH, by 9/2021. Projected increased FSI pilot ridership is absorbed in 7m RSH. Will monitor & report service need monthly throughout the piloting period | | |
| Communications, Data Infrastructure, and Eligibility Processing | 3.6 | 3.0 | Communications and Eligibility processing cost should increase; will monitor and report | | |
| Defease General Purpose Bond | 80 | | Total hand avnance under review | | |
| P&I Payment | -12 | | Total bond expense under review | | |
| Fare Loss | 16.3 | 134.4 | FY22 assumes gradual return to ridership, \$73m in fares; with 60% of Low-income riders participating in FY22, and increase to 75% by FY23 | | |
| Funding Need Total | \$88 | \$125 | | | |
| *From: 10/ bus somites in anomal will many in a funding of \$12 to \$14 million. Will manifest alongly | | | | | |

^{*}Every 1% bus service increase will require funding of \$12 to \$14 million. Will monitor closely.

2a. Funding source details, including what those funds could go to if not fareless transit

| (B) Budget Prioritization Opportunities | Preliminary Budget | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|--|--|
| | FY22 | FY23 | | |
| *Efficiency Savings on Bus and Rail Operating and Maintenance (O&M) | \$2,038.9 | \$2,230.8 | | |
| *MicroTransit Pilot Evaluation | 39.6 | 40.7 | | |
| *Assessment of SGR Cashflow Needs | 452.3 | 462.7 | | |
| *5% Agency Overhead, Adm and Support Department Cost Reduction | 131.9 | 134.9 | | |
| Continue VSIP program | | | | |
| Reallocate LIFE to Pilot Pass | 13 | 13.3 | | |
| Potential Saving | | | | |
| *Ongoing discussions between Operations, FSI Task Force, OMB, and OCEO | | | | |

| Potential Savings | | Notes | |
|-------------------|----------|--|--|
| FY22 | FY23 | | |
| (\$40.8) | (\$44.6) | Due to new buses and newer rail lines, there is potential to reduce bus and rail unit cost from OT, Parts and Facility cleaning contracts accounts. Recommend start with 2% reduction per year | |
| -7.3 | -/4 | Consider more gradual expansion to 9 zones until assessment of pilot | |
| -37.6 | -37 | Align cashflow requirement based on actual expenditure rate of SGR, with no reduction to SGR projects | |
| -6.6 | -6.7 | | |
| -5 | -10 | 1/2 year for FY22 and full year for FY23 | |
| -8.4 | -8.4 | Metro portion only | |
| (\$106) | (\$114) | | |

Student Fare Revenue

| FY19 K-12 Fare Revenue | \$27m | 69% of total 1.4 million total K-12 Students in LA County are low-income |
|-------------------------------------|--------|---|
| FY19 Community College Fare Revenue | \$4.9m | 75% of Community College Students in LA County who ride transit are low-income (U-Pass surveys) |

FSI Ridership Estimates:

• 64% of Pre-COVID Ridership in FY 22 (11 Months); 95.5% of Pre-COVID Ridership in FY 23 (12 Months)

All K-12 students in Los Angeles County

| Revised K-12 Pilot Assumptions all income, starting earlier: August 2021 | \$9,878,400 \$666,113 \$10,544,513 *K-12 Student Pilot Costs (lost fare revenue) \$41,625,000 | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | | All K-12 students, starting August 2021 (FY22) | Advancing Non-low income (July 2023 only) | *Revised Costs of moving up students to August 2021 | |
| | \$5,961,600 | \$17,791,650 | \$7,327,238 | \$31,080,488 | |
| Original K-12 Pilot assumptions: Low-income students to start in January 2022; all K-12 Students in August 2022. | Low-income K-12 students, starting January 2022 | Low-income K-12 FY 23 | Non-low income K-12 (August 22) FY 23 | *Original Total Pilot costs of K-12 | |

Community College Students

| Original Community College Pilot assumptions: Low-income students to start in January | Low-income Community College students, starting January 2022 | Low-income Community College students (FY 23) | Non-low income Community College students (August 22) (FY 23) | *Original Total Pilot costs for Community College students | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2022 | \$1,176,000 | \$3,509,625 | \$- | \$4,685,625 | |
| | | | | | |
| Revised Community College Student Assumptions (all income) | | All Community College students, starting August 2021 (FY22) | Revised Community College students (FY23) | *Revised Costs of moving up students to August 2021 | |
| starting earlier: August 2021 | | \$1,698,667 | \$1,169,875 | \$2,868,542 | |
| | *Community College Costs (lost fare revenue) \$7,554,16 | | | | |
| *Lost Fare Revenue Only; Assumes Added Service Covered in 7m RSH; Pilot may lead to revised forecasts | | | | | |

¹⁴

Additional Pilot & Long-term Funding Considerations

Additional Funding Strategies

- Incremental advertising revenues from naming right and corporate sponsorship \$23.8 FY21 budget
- Discussions forthcoming w/LA Unified School District and other school districts to partner on funding K-12 and pass distribution coordination
- Traffic Reduction Program -funds generated through a future program could be available to permanently invest in a fareless system

Note

- Preliminary budgets include all transit operations eligible resources, including Board adopted and anticipated CRSSAA and ARPA distributions.
- These options follow Board motion to maintain 7 million RSH, maintaining high quality customer experience, and no impact to SGR program.
- Federal and State funding.
- Potential long-term costs for Access Services are being reviewed.

2b. Pilot Evaluations

2b. A plan to decide how to evaluate the success of the program, including permanent funding sources and what would trigger an end to or continuation of the program

- Evaluation plan will be developed, brought for consideration before Pilot commencement; Ad Hoc Committee volunteered to co-create metrics
- Potential performance criteria & thresholds for continuation/discontinuation of FSI
 - equity, financial viability, program participation, boarding increases, successful reassignment/repurposing of Metro staff in support of the FSI pilot, acceptable level of service and safety on system.
- FSI Pilot will generate empirical qualitative and quantitative data and understanding
 - instrumental in justifying and seeking permanent funding
- Task Force is recommending that to continue on a permanent basis Federal and State funding is essential

2b. Pilot Evaluations (cont.)

<u>Sample</u> Draft Pilot Performance Criteria Metrics (currently in development)

| Criteria | Effectiveness | Sustainability | Efficiency | Impact |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| financial sustainability | | | | |
| pilot program participation | | | | |
| increased boarding by pilot | | | | |
| participants | | | | |
| level of service | | | | |
| quality of service | | | | |
| increased trip by low-income | | | | |
| riders | | | | |
| employee safety | | | | |
| rider safety | | | | |
| system security | | | | |
| additional criteria | | | | |
| additional criteria | | | | |
| additional criteria | | | | |

2c. Service, SoGR, Customer Experience

2c. A commitment to not cut service, state of good repair, or customer experience priorities

- Staff recognizes quality of public transit affects people's economic and social opportunities
- Board approved 7m Revenue Service Hours standard in September 2021
- Strong staff commitment to preserve all assets in a state of good repair
 - State of Good Repair commitments
 - \$452 million in FY22
 - \$463 million in FY23
 - Better Bus Initiative commitment
 - \$208m in FY22
- FSI pilot to complement other Metro programs and initiatives
 - Vision 2028, NextGen Bus Improvement Plan, Customer Experience Plan.
 - Any necessary adjustments to service or change in the agency's priorities would require Board approval.

2d. Service, SoGR, Customer Experience

2d. If a FSI pilot is approved, regular reports back to the Board on how the initiative is impacting ridership, equity, Metro's finances, and the customer experience.

- If a FSI pilot is approved, Metro staff will provide monthly reports to the Metro Board
- Updates to include evaluation criteria status

Fare Capping

March Board Report, <u>Item 13</u>

- Fare Capping can be offered to riders not participating in an FSI Pilot
- •\$6 to \$8 million
- System Software Upgrade (6 to 9 months)
- Fare revenue loss from capping fares is anticipated

Questions and Answers